GLMRIS

GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER INTERBASIN STUDY PUBLIC HEARING

> January 27, 2014 4:00 p.m.

MINNESOTA VALLEY NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE 3815 AMERICAN BOULEVARD EAST BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA

## Capital Reporting Company Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study Public Meeting 01-27-2014

			2
1	APPEARANCES		
2	MODERATOR:		
3	Lauren Fleer		
4	USACE, Chicago District		
5	PANEL:		
6	Colonel Frederic A. Drummond, Jr.		
7	Commander, Chicago District, USACE		
8	Dave Wethington, III GLMRIS CAWS Project Manager		
9	John Goss		
10	Council on Environmental Quality		
11	CONTENTS		
12	List of Speakers:	Page	
13	Jared Teutsch	39	
14	Peter Sorensen	42	
15	Jill Crafton	47	
16	Andrea Kiepe	50	
17	Steve Chaplin	52	
18	Darrell Gerber	55	
19	Lee Nelson	59	
20	Lee Nelson	67	
21	Darrell Gerber	70	
22	Jill Crafton	72	
23			
24			
25			

1 PROCEEDINGS Good afternoon, everyone. 2 MS. FLEER: I'd like to welcome everyone to this afternoon's 3 meeting about the Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study, also known as GLMRIS. My name is 5 6 Lauren Fleer. I'm with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Chicago District, and I'm going to be 7 moderating today's panel. 8 9 So before we get started, I'm going to just address a few minor housekeeping issues. 10 11 door and to your left is the bathrooms, and then out 12 the door and to either side is the exits. When you 13 arrived today, there were a few materials available 14 at the welcome table. The first was the meeting 15 agenda printed on green paper which shows what we 16 have planned for this afternoon. Secondly, there was some frequently asked questions on a blue sheet 17 18 of paper. And thirdly, there was a summary of the 19 GLMRIS Report shown here, which is basically an 20 abbreviated version of the much lengthier study that 21 the Corps of Engineers released on January 6th. 22 full study as well as the summary report are both 23 available at our website, which is glmris.anl.gov 24 which is the first web address shown on the back of 25 the pamphlet, so if you'd like more information at

any point in time, I'd encourage you to go to the website. 2 I'd like to introduce you all to our panel 3 this afternoon. On your farthest left is John Goss, who is from the White House Council on Environmental 5 In the middle is Colonel Frederic 6 Drummond, who's the Commander of the Chicago 7 District Army Corps of Engineers. And then on your right is Dave Wethington, who is also from the 9 10 Chicago District of the Army Corps of Engineers and 11 who's the project manager for the GLMRIS study. 12 After our panelists give some brief presentations, we're going to have plenty of time 13 14 for discussion. We really have two goals in today's meeting. 15 The first is to present the results of the 16 GLMRIS study, but secondly and more importantly is a chance for us to hear from you, to hear your 17 18 questions and your comments as well, so I want to 19 thank those of you who have preregistered on our 20 website to speak this afternoon, and if you did not 21 have the opportunity to preregister but know that 22 you'd like to make a comment or ask a question, I do 23 encourage you to fill out a yellow comment 24 registration form. We'll have plenty of time, like 25 I said, to have lots of discussion afterward. So

Also, I'm going to give you just a quick

24

25

with.

6 update on what's under Alternative 1, which are the continuing Asian carp controls. I do work for the White House Council on Environmental Quality and I've had for the last three years the privilege of 5 coordinating the regional group that has worked on 6 Asian carp control, and I want to thank Minnesota DNR for being very major contributors to that team 7 of people with their expertise and actually leading on a number of areas in developing strategies. 10 have a four-part strategy, which is an effective 11 electric barrier, extensive monitoring, developing 12 new control technologies, and the long-term solution 13 is the GLMRIS study which we're here today with, 14 replacing the original electric barrier this year 15 and next year with a new one, working also with the 16 barge industry. 17 We've had some recent findings that some 18 small fish may still be moving through the electric 19 field, and we're going to have to work on that, and 20 the barges may in fact be able to move some fish 21 toward the electric barrier or into it. So we're 22 going to have a task force come up with a way to 23 solve that challenge. We're also field testing

other technologies besides the electric barrier that

could be fish barriers, deterrents such as carbon

24

- 1 dioxide, ozone, possibly chlorine, possibly some
- 2 other things that we have teams of people
- 3 researching right now.
- 4 Commercial harvesting of bighead and
- 5 silver carp continues. Over 50,000 fish were taken
- 6 by commercial harvesters in the two or three lock
- 7 pools below the electric barrier to continue to keep
- 8 the pressure of the fish population off the barrier.
- 9 And we continue to monitor above the barrier between
- 10 the barrier and Lake Michigan very extensively and
- 11 doing eDNA testing on a regular basis also. That
- 12 has expanded around the Great Lakes and many of the
- 13 tributaries also in the last year.
- 14 The Corps did complete a risk assessment on
- 15 the other possible water connections across the
- 16 Continental Divide from New York to Minnesota. We
- 17 had 18 of those that were fully evaluated. Only one
- 18 of those rated as a high risk, and that was in Fort
- 19 Wayne, Indiana, between the Maumee and the Wabash
- 20 River, and we do have a control strategy being
- 21 designed now with working with NRCS and Indiana DNR
- 22 to develop a permanent barrier for that one
- 23 high-risk location, and the other states are working
- 24 on the medium risk locations. Just wanted to assure
- 25 you that we haven't forgotten about those and we're

working on strategies to close all of those connections also. 2 There is a National Carp Control Plan which 3 would include the Mississippi River, Ohio River, Missouri, all the other areas of the country 5 6 threatened by Asian carp. Unfortunately, it's had very minimal funding in the past, so as you're thinking about what the options are, I think in Alternative 2 you'll see that it proposes doing as 9 10 many best management practices as possible. 11 would include in your area here on the Mississippi, 12 on the Ohio, further away from Chicago to help work 13 on this carp population and eventually moving towards restoring our native fish populations. 14 15 We also need the help of all the states who are in this area outside the Great Lakes. There are 16 13 species that are talked about in the report as 17 18 being the highest risk of concern. Ten of those are 19 in the Great Lakes threatening to move to the 20 rivers, to your areas, and certainly responses and 21 concern expressed in comments from all of the areas 22 outside the Great Lakes states are really important 23 if we're going to get the political momentum to get 24 funding and authorization to move ahead on these

25

actions that are proposed.

9 1 Just a few closing thoughts for me. Collaboration is really important to finding solutions, so think what projects can move forward 3 with the most support. We've gotten a lot of help 5 from industry groups, from environmental groups, and we'll continue to rely on their comments and recommendations and particularly on the states that 7 are partners in the project for their comments. 8 need to move quickly while we've got some interest 9 10 and momentum and get something recommended to congress that we can all agree on. 11 12 Just as a final thought, the Great Lakes 13 have had a lot of success on some very major 14 challenges and projects. Sea lamprey control is 15 probably the best example of invasive species 16 control that everyone has contributed to since the 17 1950s. Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative, 18 working with our Canadian partners is a really 19 significant accomplishment, as is the Great Lakes 20 Compact to protect our water levels. The Great 21 Lakes Restoration Initiative, which the Obama administration has supported strongly and it has 22 23 been funded again in the 2014 budget, is providing 24 the resources for a wide range of restoration 25 projects that ten years ago we didn't know might

- 1 ever be possible, so we want to add Asian carp
- 2 control and invasive species control to that list.
- 3 With your help, I think we can accomplish that.
- 4 Thanks, and we do have a website if you want to
- 5 check on projects, asiancarp.us, and we want to
- 6 thank Fish & Wildlife Service and Katie, who's here
- 7 today who manages that website. Thanks.
- 8 COLONEL DRUMMOND: Well, good
- 9 afternoon, everyone. I'm certainly glad to be here
- 10 in the Twin Cities area to bring you the GLMRIS
- 11 Report and to have an open and frank discussion as
- 12 John had talked about. Before I go into that, I'd
- 13 like to make a quick announcement. I know we have
- 14 representation from the Mississippi River Valley
- 15 division here. Thank you for coming in. As well as
- 16 we got representation from the St. Paul District.
- 17 The DPM's here, thank you, as well as the St. Paul
- 18 Deputy Commander, Major Thompson, he's here. Been a
- 19 very good friend of mine. Colonel Deschenes is here
- 20 from Rock Island, so we've got good representation.
- 21 Rock Island works very closely with Chicago because
- 22 we both jointly own part of the Chicago Area
- 23 Waterway System.
- So why are we here. GLMRIS is a complex
- 25 study that examines opportunities to prevent aquatic

- 1 transfer of any ANS, not just the fish like Asian
- 2 carp that you hear about in the paper, but other
- 3 species. John hit on it a little bit. You're going
- 4 to hear tonight the term 13. Ten of them are coming
- 5 from the Mississippi River, from the Great Lakes
- 6 down to the Mississippi, and then three are moving
- 7 up from the Mississippi into the Great Lakes. The
- 8 GLMRIS Report's going to outline a variety of
- 9 potential prevention methods and presents an
- 10 evaluation of criteria to help members like you sort
- 11 of understand the various alternatives. The purpose
- 12 of the GLMRIS Report is to paint an objective
- 13 picture of several alternatives to offer
- 14 decision-makers, stakeholders, and the public like
- 15 yourself with information about these alternatives.
- 16 This report is very unique in comparison to most
- 17 Corps of Engineer reports in that it identifies a
- 18 range of options and is adaptable for incorporation
- 19 of future technologies, and Dave will hit on several
- 20 of these tonight.
- 21 Apart from the GLMRIS Report, the Corps of
- 22 Engineers is going to stay heavily involved in the
- 23 Asian Carp Regional Coordinating Committee, which we
- 24 have been, and I'd like to tell most folks, in the
- 25 last two and a half years of my dealing with the

- 1 ACRCC, it is probably one of the flattest
- 2 organizations in the federal government. And when I
- 3 say "flat," you know, my PM has a direct line to the
- 4 ASA. John Goss has got a direct line to Nancy
- 5 Sutley, and so the system is very flat and various
- 6 levels of government have listened in this ongoing
- 7 problem.
- 8 You're going to hear tonight a little bit
- 9 about shared responsibility, and we do believe that
- 10 this whole GLMRIS Report, what it's going to do is
- 11 outline a range of options and technologies. It's
- 12 going to require a shared responsibility from
- 13 everybody, whether it's state, local, state DNR, and
- 14 other organizations.
- Just wanted to point out, you know, we've
- 16 been doing this on the road here for the last two
- 17 weeks. The GLMRIS Report came out on the 6th of
- 18 January. The first day, we briefed 53
- 19 representatives in congress and their various
- 20 staffs, got the information, started that. The
- 21 report's been published in well over 7,000 media
- 22 stations, so the information is getting out.
- 23 Tonight when you came in, you should have
- 24 received what we call a small executive summary
- 25 book. It's about 25 pages in length. I call it a

- 1 good primer. Once you start reading this, it's
- 2 going to really make you want to go to the full
- 3 report, which is 232 pages. And then if that's not
- 4 enough, we have the Tom Clancy novel of about 10,000
- 5 pages of technical data. So there's a lot of
- 6 information out there, and by all means, I would
- 7 encourage you, after this brief tonight, to go back
- 8 to the website and just sort of analyze this and
- 9 digest it. You'll see that the range of options has
- 10 got a little bit for everybody out there.
- 11 What's going to happen now, I'm going to
- 12 turn it over to Dave Wethington. He's the PM. He's
- 13 going to go through about 18 slides, about
- 14 20 minutes, and then we're going to turn this mic
- 15 around and we're going to have a discussion with
- 16 you, because that's why we're out here. We want to
- 17 hear from the public. We want to hear your thoughts
- 18 and ideas. And Dave will tell you also, throughout
- 19 the next 30 to 45 days, how you can get online and
- 20 submit your thoughts and ideas as you further
- 21 analyze this very -- what I call a very complex
- 22 report covering the Chicago Area Waterway System and
- 23 prevent, you know, the interbasin transfer of
- 24 aquatic nuisance species. So without further delay,
- 25 I'd like to turn it over to Dave. Dave?

- 1 MR. WETHINGTON: Thank you, sir. Can
- 2 everybody hear me all right? Yeah, it's pretty
- 3 loud. Actually turned this mic on earlier. They
- 4 gave me a live-air mic, which is dangerous because
- 5 I'll start moving around.
- I want to thank everyone for coming tonight
- 7 to spend a little bit of time with us. I think one
- 8 of the most important things that come out of
- 9 tonight are what Colonel Drummond and John Goss
- 10 already mentioned to you: The idea that aquatic
- 11 species control is a shared responsibility and that
- 12 your voice is important are really the two key
- 13 things for us to understand this evening.
- I want to spend a few minutes talking about
- 15 the GLMRIS Report itself, the process that we used
- 16 to come to these eight alternatives I'll present as
- 17 well as really outline them so that you can help
- 18 answer questions, ask questions, and we'll try and
- 19 keep you engaged.
- 20 So the scope of the study, we were given
- 21 authorization to begin GLMRIS in November of 2007.
- 22 We received funding to actually begin the study in
- 23 about midyear 2009. My name is Dave Wethington.
- 24 I've been the project manager for the GLMRIS study
- 25 since its inception in 2009. I'm very lucky to have

- 1 a very talented team of engineers, scientists,
- 2 biologists, and many others who helped put this
- 3 report together. I think Colonel Drummond mentioned
- 4 the expanse of the team. We have over 19 different
- 5 districts that were involved in putting this
- 6 together. Somewhere around a hundred different
- 7 people, a hundred individuals, touched this report
- 8 in some way.
- 9 The scope of what we were trying to do was
- 10 identify a range of options or technologies that
- 11 were available to prevent the transfer of aquatic
- 12 nuisance species between the Great Lakes and
- 13 Mississippi River basins. That basin divide, that
- 14 interbasin line that our focus was on, is outlined
- 15 in kind of the brown color behind me.
- The goals of our study were two-fold.
- 17 Number one, try and prevent aquatic nuisance species
- 18 transfer. We did that through the application of a
- 19 range of different options and technologies and
- 20 then, with the implementation of those options and
- 21 technologies, investigate what kind of impact those
- 22 may have on the existing uses and users of the
- 23 Chicago Area Waterway System and, if there were
- 24 adverse impacts identified, ways to mitigate or to
- 25 kind of compensate or overcome those adverse impacts

- 1 directly as a result of those alternatives.
- 2 As both Colonel Drummond and John
- 3 mentioned, stakeholder engagement has really been a
- 4 key aspect of GLMRIS since its inception. We formed
- 5 an executive steering committee in late 2009, early
- 6 2010 which was comprised of federal agencies and
- 7 governmental agencies and regulatory agencies. It
- 8 helped oversee the formulation of this study to make
- 9 sure that we were plugged in with all of those folks
- 10 who needed to be plugged in at the federal and state
- 11 level in coming up with this range of alternatives.
- 12 Your input was equally as important, which is part
- 13 of why we are here today.
- In July of 2012, we received legislation,
- 15 intervening legislation, that kind of modified the
- 16 scope of our study a little bit. It asked to do a
- 17 few things. Number one, it asked for us to complete
- 18 the study on an expedited timeline: Within
- 19 18 months. We received the legislation on July 6th
- 20 of 2012 and 18 months later, on January 6th of 2014,
- 21 turned in a completed report. It asked us to focus
- 22 our efforts on the Chicago Area Waterway System, the
- 23 CAWS, as we call it. Now, you'll see this line
- 24 behind me, and Mr. Goss spoke to it a little bit
- 25 previously, but there are other aquatic pathways

- 1 that may align along that interbasin divide. We've
- 2 done a lot of great work as the Corps of Engineers
- 3 and partnering with other agencies to identify where
- 4 those potential pathways may lie. Those other
- 5 pathways, which are primarily episodic, which means
- 6 that they usually only form during significant
- 7 precipitation events when you have a headwaters of a
- 8 couple streams combining to form a temporary
- 9 pathway, as well as a couple of them which are
- 10 terrainial, but they're much simpler in terms of the
- 11 connection itself than the Chicago Area Waterway
- 12 System, perhaps something like a farmer's ditch.
- So this legislation asked us to really
- 14 focus on the most complex issue with regard to the
- 15 other pathways, focusing on the Chicago Area
- 16 Waterway System. It also asked us to evaluate
- 17 hydrologic separation or the placement of a physical
- 18 barrier to potentially prevent the transfer of
- 19 species within the Chicago Area Waterway System.
- Now, the CAWS, as we call it, is a very --
- 21 kind of a complex multiuse waterway. Some of the
- 22 primary uses are listed up there behind me:
- 23 Navigation, commercial cargo navigation,
- 24 recreational boating, water supply, water
- 25 conveyance. Something that I didn't know coming

- 1 into being project manager of this study is that
- 2 somewhere between 65 to 85 percent of the total
- 3 volume of the Chicago River is actually comprised of
- 4 municipal treated wastewater, and so the Chicago
- 5 Area Waterway System serves as a very important
- 6 conduit to move water out of the system through
- 7 Chicago. It also serves as a very, very important
- 8 tool for flood risk management. While water
- 9 normally flows from Lake Michigan into any one of
- 10 these five points and flows downstream toward,
- 11 eventually, the Mississippi River, when we have
- 12 significant precipitation events, significant
- 13 rainfall within the Chicago area, we are able to
- 14 operate the Chicago Area Waterway System such as we
- 15 can draw down the water or, during significant
- 16 precipitation events, backflow water such that water
- 17 can come out back into Lake Michigan from the city.
- 18 This is a very important tool for the nearly 9.2
- 19 million residents that live in the Chicago area as
- 20 well as the surrounding suburbs.
- 21 The Chicago Area Waterway System is the
- 22 primary aquatic pathway between the two basins, and
- 23 so it serves as the primary connection for potential
- 24 aquatic nuisance species transfer. The GLMRIS
- 25 Report itself provides a range of alternatives

- 1 designed at a conceptual level. It also provides
- 2 for mitigation -- so again, those adverse impacts
- 3 which are a direct result of any implementation of
- 4 alternatives -- at that same kind of conceptual-
- 5 level design. It provides cost estimates for each
- 6 one of the potential alternatives, and the cost
- 7 estimates are best used for comparing among the
- 8 different alternatives. While we used a Corps of
- 9 Engineers cost estimating process to estimate the
- 10 costs among the various alternatives, because of the
- 11 conceptual-level design, they're really best used,
- 12 again, as a comparison tool among the different
- 13 alternatives.
- 14 The most important use of the report itself
- 15 is a tool for decision-makers. I'll speak to it
- 16 near the end of my presentation, but we list a
- 17 number of evaluation criteria or elements of each
- 18 alternative that can be utilized to evaluate and
- 19 look at tradeoffs among different alternatives.
- 20 Examples include total cost, time to implement,
- 21 potential other impacts, economic impacts,
- 22 environmental impacts, etc. There would be
- 23 additional analysis, additional detail work, design,
- 24 perhaps completion of environmental compliance
- 25 documentation if you were to proceed with the

- 1 implementation or construction of any single one of
- 2 these alternatives.
- 3 So, again, we're at a conceptual level of
- 4 design, conceptual level with costs, but enough
- 5 information and enough data to provide
- 6 decision-makers, members of the public such as
- 7 yourselves, enough insight into kind of the pros and
- 8 cons of each one of the alternatives.
- 9 Very briefly, looking at how we put
- 10 together the range of alternatives in GLMRIS, we did
- 11 three things. Number one, we identified the
- 12 pathways. Here the focus was primarily on the
- 13 Chicago Area Waterway System. Second, we looked at
- 14 what species were potentially of concern. We
- 15 identified over 200 species, and of those, 35 were
- 16 of particular concern for potential transfer between
- 17 the basins. Of those 35, we identified 13 that were
- 18 high or a medium risk with regard to their ability
- 19 to transfer to the opposite basin and become
- 20 established and have some sort of adverse impact if
- 21 they did establish. We also evaluated possible
- 22 controls. Since we knew what species we had, we
- 23 knew the pathways we were working with, we could
- 24 look at the full range of possible controls, and we
- 25 went out to the public, we went out to the technical

- 1 experts to get a range of potential controls. Of
- 2 those controls, we identified over 90 individual
- 3 types that could be potentially applied. We
- 4 screened them down based on input, again, from the
- 5 public and from technical experts.
- 6 So we took this information on the
- 7 connections, on the species, and the controls and
- 8 put that all together utilizing background
- 9 information about economies with regard to
- 10 commercial cargo navigation or recreational fishing
- 11 to help provide the wealth of information that's
- 12 within the GLMRIS Report.
- Before I get into speaking about each of
- 14 the individual alternatives, I want to spend a
- 15 moment with the technologies. Over on the far
- 16 right-hand side, you'll see a description or a
- 17 picture of the physical barrier. It's a pretty
- 18 simple concept: We use some sort of a physical
- 19 structure to prevent untreated surface waters from
- 20 either basin to intermix. You may be familiar with
- 21 the concept of electric barrier, but in GLMRIS,
- 22 we've taken that idea and kind of turned it up a
- 23 notch. We include the construction of an engineered
- 24 channel that allows us to refine and optimize the
- 25 construction of the barrier system as compared to

© 2014

- 1 how it's being implemented near Chicago today.
- 2 Currently the barrier is placed at the bottom of an
- 3 unimproved channel, but having a constructed channel
- 4 allows us to place the arrays of the electric
- 5 barrier in different configurations, so perhaps
- 6 construct insulated properties into the barrier so
- 7 that we don't have issues with stray current.
- 8 In the GLMRIS report, we also came up with
- 9 some novel applications of existing ideas. The
- 10 GLMRIS lock on the upper left-hand corner is one of
- 11 those examples. The idea of the GLMRIS lock is
- 12 fairly simple. It takes a traditional lock chamber
- 13 and introduces a pumping action such that water is
- 14 flushed through the lock, cleaning it of floating
- 15 aquatic nuisance species. How does it treat the ANS
- 16 treated water? From an aquatic nuisance species
- 17 treatment plant. Again, a conceptual idea based on
- 18 existing technologies in drinking water. We use
- 19 screens, filters, and UV light to inactivate
- 20 potential aquatic nuisance species that make it
- 21 through the treatment train. So you combine
- 22 something like a GLMRIS lock with an ANS treatment
- 23 plant with an electric barrier to try and address as
- 24 many different species as you can.
- 25 On the upper right-hand side are really the

- 1 most simple way to look at what species are
- 2 potentially transferred in the basins. We've done a
- 3 lot of specific research identifying 13, you know,
- 4 fish or algae or viruses, but really, when you look
- 5 at how aquatic nuisance species move in a channel,
- 6 they can swim, they can float, or they can
- 7 hitchhike, "hitchhike" meaning they can move through
- 8 like on a barge train or with navigational rec nav
- 9 boats, etc.
- 10 So we use each one of these potential
- 11 technologies, either alone or in combination, to try
- 12 and address as many of those methods of movement of
- 13 aquatic nuisance species. So I'll spend the next
- 14 few minutes going over each of the alternative
- 15 activities. I believe that everyone has one of
- 16 those summary books. You can follow along if you'd
- 17 like. The lower left-hand corner of this screen
- 18 will tell you what alternative I'm on and the book
- 19 will have limited additional information, but I
- 20 would also like to put forth the full version of the
- 21 GLMRIS Report. It is on our website. The location
- 22 of the website is on the back of your summary book:
- 23 glmris.anl.gov. It's on the back of the book. It's
- 24 got a lot of fantastic information about the report
- 25 itself as well as the other pathways, and it's

- 1 really a very helpful tool.
- 2 So Alternative Plan 1 is what we call the
- 3 baseline alternative or the no new federal action.
- 4 I prefer to call it the staying activities
- 5 alternative because there's, in fact, a lot of
- 6 action that is currently going on. There are
- 7 efforts being implemented by federal, state, and
- 8 local resource agencies at controlling, managing,
- 9 trying to prevent aquatic nuisance species from
- 10 moving around within the basins or the rivers.
- 11 Baseline activities also include Corps of
- 12 Engineers specific work, such as the operation and
- 13 maintenance of existing electric barriers, the
- 14 construction of a new barrier, or other activities
- 15 that are supplemented through the Great Lakes
- 16 Restoration Initiative Program, such as the
- 17 monitoring and the fishing that John Goss described
- 18 a little bit earlier. This baseline alternative we
- 19 use as a yardstick to measure the additional risk
- 20 reduction that is potentially achieved by each of
- 21 the subsequent alternatives. This establishes our
- 22 baseline. This is what is going on currently and
- 23 what is expected to continue over the next 10, 25,
- 24 or 50 years.
- 25 Alternative Plan 2 is a nonstructural

© 2014

- 1 technologies alternative. And very simply, these
- 2 are potential control technologies that could be
- 3 implemented without the construction of a physical
- 4 structure. What kind of activities would they
- 5 include? Active management. Something like John
- 6 described as fishing down carp populations or, as
- 7 the picture at the top kind of depicts, the
- 8 identification of where perhaps an aquatic invasive
- 9 plant may be in a certain area and the application
- 10 of that aquatic herbicide to control the spread of
- 11 that plant, thereby preventing the transfer of that
- 12 plant between basins.
- 13 It includes elements of education and
- 14 outreach: Why is it a good idea to clean your boat
- 15 when you move it from one waterway to the other or
- 16 why is it a bad idea to just dump the bait bucket
- 17 over the side when you're done after a day of
- 18 fishing.
- 19 It includes things like education and
- 20 outreach, laws and regulations, things like the
- 21 promulgation of the Lacey Act to prohibit live
- 22 transfer of certain aquatic nuisance species of
- 23 concern. Bilge and outflow management is another
- 24 very important non-structural measure.
- 25 So as mentioned earlier, the successful

implementation of these and of any of the other alternatives I'll be presenting today truly is a shared responsibility. It's anglers such as 3 yourselves or boaters such as yourselves that can 5 help make this be a successful route to at least trying to slow down if not completely prevent aquatic nuisance species transfer. Unfortunately, I 7 can't stand here today and tell you that this full range will be successful at preventing this -- the 9 10 transfer of aquatic nuisance species. What they 11 are, however, is best management practices, and so we include the implementation of non-structural 12 13 controls with each one of the following alternatives 14 and we include the estimated cost, which was 15 comprised by coming up with average cost per state, 16 multiplying it by the number of states that these potential technologies could be implemented at along 17 that Great Lakes and Mississippi River basin divide. 18 19 Alternative Plan 3 is the first of your two 20 technology alternatives. What this alternative does 21 is very simply identify control points within the 22 Here's one on the upper part of the system 23 and the lower part of the system that can be 24 utilized to control the two-way or bidirectional

transfer of aquatic nuisance species. Very simply,

- 1 it implements an aquatic nuisance species treatment
- 2 plant that reroutes the flow of the channel at those
- 3 two points through that treatment plant; hence, flow
- 4 bypass. The aquatic nuisance species treatment
- 5 plant is effective at removing aquatic nuisance
- 6 species of concern from the water at each one of
- 7 those points. In order for navigation to continue
- 8 as part of this alternative, we have also included
- 9 the implementation of a GLMRIS lock. Again, that's
- 10 that flushing lock structure with electric barriers
- 11 in those constructed navigation channels book-ending
- 12 that lock on either side. Those electric barriers
- 13 control the transfer of swimming aquatic nuisance
- 14 species like fish from coming into that lock chamber
- 15 area and, again, the lock chamber uses a flushing
- 16 action to clear the lock of aquatic nuisance species
- 17 that are floating within the chamber. These two
- 18 control points we believe serve as effective
- 19 controls for a number of the aquatic nuisance
- 20 species of concern.
- Now, if you were having -- if you're going
- 22 to design an aquatic nuisance species control
- 23 treatment plant, you would likely design it for a
- 24 dry weather flow, so the flow that you see most
- 25 often coming through that point in the river. When

- 1 Chicago has a significant precipitation event, you
- 2 will see the flow in the river increase
- 3 exponentially, and so in order to deal with those
- 4 significant volumes of water, in order for that
- 5 control point to remain effective, you need to find
- 6 a way to channel and contain that water until it is
- 7 able to be treated appropriately. You need a number
- 8 of additional tunnels and reservoirs within this
- 9 area to control for those large possible
- 10 precipitation events. For this reason and for the
- 11 reason for that mitigation, we see an estimated
- 12 completion time of about 25 years with estimated
- 13 costs of nearly \$15.5 billion.
- 14 The second of the two technology
- 15 alternatives is what we call the CAWS buffer zone
- 16 alternative. What this concept does is take the
- 17 idea of aquatic nuisance species control and,
- 18 instead of having single point for two-way control,
- 19 spreads them apart, initiating a one-way control
- 20 point book-ending either side of the Chicago Area
- 21 Waterway System. The map on the left demonstrates
- 22 several control points at or near the shore of Lake
- 23 Michigan that will institute the one-way control of
- 24 aquatic nuisance species in from the lakes, whereas
- 25 there is another control point downstream, what we

- 1 call the Brandon Road control point, that would
- 2 inhibit the passage of species upstream. Again, the
- 3 implementation of this is through GLMRIS locks and
- 4 aquatic nuisance species treatment plants.
- 5 You also notice that there are a couple of
- 6 physical barriers that are implemented at or near
- 7 northwest Indiana. The reason for this is because
- 8 the two streams that are being controlled at those
- 9 points are primarily not navigable. You can get
- 10 through them in a canoe or a Jon boat, perhaps, but
- 11 there doesn't need to be this significant
- 12 recreational or cargo traffic that goes through, so
- 13 the implementation of a physical barrier in those
- 14 two points with the appropriate mitigation for this
- 15 flood risk is most appropriate at those locations.
- 16 Because you have a much smaller amount of tunnel and
- 17 reservoir conveyance that is necessary for that
- 18 flood risk mitigation, you have a relatively quicker
- 19 time frame for implementation, at about ten years,
- 20 at a relatively lesser cost of about \$7.8 billion.
- 21 If you look within your books, in the gray
- 22 tables either in the lower right-hand or lower
- 23 left-hand corners, those will identify what the
- 24 different kind of levels of cost are and there will
- 25 be one that speaks to the total cost of aquatic

© 2014

- 1 nuisance species control measures and there are
- 2 others that will speak to the costs attributable to
- 3 flood risk mitigation, and you can see how flood
- 4 risk mitigation in Alternative Plan 3 and 4
- 5 contributes significantly to the overall cost.
- 6 I'd also like to draw your attention to
- 7 this one alternative before I move on to kind of
- 8 give an example of adaptive management. We use
- 9 adaptive management and the ability to kind of look
- 10 at how we can implement different types of controls
- 11 in the future as they become available. Here we
- 12 have a range of different technologies that can be
- 13 applied at either side of the system depending on
- 14 what species are being targeted. We also uniquely
- 15 have a way for advance risk reduction if, for
- 16 example, there was only concern about species coming
- 17 up from the Mississippi River basin toward the Great
- 18 Lakes. Since there is only a single control point
- 19 down here at Brandon Road and no associated
- 20 mitigation, it is possible that the potential exists
- 21 that this particular structure could be constructed
- 22 more quickly than that ten-year time frame at a cost
- 23 less than 7.8 billion. However, in order to get
- 24 that full two-way control of species that is
- 25 initially anticipated by this alternative, you're

- 1 looking at that estimated time of completion of ten
- 2 years and about \$7.8 billion.
- 3 Alternative Plan 5 is the first of two
- 4 hydrologic separation alternatives. In this
- 5 alternative, we place physical barriers, hydrologic
- 6 blocks, if you will, at four points at or near the
- 7 lakefront, as the title suggests. Again, because we
- 8 lose the ability to move water freely through the
- 9 system and there is additional controls and
- 10 technologies that are necessary to mitigate for
- 11 potential water quality impacts, you see significant
- 12 estimated time for completion and a significant
- 13 estimated cost of about \$18.4 billion.
- When approaching the other ways to try and
- 15 physically separate the basins, the team really
- 16 thought about, you know, hey, we've got these
- 17 significant costs attributable to mitigation in both
- 18 the technology alternatives as well as the lakefront
- 19 hydrologic separation alternative, so how can we get
- 20 to cracking this nut of flood risk management within
- 21 the Chicagoland area. So what the team decided to
- 22 do was place two barriers at or near where the
- 23 original kind of hydrologic divide would be. We
- 24 call it a divide; it's kind of overestimating it.
- 25 Chicago is a very marshy, flat area, and so we're

- 1 dealing with a very hydrologically complex
- 2 topography because it's so flat. However, we were
- 3 successful in identifying these two locations as
- 4 places to potentially minimize that flood risk
- 5 management impact.
- 6 However -- there's always a "however" --
- 7 when you open up the Chicago River to Lake Michigan
- 8 from this point on and from this point at Alsip on,
- 9 you open up a bit of a can of worms. There are two
- 10 significant water reclamation plants, up on the
- 11 north side here and on the south side here that
- 12 contribute significant flows, somewhere around 300
- 13 or so million gallons per day at each particular
- 14 water reclamation plant. Now, I know Chicago has a
- 15 storied past of having historically bad wastewater
- 16 and that Chicago needs to clean its water better.
- 17 I'm not here to talk about that today. I'm here to
- 18 talk about what would happen if Chicago, like
- 19 Milwaukee or like Detroit, put in the same kind of
- 20 water into Lake Michigan.
- 21 If you have two water reclamation points
- 22 with each at 300 million gallons a day for a total
- 23 of 6 to 700 million gallons a day of clean, treated
- 24 wastewater, you would still be having a significant
- 25 load of pollutants to Lake Michigan. You would be

© 2014

- 1 loading things like nutrients, like some particular
- 2 organic pollutants, things that water reclamation
- 3 plants or water treatment plants don't even clean
- 4 today, like pharmaceuticals, and so what we've
- 5 chosen to do, instead of adding this new load of
- 6 potential pollutants into a significant natural
- 7 resource, was reroute the system to points
- 8 downstream, maintaining the existing flow of the
- 9 water stream.
- 10 We did this for another reason, which is
- 11 actually kind of very essential to the Corps's
- 12 mission. I mentioned there was a significant volume
- 13 of flow from each of those points. This waterway
- 14 feeds the Illinois waterway which then feeds the
- 15 Mississippi River, and so we wanted to maintain this
- 16 volume of water for navigation. In opening up the
- 17 Chicago River and associated channels to Lake
- 18 Michigan, you also open up several combined outfalls
- 19 as well as a host of some potentially contaminated
- 20 sediments which, taking the conservative approach,
- 21 we chose to mitigate such that there are not adverse
- 22 impacts to the water quality of Lake Michigan. So
- 23 while we did not have significant costs for
- 24 mitigating for flood risk to the nearly 9.2 million
- 25 residents of the Chicagoland area, there are

- 1 significant costs for mitigation to water quality
- 2 impacts to Lake Michigan, which are exemplified by
- 3 that 25-year time frame and the estimated cost of
- 4 about \$15.5 billion.
- 5 The last two scenarios, 7 and 8, are hybrid
- 6 scenarios, and we look at essentially placing a
- 7 physical barrier on either the upper part of the
- 8 system or the lower part of the system. As you can
- 9 see from the title, this lower part of the system is
- 10 fed by the Cal-Sag channel, whereas this upper part
- 11 of the system is fed by the Chicago Sanitary and
- 12 Ship Canal, so in the Cal-Sag Open scenario, we
- 13 leave this lower part of the system from the lake
- 14 down through Brandon Road open with the
- 15 implementation of technologies while placing a
- 16 physical barrier on the Chicago Sanitary and Ship
- 17 Canal. Obviously, as was with previous either
- 18 physical separation or technology alternatives,
- 19 there would be necessary mitigation, and so that is
- 20 reflected on the map on the right-hand side,
- 21 contributing again to a total estimated time of
- 22 about 25 years implementation and total estimated
- 23 cost of about \$15.1 billion.
- The alternate, while it still has a very
- 25 similar length in time for implementation, in order

- 1 to get all those mitigation efforts up to speed
- 2 prior to implementation of physical barriers, it
- 3 does have a significantly smaller cost, about half
- 4 that of the previous hybrid scenario.
- 5 At the outset, I mentioned that the GLMRIS
- 6 Report is really best as a tool for decision-makers
- 7 and I mentioned evaluation criteria, and these are
- 8 examples of some of the criteria that are in the
- 9 report. If you look up the report itself, if you
- 10 look at the end of the executive summary or look at
- 11 table 4.2 in the report, there will be a matrix, a
- 12 table, multicolored, that will give you really a
- 13 quick snapshot of what's in the report. It will
- 14 talk about each one of these potential criteria, but
- 15 you'll certainly want to go back and read in detail
- 16 for each alternative what the potential
- 17 environmental impact or economic impacts may be as
- 18 well as how does that duration of implementation
- 19 translate to potential risk reduction for that
- 20 particular alternative.
- Before I conclude today, I want to touch on
- 22 a couple points that I hope I've had the opportunity
- 23 to cover during my presentation. First,
- 24 mitigation -- so ensuring that there is not adverse
- 25 impacts as a result of construction of any one of

- 1 these alternatives -- is really the critical driver
- 2 for cost and for timing. Residual risks will exist
- 3 with any one of these potential alternatives. While
- 4 our charge was to look at the prevention of aquatic
- 5 nuisance species transfer within an aquatic pathway,
- 6 there are other ways for aquatic nuisance species to
- 7 transfer between the basins. Human mediated
- 8 transport. Physically bringing an aquatic nuisance
- 9 species from one basin into the other. If you don't
- 10 think it happens, trust me, it does. Duration of
- 11 implementation. A lot of these, we talked about
- 12 25 years for potential implementation, and I
- 13 realize, I understand that may be too long, but
- 14 that's a significant risk.
- 15 And so this is part of the conversation
- 16 we're here to have with you today is the
- 17 identification of what is the biggest concern. What
- 18 alternatives may help buy down that risk while we
- 19 get toward the ultimate kind of consensus goal,
- 20 whatever that may be, toward long-term aquatic
- 21 nuisance species management if you look at adaptive
- 22 management throughout the number of different
- 23 alternatives to see how technologies could adapt and
- 24 which technologies would be utilized as we move out
- 25 into the future.

1 Again, I'll hit on that kind of final topic that aquatic nuisance species control is a shared responsibility. That's why we're here today, that's 3 why we're here speaking to you is because your 5 input, your viewpoints are very important. matter what, there will be a significant investment, whether it's from our taxes, our waterways, or 7 whatever, toward the future implementation of any one or more of these technological alternatives. 9 10 Same goes for any of the non-structural 11 alternatives, and non-structural alternatives are 12 even more important that you, as the anglers, the 13 boater, are engaged and involved and understand how 14 you play a role in aquatic nuisance species control. 15 For this reason, we're taking this message to a number of different cities throughout the Great 16 17 Lakes and Mississippi River basins. We've spent a lot of time in the Great Lakes and now we're headed 18 over to kind of the other side of the house. We're 19 20 starting up here in the Twin Cities, heading down to 21 St. Louis a little bit later this week, and ending 22 the week at New Orleans. 23 I do encourage everyone and anyone to 24 please visit our website. We have an open comment 25 period through the end of March -- or I'm sorry --

- 1 through the 3rd of March where you can go onto the
- 2 website and basically make a comment. If you don't
- 3 get a chance to comment today, it doesn't matter.
- 4 The comments aren't weighted more here today than
- 5 they are anywhere else, than on the website. Again,
- 6 your input is very important.
- 7 And with that, please do stay in touch with
- 8 GLMRIS. You can find information that I spoke about
- 9 today on the web. It's glmris.anl.gov. You can
- 10 find us on Facebook, follow us on Twitter, send us
- 11 an e-mail at any time, and with that, I'll turn the
- 12 show back to Lauren and we'll look forward to a
- 13 discussion. Thank you.
- 14 MS. FLEER: Thanks very much to Dave
- 15 and to Colonel Drummond and John Goss, all our
- 16 panelists, and to all of you for being here. Let's
- 17 now open it up to your comments and your questions.
- 18 I think what we'll do is we'll start with the folks
- 19 who have had the chance to register, either on our
- 20 website or here today. When I recognize your name,
- 21 please approach the mic, and I'd like to let
- 22 everybody know that we have a court stenographer
- 23 here today who is taking, basically, a record of all
- 24 the comments and questions and presentations today
- 25 to be included in our website as part of the public

- 1 record of this meeting, so please, if you could,
- 2 when you begin your comments, if you could start
- 3 with your name, any organization you might be here
- 4 to represent, and as well as your ZIP code, your
- 5 five-digit ZIP code, so we can get an accurate
- 6 documentation of the meeting here today. I want to
- 7 apologize ahead of time if I mispronounce anybody's
- 8 name, and otherwise, let's get started.
- 9 I have first Jared Teutsch followed by
- 10 Peter Sorensen. If you could, just approach the mic
- 11 when you're ready.
- MR. TEUTSCH: Jared Teutsch, Alliance
- 13 for the Great Lakes, 60602. I'm starting to feel
- 14 like a groupie of you guys because I've been to so
- 15 many of these public meetings. First off, thank you
- 16 again for hosting a public meeting across the
- 17 region, which I think is so critically important for
- 18 people to have an opportunity to see, really, the
- 19 first of its kind, of this kind of report, the great
- 20 work that the Corps has done into this report,
- 21 recognizing that this isn't even a full feasibility
- 22 study so there's more pieces of the puzzle to add
- 23 after this process. Certainly, the Alliance for the
- 24 Great Lakes is in full support of separation, so we
- 25 certainly like, let's say -- I think option six

- 1 probably comes the closest to what we've tried to
- 2 put forward in our communications in terms of what
- 3 we support, what we believe is the best option for
- 4 not only the Mississippi, but also the Great Lakes.
- 5 As you stated when you were talking about -- we're
- 6 talking about high risk species, there's more high
- 7 risk species actually going into the Mississippi
- 8 basin, certainly would move up the upper Mississippi
- 9 basin into Minnesota, into the Land of 10,000 Lakes,
- 10 so, you know, Minnesota probably more than any other
- 11 area has a lot more to lose from an ecological
- 12 standpoint, but also from an economic standpoint
- 13 that they have and the quality of their environment.
- 14 So we recognize that, we urge that that separation
- 15 move forward as quickly as possible.
- Also, as you noted, 25 years is an
- 17 unacceptable timeline for many of us. I think we
- 18 also recognize the history that the Corps has done
- 19 things in a much shorter timeline. You guys have a
- 20 great history of accomplishing great feats,
- 21 including reversing the Chicago River, and we're
- 22 hoping that you're going to re-reverse the Chicago
- 23 River in your process of looking at this.
- I guess I would just -- I would close with
- 25 a question, and I don't know if you can answer it

- 1 for us today, but I'm just curious, as this process
- 2 moves forward and we move forward from the public
- 3 comment period, hearing from stakeholders, how do
- 4 you see -- and maybe you've done this already --
- 5 responding to the senate's letter that was sent
- 6 around from all eight Great Lakes states, 16
- 7 senators -- all 16 senators, which is unheard of,
- 8 really, in this time -- and asking, really, that
- 9 question of what do you propose to do for next
- 10 steps? So I'll leave it at that.
- 11 MR. WETHINGTON: Sure. Thanks, Jared.
- 12 Yeah. I believe that the Assistant Secretary of the
- 13 Army responded to that letter from the 16 senators
- 14 and said something probably very close to the kind
- 15 of concept that aquatic nuisance species control is
- 16 a shared responsibility and that it really does
- 17 require, while the Corps of Engineers, you know,
- 18 kind of, in our opinion, has served the leadership
- 19 role in putting together the information within this
- 20 report, the future implementation of any one or more
- 21 of these alternatives will require that shared
- 22 responsibility, will require, you know, folks to
- 23 kind of sign up and be responsible and help with
- 24 that future implementation, so while we are
- 25 certainly happy to provide support within our

- 1 specific mission areas, we do anticipate that there
- 2 will be others who will need to kind of come forward
- 3 to the table and help us make that decision. We're
- 4 looking for that kind of collaborative consensus on
- 5 a path forward. Looking forward to working with
- 6 folks like John Goss, the Asian Carp Regional
- 7 Coordinating Committee, other potential groups that
- 8 may help build consensus, like Council of Great
- 9 Lakes Governors, toward that collaborative path
- 10 forward.
- 11 MR. TEUTSCH: Great. Look forward to
- 12 it.
- MR. WETHINGTON: Thank you.
- MR. TEUTSCH: Thank you.
- 15 MS. FLEER: Thanks very much. I have
- 16 Peter Sorensen followed by Jill Crafton.
- 17 MR. SORENSEN: Peter Sorensen. I'm
- 18 really here as a private citizen who thought I was
- 19 just registering and not speaking, but I'm happy to
- 20 speak anyway and I had some questions.
- 21 COLONEL DRUMMOND: Go ahead.
- 22 MR. SORENSEN: My ZIP code is 55108.
- 23 That's where I live. And I had a couple questions.
- 24 There is a concept of aquatic nuisance species
- 25 treatment plant addressed in this, which I really

43 haven't heard of before. 2 MR. WETHINGTON: Sure. MR. SORENSEN: Maybe you could sort of 3 fill us in on what that might be and how it would be developed and how efficient you think that might be. 5 We use the 6 MR. WETHINGTON: Sure. 7 concept of aquatic nuisance species treatment plant based on existing drinking water purification 8 technologies. Very simply, using a treatment train 9 10 of screens, filters, and UV light to inactivate 11 aquatic nuisance species down to a virus size. 12 those species that are fish would get screened out 13 by screens, things like plants and other larger 14 floating species may be pulled out by those filters, 15 and anything that passes through, like -- in the 16 water, like an algae or like a virus, would be 17 inactivated very similar to the way our drinking 18 water is cleaned. The City of New York just opened 19 up a huge drinking water plant that uses UV light to 20 clean and disinfect that drinking water process, so 21 the aquatic nuisance species treatment plant would 22 be utilized to specifically address species of 23 concern within the water. 24 MR. SORENSEN: So there would be some 25 risks? That's a new technology that --

## Capital Reporting Company Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study Public Meeting 01-27-2014

```
44
 1
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                    It is.
 2
                  MR. SORENSEN: Some of it's new; some
 3
    of it isn't?
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
 4
                                   The technology is
 5
    implemented for drinking water.
 6
                  MR. SORENSEN:
                                 Right.
                                          Right.
 7
           I guess I'll take my three minutes for
    questions, maybe, but another question I had, and
 8
    I'm sure it's in the larger report, but surely some
 9
    of these, like the separation scenarios, can be
10
11
    phased in so the risk would be reduced in stages and
12
    not all or nothing?
13
                  MR. WETHINGTON: Well, the concern
14
    with that is the mitigation. And with regard to
15
    physical separation, both of those have significant
16
    components for mitigation for either flood risk or
    for environmental quality. And so in order to, for
17
18
    example, maybe even get a permit to build a physical
19
   barrier and a channel, you would likely need to have
20
    that mitigation complete so that mitigation -- you
21
    know, so that when you place a physical barrier in
22
    the channel, you're not flooding out, you know, some
23
    of the nearly 9.2 million residents in the
24
    Chicagoland area or you're not contributing
25
    significant new environmental impacts to a natural
```

```
45
    resource. And so all those components, you likely
   have to have those mitigation measures in place
   prior to achieving that final risk reduction --
                  MR. SORENSEN: Sure.
 4
 5
                  MR. WETHINGTON: -- step.
 6
                  MR. SORENSEN: But still, there would
 7
   be a phasing-in process; it's just hard to quantify
 8
    it?
 9
                  MR. WETHINGTON: There may be ways to
   phase in incremental risk reduction.
10
11
                  MR. SORENSEN:
                                 Right.
                                        Right.
                  MR. WETHINGTON: You could do other
12
13
    things in advance of construction of a physical
14
   barrier, such as non-structural technologies and the
15
    implementation of other types of technologies.
                  MR. SORENSEN: And then maybe my last
16
    question would be is it conceivable that deterrent
17
    technologies could be developed simultaneously as
18
19
    something like an alternative --
20
                  MR. WETHINGTON: Absolutely.
21
                  MR. SORENSEN: -- separation was in
22
   place so it's not a --
23
                  MR. WETHINGTON: Your --
24
                  MR. SORENSEN: The hybrid, basically?
25
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Absolutely.
                                                The
```

- 1 current technologies are currently being evaluated
- 2 by the Asian Carp Regional Coordinating Committee
- 3 and other potential research organizations, which
- 4 once they have been established and determined to be
- 5 suitable for large-scale environmental physical use,
- 6 they could be implemented.
- 7 MR. SORENSEN: And sorry, I have one
- 8 more, but, you know, looking at climate change, the
- 9 levels of water in the Great Lakes are surely going
- 10 to fluctuate, and I was wondering if that was also
- 11 addressed.
- MR. WETHINGTON: Yes.
- MR. SORENSEN: How that will --
- 14 MR. WETHINGTON: Fluctuating water
- 15 levels have been addressed within the technology
- 16 alternatives and the conceptual alternatives for the
- 17 implementation of each one of these within the
- 18 report, as much as we know about climate change and
- 19 what we can expect, given that there are
- 20 significant --
- MR. SORENSEN: So I guess my last
- 22 would be just to comment, and that is given all the
- 23 uncertainty and even there may be invasion arising
- 24 from other places that we haven't even thought of,
- 25 just would seem in my opinion that to go for the

```
47
    gold standard, the separation.
 2
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Thank you.
                  MR. SORENSEN: The separation basins.
 3
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Thank you.
 5
                  MS. FLEER:
                              Thanks very much. Jill
    Crafton followed by Andrea Kiepe. Just your name
    and ZIP code. Thank you.
 7
                  MS. CRAFTON: My name is Jill Crafton,
 8
    and I'm with the Isaac Walton League. I'm also on a
    watershed district board here. My life is here --
10
11
                  MS. FLEER:
                             ZIP code, please?
                  MS. CRAFTON: 55438. But I also was
12
    raised in the lower peninsula of Michigan and have a
13
14
    stake in property in the Pentwater area south of --
15
    that's my 444 -- 499 -- oh, shoot. Whatever that
16
    is.
17
                  (Reporter interruption.)
18
                  MS. CRAFTON: I'll try to speak up.
19
   have a Michigan address and a Bloomington address
20
    and have been involved in Asian carp group here
21
    that's been working on trying to keep that from
22
    coming up the Mississippi, but I digress.
23
             I represent the Isaac Walton League, I
24
    chair a Great Lakes committee within the Isaac
25
   Walton League, and we have members from across the
```

- 1 basin from New York to Minnesota and all states in
- 2 between, and we support a permanent physical
- 3 separation between Lake Michigan and the Mississippi
- 4 River, and while we do not reject any of the other
- 5 options as possible strategies, none of them have
- 6 proven effective yet.
- 7 I remember last time I was with you guys,
- 8 in 2009, I was concerned about funding for the
- 9 electric barrier and it was like iffy, and we were
- 10 really concerned about that. All deterrents,
- 11 electric and acoustical barriers, lock and dam
- 12 modifications are simply not yet adequately verified
- 13 as able to meet the goal of stopping Asian carp
- 14 100 percent. Our committee represents members from
- 15 across the Great Lakes basin and we've been strong
- 16 advocates for the need and development of emergency
- 17 ballast water treatment capacity for ballast water
- 18 treatment that fails compliance with existing and
- 19 future standards as well as closing the pathway
- 20 between Lake Michigan and the Mississippi River to
- 21 prevent the aquatic invasive species.
- 22 Additionally, we underscore the urgency
- 23 noted in the letter from the 16 Great Lakes senators
- 24 from November 6, 2013, making it clear they are
- 25 requesting that the Corps act very quickly as well

- 1 as bring all relevant stakeholders -- local, state,
- 2 regional, and federal -- to work together
- 3 cooperatively. The frustration with the lack of
- 4 urgency reflected in their letter echoes the
- 5 exasperation of citizens within the Great Lakes
- 6 basin. We deserve better from an agency that
- 7 receives billions of dollars in taxpayer dollars
- 8 annually. The Great Lakes and the Mississippi River
- 9 are not naturally connected. Moreover, the
- 10 occurrence of more rainstorm events increases the
- 11 likelihood of flooding in this area and potential
- 12 conditions for Asian carp to gain access to Lake
- 13 Michigan.
- 14 Asian carp are an immediate threat and
- 15 require immediate action to stop their spread.
- 16 Therefore, the Isaac Walton League of America urges
- 17 the Corps to work urgently with relevant
- 18 stakeholders to reach an effective permanent
- 19 physical hydraulic separation. And I thank you for
- 20 the opportunity to comment.
- 21 I work with Peter Sorensen. He's been
- 22 getting common carp out of our watershed district
- 23 and I just feel discouraged after your report that
- 24 we'd have to wait 25 years. I guess at this point,
- 25 I'd like to bid it out and see who else could maybe

```
1 come up with something quicker. It just -- I mean,
```

- 2 we're paying -- what? 25 million annually for sea
- 3 lamprey containment? It just -- 25 years just seems
- 4 totally ir -- you know, just out of bounds. I would
- 5 also just encourage you, with the -- you know, that
- 6 any local or any relevant stakeholder that might
- 7 have a piece of this action have important
- 8 information. I know within my watershed district,
- 9 I've learned to reach out to cities, counties, water
- 10 resources specialists within any of those areas.
- 11 They know the lay of the land and they can sometimes
- 12 come and really cut down on the time it takes, and
- 13 then you can form partnerships and get more done, so
- 14 I would really, you know, underscore that. That's
- 15 all. I guess that's basically it. Basically, the
- 16 three -- quickly, you know, the physical separation
- 17 and good relevant stakeholders that can make this a
- 18 good process.
- MR. WETHINGTON: Thank you.
- 20 MS. FLEER: Thank you. Andrea Kiepe
- 21 will be followed by Steve Chaplin.
- 22 MS. CRAFTON: Can I submit my letter
- 23 now, or --
- MS. FLEER: Yeah. Sure.
- 25 MS. KIEPE: Hello. My name is Andrea

51 Kiepe. I'm a private citizen. 2 MS. FLEER: Name and ZIP code? MS. KIEPE: And I'm from ZIP code 3 Thank you so much for your time and consideration. 5 6 I actually grew up on the Mississippi I was born in Missouri, south of St. Louis, 7 and so I know what bad water quality is like and it's one of the reasons I moved to Minnesota I just grew up on a family farm where 10 20 years ago. 11 the importance of water cannot be overstated and the 12 importance of taking a really pragmatic approach to 13 environmental protection was how I was raised, and 14 to my mind, that's I think why we have to go with 15 Alternative 6. I think that when you look at some 16 of these other approaches, you get into the whole penny wise/pound foolish thing and it's worth it to 17 18 spend the money for the full separation and to 19 really address all 13 of the ANS of concern. 20 think you should just do it right the first time and 21 really safeguard and steward the investments that 22 we've already made and that we continue to make in 23 restoring the Great Lakes and the uses, you know, 24 that approach directly from the Clean Water Act and 25 maintaining, you know, the uses of bodies of water.

- 1 To me, that's really the bedrock of how we should be
- 2 approaching this decision. And, I mean, I would
- 3 love to see fisheries restored on the Great Lakes
- 4 and I would love to see the existing tourism,
- 5 recreation, fishing, and all of the, you know,
- 6 frankly, metropolitan water uses in Minnesota be
- 7 preserved as much as possible. So I really urge you
- 8 to go with Alternative 6. I think that it's also
- 9 nice that there are going to be some local benefits
- 10 for flood control there and since, you know, one of
- 11 the ways I celebrated my engagement was to go
- 12 swimming at midnight in a full moon in Lake
- 13 Michigan, you know, the fact that it's going to
- 14 avoid 700 million gallons of wastewater discharge
- 15 into Lake Michigan is kind of a benefit, too. So
- 16 thank you so much and please consider Alternative 6.
- MR. WETHINGTON: Thank you.
- 18 MS. FLEER: Thank you. I have Steve
- 19 Chaplin followed by Darrell Gerber.
- 20 MR. CHAPLIN: My name is Steve
- 21 Chaplin. I'm a senior conservation scientist with
- 22 the Nature Conservancy. We'll be providing written
- 23 comments later, but I wanted to talk about more
- 24 specifically some of the concerns that we have here
- 25 in Minnesota. Now, we realize the driving force in

- 1 all of this is the Asian carp moving into the Great
- 2 Lakes system and its impact potentially on the
- 3 \$7 billion, you know, Great Lakes fisheries there.
- 4 But I'd also -- but I'd like to speak about the
- 5 ecological impacts here in Minnesota that movement
- 6 of aquatic invasive species can have from the Great
- 7 Lakes into Mississippi River systems. The Corps
- 8 themselves have identified 29 species that threaten
- 9 to move from the Great Lakes, and that's just
- 10 imminently. And I suspect over time that number
- 11 will only rise and perhaps dramatically rise, and
- 12 they include such things as your Asian roughy that's
- 13 a concern of the biologists and conservationists
- 14 here in Minnesota. We don't want to see that get
- 15 into Minnesota. If past history is any guide, there
- 16 are things to be concerned about.
- 17 The zebra mussel, which was a Great Lakes
- 18 species that moved into them and then on up into the
- 19 Minnesota lakes is something that is causing a great
- 20 deal of problems here in Minnesota. I spend a
- 21 little bit of time every summer on Lake Le Homme
- 22 Dieu up in Alexandria, and that lake has gone from a
- 23 great lake to swim into one now that's hard to swim
- 24 because you end up cutting your feet as you swim out
- 25 from the beaches out there.

1 So what I'd like to recommend is that any solution that comes out of this should provide ecological separation. We're not insisting on 3 hydrological barrier, but it has to be ecologically 5 separated so that species aren't moving between the 6 two basins. It must cover all aquatic invasive 7 species, not just the carp or a few of the most spectacular ones, but we don't have any idea what a 8 lot of these other species' impacts are going to be 9 in the future. It must stop species moving in both 10 11 directions and it must begin to reduce the impact as 12 soon as possible. We agree that it needs to happen 13 in the short term. In the next two to four years, we need to start seeing some reductions of threat, 14 15 certainly not in a 25-year timeline. And we do need 16 to have interim solutions so that perhaps, you know, we might have an ideal long-term solution, but we 17 18 also need to think about things we can do right now 19 to stop that. 20 And then the final thing is that I think these need to be cost effective and socially 21 22 acceptable. That's going to be important because 23 this is a long-term effort to keep aquatic invasive 24 species from moving between one basin and the other, 25 and without the political support and the social

```
support that you're going to have to have, both in
    the Chicago area and in the entire basins, I don't
    see that this is going to be successful, so thank
    you for the opportunity to speak.
 5
                                   Thank you.
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
 6
                  MS. FLEER:
                             Would you provide us your
 7
    ZIP code as well?
                  MR. CHAPLIN: 55113.
 8
 9
                                                   I have
                  MS. FLEER: Thank you so much.
10
    Darrell Gerber next. And your ZIP code and your
11
    name?
12
                  MR. GERBER:
                               Okay.
                                      Thank you.
13
    name is Darrell Gerber, and I'm a water program
    coordinator at Clean Water Action Minnesota, and my
14
15
    ZIP code is 55409. And thank you for coming to
16
   Minnesota today to give us an opportunity to see the
17
    presentation firsthand as well as, you know, be able
18
    to make our comments in person. And thanks for
    coming on such a cold day and still doing this.
19
20
             The study provides an important look at the
21
    methods for preventing the movement of the aquatic
22
    invasive species between the two basins, the Great
```

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

Lakes and the Mississippi River, and, you know, I

think it's important to recognize that that

threshold, that for the first time, there is

(866) 448 - DEPO

23

24

- 1 actually a serious federal recognition and
- 2 consideration of separation of those two basins and
- 3 wanted to thank both the members of congress who
- 4 made the request for this focus and also the Corps
- 5 of Engineers for actually delivering on it and, you
- 6 know, providing this report and the information.
- 7 So as mentioned before, Asian carp has
- 8 definitely captured most of the attention around
- 9 this report. You know, I think that's what
- 10 everybody always talks about, but this is also
- 11 important for the other 11 species that you really
- 12 looked at and, you know, like a lot of people have
- 13 mentioned, the only separation is the one that will
- 14 be able to handle all 13 of those species. The
- 15 Chicago area is certainly a long ways away from
- 16 Minnesota, but, you know, there's certainly a lot
- 17 that we have at stake here for this. First of all,
- 18 the Great Lakes are a regional, national, and
- 19 international resource. They support an economy
- 20 that is actually larger than most countries. And
- 21 more personally, as an example, I actually learned
- 22 to paddle-board this summer in Milwaukee, and it was
- 23 actually at the Great Lakes conference which I think
- 24 both of you were at and it was in one of the
- 25 backwaters there of Lake Michigan, and,

- 1 incidentally, one of the places that the Asian carp
- 2 would really, really like if they were to get in
- 3 there, and certainly paddle-boarding is not
- 4 something that you would be doing if you had Asian
- 5 silver carp in particular in that water.
- And Minnesota, also being at the headwaters
- 7 of the Great Lakes, an example is the St. Louis
- 8 River is something that's at stake, another place
- 9 that provides one of those really nice habitats that
- 10 the Asian carp would look for. We've been spending
- 11 numerous millions of dollars to restore that. It's
- 12 one of the biggest and largest areas of concern
- 13 around the entire Great Lakes. We're actually on a
- 14 pathway to finish that in 25 years, and this would
- 15 be a direct threat to that success. Also, a large
- 16 portion of Minnesota, as you recognize, lies in the
- 17 Mississippi River basin with direct connections to
- 18 the Illinois River and its tributaries near Chicago.
- 19 And the zebra mussel is a really good cautionary
- 20 tale of what is at stake if we don't stop the
- 21 movement of those other invasive species the other
- 22 direction. As they moved around the state here,
- 23 they've caused innumerable damage to both the
- 24 ecosystem and the property values around all of the
- 25 lakes and streams that they go.

© 2014

And separation, again, is the only option 1 that really deals with that. And separation certainly comes with a price tag, but what is at 3 stake is actually more valuable. In Minnesota, the fish -- the \$4 billion fishing and boating industry 5 is at risk directly from the introduction of these 6 invasive species, but it also, as you recognize, has 7 additional benefits, too, with the reduction of the 8 sewage going into the lakes and other pollution and 9 10 So we look to congress, both -- congress flooding. 11 today to take these steps necessary to begin work on 12 the separation process, but then also look to the 13 Corps of Engineers, the local, state, and federal 14 partners, to really begin any of the work that you 15 possibly can now and don't wait for congress and 16 start working together to bring separation into 17 reality. 18 I think I was realizing when we were 19 thinking about 25 years that you think 25 years ago, 20 the bands that were then popular then are now doing 21 reunion tours. We don't want to have that today for 22 Lordes or somebody else. 23 MR. WETHINGTON: Thank you. 24 MS. FLEER: Thanks very much. So at 25 this point, we've heard from anyone who was

```
1 registered to speak, but we have plenty of time and
```

- 2 so I'd really like to invite anyone who's already
- 3 spoken or who hadn't registered to participate in
- 4 the discussion. Maybe while you're collecting your
- 5 thoughts, I'll also remind you that through
- 6 March 3rd, the comment period will be open, so
- 7 please feel free to leave a comment on our website.
- 8 Also, this comment registration form available at
- 9 the front table will give you instructions about how
- 10 to submit a comment by mail if you wish to do so
- 11 that way.
- 12 Sir?
- MR. NELSON: Can I?
- MS. FLEER: Please.
- 15 MR. NELSON: Thank you. Just in case
- 16 I decided to open my big mouth.
- MS. FLEER: Thank you.
- 18 MR. NELSON: Thank you. My name is
- 19 Lee Nelson. I'm with Upper River Services. We are
- 20 barge operators here in the Twin Cities. I'm also a
- 21 member of AWO, American Waterways Operators, and
- 22 thank you very much for having these meetings and
- 23 for coming here to the Twin Cities.
- 24 As you have indicated and as others have
- 25 indicated, this is one big system, and it is exactly

- 1 that: A system. And that's what we're talking
- 2 about and we're talking about will it continue to be
- 3 a system or will it be separated. The official
- 4 document, as has been indicated, is quite long and
- 5 quite extensive. As such, I would ask and I think
- 6 fellow members of AWO would ask that we be given an
- 7 extra 60 days or so to get through this.
- 8 Everybody's busy and we do want to give it proper
- 9 consideration. We have been working with all of you
- 10 for the last many years on the topic, and we aren't
- 11 trying to minimize it at all. It's very, very
- 12 important. We know that the work the Corps did was
- 13 fast-tracked to say the least, and as such, we want
- 14 to give this due consideration, so an extra 60 days
- 15 would be greatly appreciated. You know, you had
- 16 18 months to produce this. Give us four months to
- 17 go through all that you produced, and we'll get
- 18 things in.
- 19 You have a number of very interesting
- 20 alternatives and we want to go through them. As has
- 21 been spoken to today, but what gets moved
- 22 commercially through that system is vital, certainly
- 23 to the Chicagoland area if not the rest of the
- 24 nation, because it is all related due to it being a
- 25 system. That can't be minimized, nor can the

```
61
    importance of finding a way to make certain that we
    don't create environmental devastation, and so our
    goal is to work with everybody to reach a conclusion
    where we support a thriving environment and a
 5
    thriving economy. Thank you very much.
 6
                  By the way, my zip code, 55107.
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Thank you.
 8
                  MR. NELSON: Sorry about that.
 9
                  MS. FLEER: Appreciate it. Thank you.
10
                  MR. NELSON: Thank you.
11
                  MS. FLEER: So I'd like to invite
12
    anyone else who may have a question or comment to
13
    come forward.
14
                  (No response.)
15
                  COLONEL DRUMMOND: So at this point,
16
    you know, normally when we have a little bit smaller
17
    crowd, we try to ease and relax a little bit. I'm
18
    certain there's a lot of questions out there that
19
    folks want to be answered, so, you know, we do have
20
    a little bit of time. If nobody comes up, then I
21
    start asking Dave questions to sort of facilitate
22
    discussion, and he doesn't really like that because
23
    I put him on the spot.
24
             But, you know, the first obvious one that I
25
   heard tonight is time. I think Dave hit on it a
```

- 1 little bit. You know, the 25 years is predominantly
- 2 predicated on many of the things that we're seeing
- 3 in the Chicagoland area right now. For instance, we
- 4 got two large reservoirs, one being built in McCook
- 5 which is about 10 billion gallons, and then another
- 6 one that's called Thornton reservoir which is being
- 7 built and should be completed in about 2017 that's
- 8 7.5 billion gallons. So when Dave talks about
- 9 mitigation, our engineers, the cost estimate and the
- 10 cost data is very, very accurate because, you know,
- 11 we've been building the tunnels and, you know, we
- 12 have a relatively good idea of the timeline. Dave
- 13 may have mentioned it, I didn't hear it, but, you
- 14 know, the Corps of Engineers runs off two things:
- 15 Authorities and appropriations. So as you can
- 16 imagine, we may have the authority to do it, but if
- 17 we don't have the funding commensurate with the
- 18 level of work that we got to do, then it obviously
- 19 slows building reservoirs down over time. So, you
- 20 know, and this is -- this report I think, you know,
- 21 based on the data that we have out there, the
- 22 mitigations are very important.
- 23 And the other thing I'll throw out and a
- 24 gentleman had mentioned, you know, the environmental
- 25 concerns. In the last two and a half years since

- 1 I've been in Chicago, we've had two, maybe three
- 2 100-year flood events, torrential downpours. We
- 3 just had one last April. And it causes a
- 4 significant disruption to the city and the upper
- 5 Des Plaines area as well as the branch rivers and
- 6 the main canal, and I think Dave had explained the
- 7 reverse flow scenarios that present Chicago with a
- 8 whole host of very complex decision-making skills
- 9 that go on between Metropolitan Water Reclamation
- 10 District, who is the main owner of the canals,
- 11 myself, and Colonel Deschenes down here on trying to
- 12 help manage the system to the best we can. And I
- 13 perhaps didn't mention tonight but I know Dave did
- 14 in his second slide, it is a very, very complex
- 15 undertaking, and I've heard a lot of good opinions
- 16 tonight that could perhaps help us as well as key
- 17 stakeholders and government officials analyze the
- 18 best way to go about this.
- 19 Dave, do you want to talk any more about
- 20 mitigation or have we --
- MR. WETHINGTON: I think you got it,
- 22 sir.
- 23 COLONEL DRUMMOND: I got it? You
- 24 know, so we provided in the back, as you get ready
- 25 to depart tonight, you can look at the eight options

© 2014

- 1 up there and sort of see the range of options and
- 2 technologies.
- 3 Earlier today, we had very good discussion
- 4 with your DNR, who I might add is a very active
- 5 member in the ACRCC. In the time I've been here,
- 6 the Minnesota DNR is actively involved in all this.
- 7 In fact, they had great suggestions on risk
- 8 mitigation because they understand what's going on
- 9 as well as we know that they got various programs
- 10 that are going on in the state right now, and so I
- 11 would just add the comment that I made today is all
- 12 these different things that they're doing in the
- 13 State of Minnesota has a directed affect on
- 14 everything that we're talking about here, and that
- 15 is individuals, folks like yourself, coming up with
- 16 good ideas and various states trying these ideas out
- 17 and then, as you see in the back, these range of
- 18 options come with a caveat of technology, so as
- 19 technology is coming in, we throw them in through
- 20 ACRCC and we try to adapt our situation to, you
- 21 know, adaptive management principles to do the best
- 22 we can to deal with the threat that is being
- 23 discussed.
- 24 And I think there was a good point, a
- 25 couple gentlemen had mentioned, you know, there is

- 1 ten invasive species which we have analyzed as
- 2 either being medium or high coming down from the
- 3 Great Lakes through the Mississippi and then the
- 4 three going up. But honestly, you know, throughout
- 5 most sessions we've been into, the common thread is
- 6 the Asian carp. And so, you know, there is what I
- 7 would consider a very good suite of options up there
- 8 for you, the public, to advise your local
- 9 politicians as well as stakeholders on how we want
- 10 to address this. It does come with a hefty tag, and
- 11 I think several of you here -- ma'am, you up in
- 12 front -- Isaac Walton, we've run into them at
- 13 several different forums and their voice is well
- 14 heard, but this is how we let our administration
- 15 know, you know, what is important, not only to the
- 16 Great Lakes, but to the Mississippi River basin,
- 17 which is key, as this gentleman over here said, to a
- 18 whole host of different commerce activities that go
- 19 on in this country.
- 20 You know, this Chicago Area Waterway System
- 21 was built in the late -- started in the late 1888s.
- 22 Back then, I think -- Glen, you can correct me -- I
- 23 think we had roughly about 670 to 700,000 people in
- 24 Chicago. They reversed that river because there was
- 25 a dilemma, and it's called dysentery and there was

- 1 all kinds of problems going within the waterway, so
- 2 the folks back then made a decision to do that. So
- 3 here we are, flash forward plus a hundred years
- 4 later, and we're faced with another environmental
- 5 issue, and I'm not biased to any of them up there.
- 6 You know, my job is get out here and ensure the
- 7 public understands what my engineers are seeing, and
- 8 I think Dave hit it a little bit earlier and I think
- 9 it's an important point. You know, 19 different
- 10 districts were involved in this, a whole range of
- 11 engineering skills all the way from Jacksonville,
- 12 Florida, which is the center of an invasive species
- 13 expertise all the way up to Seattle through our
- 14 local districts in Rock Island and St. Paul who
- 15 provide information into this report. These
- 16 engineers, I go to work every day and I see them day
- 17 in and day out. They have the same passion and
- 18 respect for the Great Lakes and the Mississippi
- 19 River as anybody else in the room that I've been
- 20 touring, so, you know, each one of them in this room
- 21 that has a red lanyard, and we're also surrounded by
- 22 some distinguished folks out of St. Paul who are in
- 23 this room, has the same desire, and that is, you
- 24 know, exactly what our charter asked us to do is
- 25 present a range of options and technology to prevent

```
67
    the interbasin transfer of ANS. So I'm done
 2
    talking. Anybody come up? Yes, sir.
                                          Come on.
                  MR. NELSON: Do you want me to come
 3
    down or I can ask from here?
 5
                  COLONEL DRUMMOND: You probably need
    to come down so she can --
 7
                               I was just trying to keep
                  MR. NELSON:
    it going for you. I just have a couple questions.
 8
 9
                  COLONEL DRUMMOND: Yes. Go ahead.
10
                  MR. NELSON: And, John, maybe this
11
    gets directed at you, but a couple years ago, we
12
    were hearing more talk about a bio bullet, and I
13
    know that's kind of species specific, but is there
    an update on a bio bullet that -- because I know
14
15
    there was work being done down in La Crosse, I think
    Wisconsin DNR was involved. Is that a fair
16
17
    question? And then I got another one that I'll ask.
18
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Okay.
19
                  MR. GOSS: Yeah.
                                    The U.S. Geological
20
    Survey science team has identified what they think
21
    could be very Asian carp specific, that would be
22
    affecting only the digestive system and be lethal to
23
    Asian carp, and so they have tested preliminarily.
24
    They are still working on what the thickness of the
25
    coating and exactly what material would work best on
```

- 1 the coating on the toxin would be, but certainly
- 2 we're working with them to move it forward,
- 3 hopefully to registration, and so that -- it has to
- 4 go through a pretty extensive review process with
- 5 the EPA.
- 6 MR. TEUTSCH: Your three minutes ran
- 7 out, John. I think your mic cut off.
- 8 COLONEL DRUMMOND: That's basically
- 9 it.
- 10 MR. NELSON: Okay. They are making
- 11 progress?
- MR. GOSS: Still on?
- MR. NELSON: All right. Alternative 2
- 14 talked about education and showed boat ramps and
- 15 such and we heard many people talk about -- they're
- 16 all off. Okay.
- 17 COLONEL DRUMMOND: We can hear you.
- MR. NELSON: I don't care. We heard
- 19 many people talk about zebra mussels and zebra
- 20 mussels up at Alexandria. Unfortunately, a lot of
- 21 zebra mussels and Eurasian milfoil that spread in
- 22 Minnesota were spread via pleasure boats, and have
- 23 you figured out a better way to modify human
- 24 behavior? Because a number of us are very
- 25 frustrated about that, and the fear that everyone

© 2014

69 has and I'm sure everybody in this room, we can cut everything off we want physically, you can cut the 2 waterways, you can cut them in half, but people have 3 the fear that they may still move them, and has anybody figured out how to modify behavior? 5 6 MR. WETHINGTON: So what you speak 7 to --8 MR. NELSON: Are we going to close all 9 the boat ramps? 10 MR. WETHINGTON: What you speak to is 11 part of that shared responsibility. It certainly is an issue and it will be. It's one of those residual 12 13 risks that remain. You make a very, you know, 14 elegant point where you can spend billions of 15 dollars on a physical solution toward preventing the 16 transfer of aquatic nuisance species via aquatic 17 pathways, but that could all be undone by the 18 carelessness of a few or many. And so that's 19 something that's part of why engagement sessions 20 like this are important, and continued education and 21 outreach, you know, by local resource agencies, 22 state resource agencies, will certainly continue to 23 be a very necessary part of this long-term strategy 24 on aquatic nuisance species control.

MS. CRAFTON: We need some

```
70
    decontamination units is what we need.
                  MR. NELSON: Have to have people use
 2
    them, unfortunately.
 3
                  MS. CRAFTON: It's a start.
 4
 5
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   I'm going to
    caution -- hold on.
 7
                  MS. CRAFTON:
                                Sorry.
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Because we have a
 8
    stenographer here and the microphones went out, if
 9
    we're going to speak, we need to try and still go
10
    through the motions of one at a time, please.
11
12
                  MS. CRAFTON: Internal conversation.
13
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                    Okay.
14
                  MS. FLEER: Just to give a signal by a
15
    show of hands if you'd like to make a comment or
16
    question, please. Go ahead. If you'd identify
17
    yourself again and your ZIP code as well?
                  COLONEL DRUMMOND: Could we have
18
19
    another mic?
                  We're dead.
20
                  MR. WETHINGTON:
                                   Can you check?
21
                  MR. GERBER: Darrell Gerber, 55409.
                                                        Ι
22
   was wondering, some of the technologies, like the
23
    GLMRIS locks and the water treatment system, have
24
   those been demonstrated, actually used anywhere to
25
    actually address these species of invasive species
```

71 and kind of what is the, you know, percentage of reduction that have been shown for both of those? COLONEL DRUMMOND: Yeah. 3 discussion would spur some. There it is. 5 MR. WETHINGTON: So the GLMRIS lock itself has been conceptualized at our Engineering Research and Development Center down in 7 Jacksonville. We have a number of experts who did a 8 little bit of back-of-the-envelope modeling and said 10 this is certainly something that would work. 11 idea of using a plug flow concept, which is what this is, is very traditionally accepted in chemical 12 13 engineering fields, process engineering. I'm a 14 chemical engineer by training. So it is used in 15 scale and, for example, in process engineering for 16 cleaning chrome plating baths, getting chromate out 17 of a bath. This would be taking a similar idea, 18 scaling it up to a large size. Has it been 19 implemented to prevent aquatic nuisance species 20 transfer? The answer is no. Lock and dams or Corps 21 lock structures have been used for environmental 22 purposes to help combat saltwater intrusion, for 23 example, so we have modified navigation structures 24 for environmental purposes, so it's not completely

outside the realm of being -- yeah, being possible.

72 However, certainly additional work would need to be completed and detail design to try and really get to 2 how you would scale it up: Size of pumps, flow rates, lockage times, etc. All that, while we hope it would be very similar to what exists today, would 5 need to be further developed. 6 7 MS. FLEER: Thank you. 8 COLONEL DRUMMOND: Any other comments? 9 MR. WETHINGTON: Any other questions? Thoughts? 10 COLONEL DRUMMOND: 11 MS. CRAFTON: Yes. Just need people 12 to want to get this done. I quess that's the biggest thing is that anybody involved should be "we 13 can do this" kind of person as opposed to --14 15 COLONEL DRUMMOND: Well, and I know, I 16 think, exactly, you know, what you were saying 17 It's about the discussion, and right now, earlier. 18 John hit on it. I mean, right now is the time to 19 continue this discussion so organizations like yours 20 and like yours, it's absolutely vital you get on the 21 website, you get us your opinion and we document it 22 as such in public meetings. John? 23 MR. GOSS: I think the message of

urgency has gotten through to all of us. We have to

look at what can be done two years, four years, as

24

73 well as the long term. Any other? 2 MS. FLEER: COLONEL DRUMMOND: So I think they're 3 going to work on the mic a little bit. So we got a little bit of time, and normally when we close out 5 like this, we will stay around for the entire period, and feel free to engage each and every one of us on certain topics, and perhaps you didn't want to get up here and, you know, discuss it with us. 9 Ι 10 will tell you it's my pleasure being here at the 11 Twin Cities. I think it's important to open up the 12 dialogue with the members of the public. You know, 13 this is just not our politicians' responsibility. 14 We owe it to them as the public also to have a 15 candid conversation, to help them work through 16 this -- what I would call probably the most complex 17 topic I've seen in my time in the military, because 18 It's wide-ranging, the entire Great Lakes 19 all the way down to the bottom of the Mississippi, 20 and it affects a whole host of individuals that are 21 out there, so your voice absolutely counts, and I 22 would always end on the note that we threw a lot of 23 information at you tonight. We will certainly take 24 your points, sir, back on the 60 days, but take the 25 time, digest 25 pages, go to the 232 pages, and then

74

- 1 if you feel that you need to dive into the 10,000
- 2 pages, there's a whole lot of appendices, take a
- 3 little bit of time to download that stuff off our
- 4 website and, you know, just sort of sit back and
- 5 analyze what we, in concert with many other
- 6 organizations, came up with over the last 18 months.
- 7 This is -- as Dave said, it's shared responsibility,
- 8 and the Corps of Engineers had a lot of input
- 9 through state agencies, the ACRCC, as well as
- 10 members of the ESC as we went through this process.
- 11 So it was certainly not in a -- you know, the Corps
- 12 of Engineers back in their own cubicle. We had a
- 13 lot of input, very good input, that helped us to get
- 14 to where we needed.
- 15 Perfect timing. I will get ready to --
- 16 Dave, do you got anything else you'd like to add?
- 17 MR. WETHINGTON: Toss it to Lauren
- 18 to --
- 19 MS. FLEER: Okay. Would any of our
- 20 panelists like to make any final comments?
- MR. GOSS: No.
- 22 MS. FLEER: No? In that case, I want
- 23 to thank everyone very much for coming out on such a
- 24 tremendously cold day. We will be around for, you
- 25 know, several more minutes, as long as people want

		75
1	to engage and find out more. Please stop by our	
2	table on the way out and help yourself to any of	
3	the, you know, reading material that we brought for	
4	you today and also please remember that the public	
5	comment period does extend through March 3rd and so	
6	please feel free to make a comment at the website or	
7	by mail if you wish. And thanks from all of us	
8	again.	
9	(The meeting concluded at 5:40 p.m.)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		76
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, Karen J. Macaulay, hereby certify that I am	
4	qualified as a verbatim shorthand reporter;	
5	That I took in stenographic shorthand the	
6	foregoing proceedings at the time and place	
7	aforesaid;	
8	That the foregoing transcript is a true and	
9	correct transcription of the proceedings, to the	
10	best of my ability;	
11	Witness my hand and seal this 4th day of	
12	February, 2014.	
13		
14		
15	KAREN J. MACAULAY Registered Diplomate Reporter	
16	Notary Public Carlton County, Minnesota	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1 a	50 1	
\$	<b>232</b> 13:3 73:25	<b>55108</b> 42:22	31:8 76:10
<b>\$15.1</b> 34:23	<b>25</b> 12:25 24:23	<b>55113</b> 55:8	able 6:20 18:13
<b>\$15.5</b> 28:13 34:4	28:12 34:22	<b>55408</b> 51:4	28:7 48:13 55:17
<b>\$18.4</b> 31:13	36:12 40:16 49:24 50:2,3	<b>55409</b> 55:15 70:21	56:14
<b>\$4</b> 58:5	57:14 58:19 62:1	<b>55438</b> 47:12	<b>absolutely</b> 45:20,25 72:20
<b>\$7</b> 53:3	73:25	<b>59</b> 2:19	73:21
<b>\$7.8</b> 29:20 31:2	<b>25-year</b> 34:3 54:15		acceptable 54:22
	<b>27</b> 1:9	6	accepted 71:12
1 1 6:1 24:2	<b>29</b> 53:8	<b>6</b> 32:23 48:24 51:15 52:8,16	access 49:12
<b>10</b> .1 24.2 <b>10</b> 24:23 62:5		<b>60</b> 60:7,14 73:24	accomplish 10:3
	3 3 26:19 30:4	<b>60602</b> 39:13	accomplishing
<b>10,000</b> 13:4 40:9 74:1	<b>30</b> 13:19	<b>65</b> 18:2	40:20
<b>100</b> 48:14	<b>300</b> 32:12,22	<b>67</b> 2:20	accomplishment
100-year 63:2	<b>35</b> 20:15,17	<b>670</b> 65:23	9:19
<b>11</b> 56:11	<b>3815</b> 1:13	6th 3:21 12:17	accurate 39:5 62:10
<b>13</b> 8:17 11:4 20:17	<b>39</b> 2:13	16:19,20	achieved 24:20
23:3 51:19 56:14	3rd 38:1 59:6 75:5		achieving 45:3
<b>16</b> 41:6,7,13 48:23	<b>014</b> 30.1 37.0 73.3	7	acoustical 48:11
<b>18</b> 7:17 13:13	4	7 34:5	ACRCC 12:1
16:19,20 60:16 74:6	4 30:4	<b>7,000</b> 12:21	64:5,20 74:9
1888s 65:21	<b>4.2</b> 35:11	<b>7.5</b> 62:8	across 7:15 39:16
<b>19</b> 15:4 66:9	<b>4:00</b> 1:10	<b>7.8</b> 30:23	47:25 48:15
19 13.4 66.9 1950s 9:17	<b>42</b> 2:14	<b>70</b> 2:21	act 25:21 48:25
19508 9.17	<b>444</b> 47:15	<b>700</b> 32:23 52:14	51:24
2	<b>45</b> 13:19	700,000 65:23	action 5:23 22:13 24:3,6 27:16
<b>2</b> 8:9 24:25 68:13	<b>47</b> 2:15	<b>72</b> 2:22	49:15 50:7 55:14
<b>20</b> 13:14 51:10	<b>499</b> 47:15	8	actions 8:25
<b>200</b> 20:15	<b>4th</b> 76:11	8 34:5	active 25:5 64:4
<b>2007</b> 14:21		<b>85</b> 18:2	actively 64:6
<b>2009</b> 14:23,25 16:5	5 5 31:3		activities 23:15
48:8	<b>5:40</b> 75:9	9	24:4,11,14 25:4
<b>2010</b> 16:6	<b>50</b> 2:16 24:24	<b>9.2</b> 18:18 33:24 44:23	65:18
<b>2012</b> 16:14,20	<b>50,000</b> 7:5	90 21:2	actually 6:8 14:3,22 18:3
<b>2013</b> 48:24	<b>52</b> 2:17	70 21.2	33:11 40:7 51:6
<b>2014</b> 1:9 9:23	<b>53</b> 12:18	A	56:1,5,20,21,23
16:20 76:12	<b>55</b> 2:18	abbreviated 3:20	57:13 58:4 70:24,25
<b>2017</b> 62:7	<b>55107</b> 61:6	<b>ability</b> 20:18 30:9	adapt 36:23 64:20
	33107 01.0		папре 30.23 04.20

	Pag	,c 2	
adaptable 11:18	agenda 3:15	19:7,10,12,19	38:21 39:10
adaptive 30:8,9	<b>ago</b> 9:25 51:10	<b>amount</b> 29:16	51:12,24
36:21 64:21	58:19 67:11	analysis 5:7 19:23	approaches 51:16
add 10:1 39:22	ahead 8:24 39:7	analyze 13:8,21	approaching
64:4,11 74:16	42:21 67:9 70:16	63:17 74:5	31:14 52:2
adding 33:5	Alexandria 53:22	analyzed 65:1	appropriate
additional 19:23	68:20	<b>Andrea</b> 2:16 47:6	29:14,15
23:19 24:19 28:8	<b>algae</b> 23:4 43:16	50:20,25	appropriately
31:9 58:8 72:1	align 17:1	anglers 26:3 37:12	28:7
Additionally	<b>Alliance</b> 39:12,23	announcement	appropriations
48:22	allows 21:24 22:4	10:13	62:15
address 3:10,24 22:23 23:12	<b>alone</b> 23:11	annually 49:8 50:2	April 63:3
43:22 47:19	already 14:10 41:4	ANS 11:1 22:15,22	aquatic 10:25
51:19 65:10	51:22 59:2	51:19 67:1	13:24 14:10
70:25	Alsip 32:8	answer 14:18	15:11,17 16:25 18:22,24
addressed 42:25	_	40:25 71:20	22:15,16,20
46:11,15	alternate 34:24	answered 61:19	23:5,13 24:9
adequately 48:12	alternative		25:8,10,22
administration	5:13,23 6:1 8:9 19:18 23:14,18	anticipate 42:1	26:7,10,25
5:11 9:22 65:14	24:2,3,5,18,25	anticipated 30:25	27:1,4,5,13,16,1 9,22 28:17,24
ado 5:2	25:1 26:19,20	anybody 66:19	29:4,25
advance 30:15	27:8 28:16	67:2 69:5 72:13	36:4,5,6,8,20
45:13	30:4,7,25	anybody's 39:7	37:2,14 41:15
adverse 15:24,25	31:3,5,19 35:16,20 45:19	anyone 37:23	42:24 43:7,11,21
19:2 20:20 33:21	51:15 52:8,16	58:25 59:2 61:12	48:21 53:6 54:6,23 55:21
35:24	68:13	anything 43:15	69:16,24 71:19
advise 65:8	alternatives 5:7,12	74:16	area 8:11,16
advocates 48:16	11:11,13,15	anyway 42:20	10:10,22 13:22
	14:16 16:1,11	anywhere 38:5	15:23 16:22
affect 64:13	18:25	70:24	17:11,15,19
affecting 67:22	19:4,6,8,10,13,1 9 20:2,8,10	<b>apart</b> 11:21 28:19	18:5,13,14,19,21
affects 73:20	21:14 24:21	apologize 39:7	20:13 25:9 27:15 28:9,20 31:21,25
aforesaid 76:7	26:2,13,20 28:15	appendices 74:2	33:25 40:11
afternoon 3:2,16	31:4,18 34:18	application 15:18	44:24 47:14
4:4,20 10:9	36:1,3,18,23	25:9	49:11 55:2 56:15
afternoon's 3:3	37:9,11 41:21 46:16 60:20	applications 22:9	60:23 62:3 63:5
afterward 4:25		applied 21:3 30:13	65:20
agencies 5:10	am 76:3		areas 6:9 8:5,20,21 42:1 50:10 57:12
16:6,7 17:3 24:8	America 49:16	<b>Appreciate</b> 5:5 61:9	
69:21,22 74:9	American 1:13		aren't 38:4 54:5 60:10
agency 49:6	59:21	appreciated 60:15	
	among	approach 33:20	arising 46:23

41:13     arrays 22:4     arrived 3:13      bait 25:16     ballast 48:17     bands 58:20      bait 25:16     bedrock 52:1     begin 14:21,22     30:2 54:11      Pleaming	7,20 62:1 :9 73:4,5
41:13     arrays 22:4     arrived 3:13      bait 25:16     ballast 48:17     bands 58:20      bait 25:16     bedrock 52:1     begin 14:21,22     30:25 54:11      Pleaming	:9 73:4,5
arrays 22:4 arrived 3:13  ballast 48:17 bands 58:20  bedrock 52:1 begin 14:21,22 30:2 54:11  Planning	
arrived 3:13 bands 58:20 begin 14:21,22 blocks 31:	
20.2 54.11 Pleaming	:6
ASA 12:4   barga 6:16 22:9   39:2 34:11   Diodining	ton 1:14
barge 0.10 25.8   58:11.14   47:19	
Asian 6:2,6 8:6 10:1 11:1,23	
42:6 46:2 47:20   barges 0.20   69:5   board 47:	10
48:13 49:12.14   barrier   behind 15:15   best 25:14	-
53:1,12 56:7	
57:1,4,10 65:6	
21:17.21.25   27:18 40:3 41:12	
asiancarp.us 10:5   22:2.5.6.23   benefit 52:15   boaters 20	
aspect 16:4 24:14 29:13 benefits 52:9 58:8 boating 1	
assessment 7:14 34:7,16 44:19,21 besides 6:24 boats 23:9	9 68:22
Assistant 41:12   43.14 46.9 34.4   bodies 51	:25
hook 12.2	.5
33:17 24:13 27:10,12 19:7,11 26:11 23:18,22 23:18,22	2,23
assure 7:24 35:2 48:11 63:12,18 64:21 book-end	
attention 30:6 based 21:4 22:17 67:25 76:10 27:11 28	8:20
56.8 43:8 62:21 <b>better</b> 32:16 49:6 <b>books</b> 23:	16 29:21
attributable 30:2 baseline 68:23 born 51:7	
31:17	2:2 73:19
Authorities 62:15 basically 3:19 bid 49:25 BOULEV	
authority 62:16  38:2,23 45:24  bidirectional  1:13	TIED
50:15 68:8 26:24	)· <i>4</i>
authorization 6.24   hasin 15:13 20:10   higgest 26:17	
21:20 26:18 57:12 72:13 <b>DI AICH</b> 03	
available 3:13,23 30:17 36:9 bighead 7:4 Brandon 30:19 34	
13.11 30.11 37.6 40:8,9 48:1,15 30.19 34	
65:16 killiam 20:12 20:20	
avoid 52:14   basins 15:13 18:22   30:23 31:2.13   briefed 12	
away 8:12 56:15   bashis 13:13 18:22   34:4,23 53:3   briefly 20	:9
<b>AWO</b> 59:21 60:6 25:12 31:15 36:7 58:5 62:5,8 <b>bring</b> 10:1	10 49:1
37:17 47:3 54:6 <b>billions</b> 49:7 69:14 58:16	
B 55:2,22 56:2 bio 67:12,14 bringing 5	5:6 36:8
backflow 18:16 basis 7:11 biologists 15:2 brought 7	75:3
background 21:8         bath 71:17         53:13         brown 15	:15
back-of-the- bathrooms 3:11 bit 11:3 12:8 13:10 bucket 25	:16
envelope 71:9 baths 71:16 14:7 16:16,24 budget 9:	
backwaters 56:25   backwaters 53:25   24:18 32:9 37:21   backwaters 56:25   backwaters 53:25   backwaters 56:25   backwaters 56	
bad 25:16 32:15 53:21 buffer 28:	.13

	Pag	ge 4	
build 42:8 44:18 building 62:11,19 built 62:4,7 65:21 bullet 67:12,14 busy 60:8 buy 36:18 bypass 27:4  Cal-Sag 34:10,12 Canadian 9:18 canal 34:12,17 63:6 canals 63:10 candid 73:15 canoe 29:10 capacity 48:17 captured 56:8 carbon 6:25 care 68:18 carelessness 69:18 cargo 17:23 21:10 29:12 Carlton 76:16 carp 6:2,6 7:5 8:3,6,13 10:1 11:2,23 25:6 42:6 46:2 47:20 48:13 49:12,14,22 53:1 54:7 56:7 57:1,5,10 65:6 67:21,23 case 59:15 74:22 caused 57:23 causes 63:3	CAWS 2:8 16:23	32:7,14,16,18 33:17 34:11,16 40:21,22 55:2 56:15 57:18 63:1,7 65:20,24  Chicagoland 31:21 33:25 44:24 60:23 62:3 chlorine 7:1 chose 33:21 chosen 33:5 chromate 71:16 cities 10:10 37:16,20 50:9 59:20,23 73:11 citizen 42:18 51:1 citizens 49:5 city 18:17 43:18 63:4  Clancy 13:4 clean 25:14 32:16,23 33:3 43:20 51:24 55:14 cleaned 43:18 cleaning 22:14 71:16 clear 27:16 48:24 climate 46:8,18 close 8:1 40:24 41:14 69:8 73:5 closely 10:21 closest 40:1 closing 9:1 48:19 coating 67:25 68:1 code 39:4,5 42:22	74:24 Collaboration 9:2 collaborative
	4:7,10 5:8 8:12	<b>coating</b> 67:25 68:1	70:15 75:5,6

	Pag	36.3	
commerce 65:18 commercial 7:4,6	46:16 conceptualized	53:13 <b>conservative</b> 33:20	20:22,24 21:1,2,7 26:13
17:23 21:10 commercially	71:6 conceptual-level	<b>consider</b> 52:16 65:7	27:19 30:10 31:9 <b>conversation</b> 36:15 70:12
60:22 committee 11:23	19:11 <b>concern</b> 8:18,21	<b>consideration</b> 51:5 56:2 60:9,14	73:15 <b>conveyance</b> 17:25
16:5 42:7 46:2 47:24 48:14	20:14,16 25:23 27:6,20 30:16 36:17 43:23	construct 22:6 constructed 22:3	29:17 cooperatively 49:3
common 49:22 65:5	44:13 51:19 53:13 57:12	27:11 30:21 <b>construction</b> 20:1	<b>coordinating</b> 6:5 11:23 42:7 46:2
communications 40:2	<b>concerned</b> 5:4,20 48:8,10 53:16	21:23,25 24:14 25:3 35:25 45:13	coordinator 55:14
Compact 9:20 compared 21:25	<b>concerns</b> 52:24 62:25	contain 28:6 containment 50:3	23:17
comparing 19:7 comparison 11:16	concert 74:5 conclude 35:21	contaminated 33:19	corners 29:23 Corps 3:6,21
19:12 <b>compensate</b> 15:25	concluded 75:9	Continental 7:16 continue 7:7,9 9:6	4:8,10 5:10 7:14 11:17,21 17:2 19:8 24:11 39:20
<b>complete</b> 7:14 16:17 44:20	conclusion 61:3 conditions 49:12	24:23 27:7 51:22 60:2 69:22 72:19	40:18 41:17 48:25 49:17 53:7
<b>completed</b> 16:21 62:7 72:2	conduit 18:6 conference 56:23	continued 69:20 continues 7:5	56:4 58:13 60:12 62:14 71:20 74:8,11
<b>completely</b> 26:6 71:24	configurations 22:5	continuing 6:2 contribute 32:12	<b>Corps's</b> 33:11
<b>completion</b> 19:24 28:12 31:1,12	<b>congress</b> 9:11 12:19 56:3	contributed 9:16	correct 65:22 76:9 cost 19:5,6,9,20
complex 5:8 10:24 13:21 17:14,21	58:10,15 congressional 5:22	contributes 30:5 contributing	26:14,15 29:20,24,25 30:5,22 31:13
32:1 63:8,14 73:16	connected 49:9 connection 17:11	34:21 44:24 <b>contributors</b> 6:7	34:3,23 35:3 36:2 54:21
compliance 19:24 48:18	18:23 connections 7:15	<b>control</b> 6:6,12 7:20 8:3 9:14,16 10:2	62:9,10 <b>costs</b> 19:10 20:4
<b>components</b> 44:16 45:1	8:2 21:7 57:17 <b>cons</b> 20:8	14:11 25:2,10 26:21,24 27:13,18,22	28:13 30:2 31:17 33:23 34:1
comprised 16:6 18:3 26:15	<b>consensus</b> 5:19 36:19 42:4,8	28:5,9,17,18,19, 22,23,25 29:1	<b>Council</b> 2:9 4:5 6:3 42:8
conceivable 45:17 concept 21:18,21	Conservancy 52:22	30:1,18,24 37:2,14 41:15	counties 50:9 countries 56:20
28:16 41:15 42:24 43:7 71:11	conservation 52:21	52:10 69:24 controlled 29:8	<b>country</b> 8:5 65:19 <b>counts</b> 73:21
conceptual 19:1,4 20:3,4 22:17	conservationists	controlling 24:8 controls 6:2	<b>County</b> 76:16

	Pag	36.0	
<b>couple</b> 17:8,9 29:5	61:21,25 62:8,12	25:6	direction 57:22
35:22 42:23 64:25 67:8,11	63:6,13,19 66:8 74:7,16	description 21:16	directions 54:11
court 38:22	day 5:5 12:18	deserve 49:6	directly 16:1 51:24
	25:17	design 5:15	58:6
cover 35:23 54:6	32:13,22,23	19:5,11,23 20:4	discharge 52:14
covering 13:22	55:19 66:16,17 74:24 76:11	27:22,23 72:2	discouraged 49:23
cracking 31:20		<b>designed</b> 7:21 19:1	discuss 73:9
Crafton 2:15,22 42:16	days 13:19 60:7,14 73:24	desire 66:23	discussed 64:23
47:6,8,12,18	dead 70:19	<b>detail</b> 19:23 35:15 72:2	discussion 4:14,25
50:22 69:25	deal 28:3 53:20	determined 46:4	10:11 13:15 38:13 59:4 61:22
70:4,7,12 72:11	64:22	deterrent 45:17	64:3 71:4
create 61:2	<b>dealing</b> 11:25 32:1	deterrents 6:25	72:17,19
criteria 11:10 19:17 35:7,8,14	deals 58:2	48:10	disinfect 43:20
critical 36:1	decided 31:21	Detroit 32:19	disruption 63:4
critically 39:17	59:16	devastation 61:2	distinguished
Crosse 67:15	decision 42:3 52:2	develop 5:18 7:22	66:22
crowd 61:17	66:2	developed 43:5	<b>district</b> 2:3,6 3:7 4:8,10 10:16
cubicle 74:12	<b>decision-makers</b> 11:14 19:15 20:6	45:18 72:6	47:10 49:22 50:8
curious 41:1	35:6	developing 6:9,11	63:10
	decision-making	development	districts 15:5
current 22:7 46:1	63:8	48:16 71:7	66:10,14
currently 22:2 24:6,22 46:1	decontamination	dialogue 73:12	ditch 17:12
cut 50:12 68:7	70:1	<b>Dieu</b> 53:22	dive 74:1
69:1,2,3	definitely 56:8	<b>different</b> 15:4,6,19	divide 7:16 15:13
cutting 53:24	delay 13:24	19:8,12,19 22:5,24 29:24	17:1 26:18 31:23,24
	delivering 56:5	30:10,12 36:22	<b>division</b> 10:15
D 10 11	demonstrated	37:16 64:12	DNR 6:7 7:21
dam 48:11	70:24	65:13,18 66:9	12:13 64:4,6
damage 57:23	demonstrates 28:21	digest 13:9 73:25	67:16
dams 71:20	depart 63:25	digestive 67:22	doable 5:21
dangerous 14:4	depending 30:13	digress 47:22	document 60:4
<b>Darrell</b> 2:18,21 52:19 55:10,13	depicts 25:7	dilemma 65:25	72:21
70:21	Deputy 10:18	dioxide 7:1	documentation 19:25 39:6
data 13:5 20:5	Des 63:5	Diplomate 76:15	dollars 49:7 57:11
62:10,21	Deschenes 10:19	<b>direct</b> 12:3,4 19:3 57:15,17	69:15
Dave 2:7 4:9 11:19	63:11	directed 64:13	done 17:2 23:2
13:12,18,25 14:23 38:14	described 24:17	67:11	25:17 39:20
14.23 38.14		····	40:18 41:4 50:13

	Pag	36.7	
67:1,15 72:12,25	53:5 54:3	engaged 14:19	34:3,21,22
door 3:11,12	ecologically 54:4	37:13	estimates 19:5,7
download 74:3	economic 19:21	engagement 16:3	estimating 19:9
downpours 63:2	35:17 40:12	52:11 69:19	Eurasian 68:21
downstream 18:10	economies 21:9	<b>engineer</b> 11:17 71:14	evaluate 17:16
28:25 33:8	economy 56:19	engineered 21:23	19:18
<b>DPM's</b> 10:17	61:5	engineering 66:11	evaluated 7:17
dramatically	ecosystem 57:24	71:6,13,15	20:21 46:1
53:11	<b>eDNA</b> 7:11	engineers 3:7,21	<b>evaluation</b> 11:10
draw 18:15 30:6	education	4:8,10 5:10	19:17 35:7
drinking 22:18	25:13,19 68:14 69:20	11:22 15:1 17:2	evening 14:13
43:8,17,19,20 44:5	effective 6:10	19:9 24:12 41:17 56:5 58:13	event 28:1
	27:5,18 28:5	62:9,14 66:7,16	events 17:7
driver 36:1	48:6 49:18 54:21	74:8,12	18:12,16 28:10 49:10 63:2
driving 52:25	efficient 43:5	ensure 66:6	eventually 8:13
<b>Drummond</b> 2:6 4:7 10:8 14:9	<b>effort</b> 54:23	ensuring 35:24	18:11
15:3 16:2 38:15	efforts 16:22 24:7	<b>entire</b> 55:2 57:13	everybody 12:13
42:21 61:15	35:1	73:6,18	13:10 14:2 38:22
63:23 67:5,9	<b>eight</b> 14:16 41:6	environment	56:10 61:3 69:1
68:8,17 70:18 71:3 72:8,10,15	63:25	40:13 61:4	Everybody's 60:8
73:3	either 3:12 21:20	environmental 2:9	everyone 3:2,3
dry 27:24	23:11 27:12 28:20 29:22	4:5 6:3 9:5 19:22,24 35:17	5:20 9:16 10:9 14:6 23:15 37:23
due 60:14,24	30:13 34:7,17	44:17,25 46:5	68:25 74:23
dump 25:16	38:19 44:16 65:2	51:13 61:2 62:24	everything 64:14
duration 35:18	electric	66:4 71:21,24	69:2
36:10	6:11,14,18,21,24	<b>EPA</b> 68:5	exactly 59:25
during 17:6 18:15	7:7 21:21 22:4,23 24:13	episodic 17:5	66:24 67:25
35:23	27:10,12 48:9,11	equally 16:12	72:16
dysentery 65:25	elegant 69:14	<b>ESC</b> 74:10	examines 10:25
	elements 19:17	essential 33:11	<b>example</b> 9:15 30:8,16 44:18
E earlier 14:3 24:18	25:13	essentially 34:6	56:21 57:7
25:25 64:3 66:8	else 38:5 49:25	establish 20:21	71:15,23
72:17	58:22 61:12	established 20:20	examples 19:20
early 16:5	66:19 74:16	46:4	22:11 35:8
ease 61:17	e-mail 38:11	establishes 24:21	exasperation 49:5
<b>EAST</b> 1:13	emergency 48:16	<b>estimate</b> 19:9 62:9	executive 12:24
echoes 49:4	<b>encourage</b> 4:1,23 13:7 37:23 50:5	estimated 26:14	16:5 35:10
ecological 40:11		28:11,12	exemplified 34:2
70.11	<b>engage</b> 73:7 75:1	31:1,12,13	exist 36:2

	Pag	,e	
existing 15:22	fast-tracked 60:13	five-digit 39:5	forgotten 7:25
22:9,18 24:13 33:8 43:8 48:18	fear 68:25 69:4	flash 66:3	form 4:24 17:6,8
52:4	feasibility 39:21	<b>flat</b> 12:3,5 31:25	50:13 59:8
exists 30:20 72:5	<b>feats</b> 40:20	32:2	formed 16:4
exits 3:12	February 76:12	flattest 12:1	formulation 16:8
expanded 7:12	<b>fed</b> 34:10,11	Fleer 2:3 3:2,6	<b>Fort</b> 7:18
expanse 15:4	<b>federal</b> 5:9 12:2	38:14 42:15 47:5,11 50:20,24	forth 23:20
<b>expect</b> 46:19	16:6,10 24:3,7	51:2 52:18	<b>forums</b> 65:13
expected 24:23	49:2 56:1 58:13	55:6,9 58:24	forward 5:15,23
expedited 16:18	feeds 33:14	59:14,17 61:9,11 70:14 72:7 73:2	9:3 38:12 40:2,15 41:2
expertise 6:8	<b>feel</b> 39:13 49:23 59:7 73:7 74:1	74:19,22	42:2,5,10,11
66:13	75:6	<b>float</b> 23:6	61:13 66:3 68:2
<b>experts</b> 21:1,5	feet 53:24	floating 22:14	four-part 6:10
71:8	<b>fellow</b> 60:6	27:17 43:14	frame 29:19 30:22
explained 63:6	<b>field</b> 6:19,23	flood 18:8	34:3
exponentially 28:3	<b>fields</b> 71:13	29:15,18 30:3 31:20 32:4 33:24	frank 10:11
expressed 8:21	<b>figured</b> 68:23 69:5	44:16 52:10 63:2	frankly 52:6
extend 75:5	<b>fill</b> 4:23 43:4	flooding 44:22	Frederic 2:6 4:6
extensive 6:11	filters 22:19	49:11 58:10	free 59:7 73:7 75:6
60:5 68:4	43:10,14	Florida 66:12	freely 31:8
extensively 7:10	<b>final</b> 9:12 37:1	flow 27:2,3,24	frequently 3:17
extra 60:7,14	45:3 54:20 74:20	28:2 33:8,13 63:7 71:11 72:3	friend 10:19
F	<b>finding</b> 9:2 61:1	flows 18:9,10	front 59:9 65:12
Facebook 38:10	findings 6:17	32:12	frustrated 68:25
<b>faced</b> 66:4	finish 57:14	fluctuate 46:10	frustration 49:3
facilitate 61:21	first 3:14,24 4:15	Fluctuating 46:14	full 3:22 13:2
fact 6:20 24:5	12:18 26:19 31:3 35:23 39:9,15,19	flushed 22:14	20:24 23:20 26:8 30:24 39:21,24
52:13 64:7	51:20 55:25	flushing 27:10,15	51:18 52:12
<b>fails</b> 48:18	56:17 61:24	focus 15:14 16:21	<b>fully</b> 7:17
<b>fair</b> 67:16	firsthand 55:17	17:14 20:12 56:4	fundable 5:22
fairly 22:12	<b>fish</b> 6:18,20,25	focusing 17:15	funded 9:23
familiar 21:20	7:5,8 8:14 10:6 11:1 23:4 27:14	folks 11:24 16:9	<b>funding</b> 8:7,24
family 51:10	43:12 58:5	38:18 41:22 42:6 61:19 64:15	14:22 48:8 62:17
fantastic 23:24	<b>fisheries</b> 52:3 53:3	66:2,22	<b>future</b> 11:19 30:11
<b>farm</b> 51:10	fishing 21:10	foolish 51:17	36:25 37:8 41:20,24 48:19
farmer's 17:12	24:17 25:6,18	force 6:22 52:25	54:10
farthest 4:4	52:5 58:5	foregoing 76:6,8	
	<b>five</b> 18:10		G

	1 αξ	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<b>gain</b> 49:12	72:23 74:21	hand 5:2 76:11	herbicide 25:10
gallons	gotten 9:4 72:24	handle 56:14	hereby 76:3
32:13,22,23	government	hands 70:15	Here's 26:22
52:14 62:5,8	12:2,6 63:17	happen 13:11	he's 10:18 13:12
gentleman 62:24 65:17	governmental	32:18 54:12	49:21
	16:7	happens 36:10	hey 31:16
gentlemen 64:25	Governors 42:9	happy 41:25 42:19	high 7:18 20:18
Geological 67:19	gray 29:21	hard 45:7 53:23	40:6 65:2
Gerber 2:18,21 52:19	<b>great</b> 1:5 3:4 5:4	harvesters 7:6	highest 8:18
55:10,12,13	7:12 8:16,19,22	harvesting 7:4	high-risk 7:23
70:21	9:12,17,19,20 11:5,7 15:12	haven't 7:25 43:1	historically 32:15
gets 60:21 67:11	17:2 24:15 26:18	46:24	history 40:18,20
getting 12:22	30:17 37:16,18	having 22:3 27:21	53:15
49:22 71:16	39:13,19,24	28:18 32:15,24	<b>hit</b> 11:3,19 37:1
given 14:20	40:4,20 41:6 42:8,11 46:9	59:22	61:25 66:8 72:18
46:19,22 60:6	47:24 48:15,23	headed 37:18	hitchhike 23:7
<b>glad</b> 10:9	49:5,8 51:23	heading 37:20	hold 70:6
Glen 65:22	52:3	headwaters 17:7	<b>Homme</b> 53:21
<b>GLMRIS</b> 1:4 2:8	53:1,3,6,9,17,19, 23 55:22	57:6	honestly 65:4
3:5,19 4:11,16	56:18,23 57:7,13	hear 4:17 11:2,4	hope 35:22 72:4
5:6,14 6:13	64:7 65:3,16	12:8 13:17 14:2	hopefully 5:15
10:10,24 11:8,12,21	66:18 73:18	62:13 68:17	68:3
12:10,17	greatly 60:15	<b>heard</b> 43:1 58:25 61:25 63:15	hoping 5:18 40:22
14:15,21,24 16:4	green 3:15	65:14 68:15,18	host 33:19 63:8
18:24 20:10	grew 51:6,10	hearing 1:6 41:3	65:18 73:20
21:12,21 22:8,10,11,22	<b>group</b> 6:5 47:20	67:12	hosting 39:16
23:21 27:9 29:3	groupie 39:14	heavily 11:22	house 4:5 6:3
35:5 38:8 70:23	groups 5:19 9:5	hefty 65:10	37:19
71:5	42:7	Hello 50:25	housekeeping 3:10
glmris.anl.gov 3:23 23:23 38:9	guess 40:24 44:7	help 5:9,12	huge 43:19
	46:21 49:24 50:15 72:12	8:12,15 9:4 10:3	human 36:7 68:23
<b>goal</b> 36:19 48:13 61:3		11:10 14:17	<b>hundred</b> 15:6,7
goals 4:14 15:16	guide 53:15	21:11 26:5 36:18 41:23 42:3,8	66:3
gold 47:1	<b>guys</b> 39:14 40:19 48:7	63:12,16 71:22	<b>hybrid</b> 34:5 35:4
gone 53:22	TU./	73:15 75:2	45:24
	Н	helped 15:2 16:8	hydraulic 49:19
Goss 2:9 4:4 5:2,3 12:4 14:9 16:24	habitats 57:9	74:13	hydrologic 17:17
24:17 38:15 42:6	half 11:25 35:3	helpful 24:1	31:4,5,19,23
67:19 68:12	62:25 69:3	hence 27:3	hydrological 54:4
			hydrologically

	Pag	e 10	
32:1	imagine 62:16	incidentally 57:1	instance 62:3
	immediate	<b>include</b> 8:4,11	instead 28:18 33:5
<u>I</u>	49:14,15	19:20 21:23	institute 28:23
<b>I'd</b> 3:3 4:1,3 10:12 11:24 13:25 30:6	imminently 53:10	24:11 25:5	instructions 59:9
38:21 49:25 53:4	impact 15:21	26:12,14 53:12	insulated 22:6
54:1 59:2 61:11	20:20 32:5 35:17	included 27:8 38:25	
idea 14:10 21:22	53:2 54:11		interbasin 1:5 3:5 13:23 15:14 17:1
22:11,17	impacts 15:24,25	<b>includes</b> 25:13,19	67:1
25:14,16 28:17	19:2,21,22 31:11	including 40:21	<b>interest</b> 5:19 9:9
54:8 62:12	33:22 34:2 35:17,25 44:25	incorporation	
71:11,17	53:5 54:9	11:18	interesting 60:19
ideal 54:17	implement 19:20	increase 28:2	interim 54:16
ideas 13:18,20	30:10	increases 49:10	intermix 21:20
22:9 64:16	implementation	incremental 45:10	Internal 70:12
identification 25:8	15:20 19:3 20:1	Indiana 7:19,21	international
36:17	26:1,12 27:9	29:7	56:19
identified 15:24	29:3,13,19	<b>indicated</b> 59:24,25	interruption 47:17
20:11,15,17 21:2 53:8 67:20	34:15,22,25 35:2,18 36:11,12	60:4	intervening 16:15
	37:8 41:20,24	individual 21:2,14	introduce 4:3
identifies 11:17	45:15 46:17	individuals 15:7	introduces 22:13
identify 15:10 17:3 26:21 29:23	implemented 22:1	64:15 73:20	introduction 58:6
70:16	24:7 25:3 26:17	industry 6:16 9:5	
	29:6 44:5 46:6	58:5	intrusion 71:22
<b>identifying</b> 23:3 32:3	71:19	information 3:25	invasion 46:23
iffy 48:9	implements 27:1	11:15 12:20,22	invasive 9:15 10:2
'	importance	13:6 20:5	25:8 48:21 53:6
III 2:7	51:11,12 61:1	21:6,9,11	54:6,23 55:22 57:21 58:7 65:1
I'll 5:2 14:5,16	important 8:22	23:19,24 38:8 41:19 50:8 56:6	66:12 70:25
19:15 23:13 26:2 37:1 38:11 41:10	9:2 14:8,12	66:15 73:23	investigate 15:21
44:7 47:18 59:5	16:12 18:5,7,18 19:14 25:24	inhibit 29:2	investment 37:6
62:23 67:17	37:5,12 38:6	initially 30:25	investments 51:21
Illinois 33:14	39:17 50:7 54:22	·	
57:18	55:20,24 56:11	initiating 28:19	<b>invite</b> 59:2 61:11
I'm 3:6,7,9 5:25	60:12 62:22	Initiative 9:17,21 24:16	involved 11:22
10:9 13:11 14:25	65:15 66:9 69:20 73:11		15:5 37:13 47:20 64:6 66:10 67:16
23:18 32:17		innumerable 57:23	72:13
37:25 39:13 41:1 42:17,19 44:6,9	importantly 4:16		ir 50:4
47:9 51:1,3	inactivate 22:19	input 16:12 21:4 37:5 38:6	
52:21 55:13	43:10	74:8,13	Isaac 47:9,23,24 49:16 65:12
59:19,20 61:17	inactivated 43:17	insight 20:7	
66:5 67:1 69:1	inception 14:25	Ü	Island 10:20,21 66:14
70:5 71:13	16:4	insisting 54:3	00.17

	Pag	e 11	
isn't 39:21 44:3	<b>Karen</b> 76:3,15	62:4 71:18	20:3,4 62:18
issue 17:14 66:5	Katie 10:6	larger 43:13 44:9	levels 9:20 12:6
69:12	key 14:12 16:4	56:20	29:24 46:9,15
issues 3:10 22:7	63:16 65:17	large-scale 46:5	lie 17:4
it's 5:4,8 8:6	Kiepe 2:16 47:6	largest 57:12	lies 57:16
12:10,11,13,25 13:1 14:2 21:17	50:20,25 51:1,3	last 6:4 7:13 11:25	<b>life</b> 47:10
22:1 23:23,25	kinds 66:1	12:16 34:5 45:16 46:21 48:7 60:10	light 22:19
26:3 31:24 32:2	knew 20:22,23 71:3	62:25 63:3 74:6	43:10,19
37:7 38:9 44:2,9 45:7,22 51:9,17	known 3:5	late 16:5 65:21	likelihood 49:11
52:8,13 55:24	MIOWII 5.5	later 16:20 37:21	likely 27:23 44:19 45:1
57:11 60:11	L	52:23 66:4	limited 23:19
65:25 66:9 69:12 70:4 71:24	La 67:15	Lauren 2:3 3:6	line 12:3,4 15:14
72:17,20	Lacey 25:21	38:12 74:17	16:23
73:10,11,18 74:7	lack 49:3	laws 25:20	list 2:12 5:12 10:2
I've 6:4 14:24	lake 7:10 18:9,17	lay 50:11	19:16
35:22 39:14 50:9 63:1,15 64:5	28:22 32:7,20,25 33:17,22 34:2,13	Le 53:21	listed 17:22
66:19 73:17	48:3,20 49:12	leadership 41:18	listened 12:6
	52:12,15	leading 6:8	little 11:3 12:8
Jacksonville (6.11	53:21,22,23 56:25	<b>League</b> 47:9,23,25 49:16	13:10 14:7 16:16,24 24:18
<b>Jacksonville</b> 66:11 71:8	lakefront 31:7,18	learned 50:9 56:21	37:21 53:21
<b>January</b> 1:9 3:21	lakes 1:5 3:4 7:12	least 26:5 60:13	61:16,17,20 62:1
12:18 16:20	8:16,19,22	leave 34:13 41:10	66:8 71:9 73:4,5 74:3
<b>Jared</b> 2:13 39:9,12	9:12,17,19,21	59:7	live 18:19 25:21
41:11	11:5,7 15:12 24:15 26:18	Lee 2:19,20 59:19	42:23
<b>Jill</b> 2:15,22 42:16 47:5,8	28:24 30:18	left-hand 22:10	live-air 14:4
<b>job</b> 66:6	37:17,18 39:13,24 40:4,9	23:17 29:23	load 32:25 33:5
<b>John</b> 2:9 4:4 5:2	41:6 42:9 46:9	legislation	loading 33:1
10:12 11:3 12:4	47:24 48:15,23	16:14,15,19 17:13	local 12:13 24:8
14:9 16:2 24:17	49:5,8 51:23 52:3	length 12:25 34:25	49:1 50:6 52:9
25:5 38:15 42:6 67:10 68:7	53:2,3,7,9,17,19	lengthier 3:20	58:13 65:8 66:14 69:21
72:18,22	55:23 56:18,23	less 30:23	location 7:23
jointly 10:22	57:7,13,25 58:9 65:3,16 66:18	lesser 29:20	23:21
Jon 29:10	73:18	lethal 67:22	locations 7:24
Jr 2:6	<b>lamprey</b> 9:14 50:3	let's 38:16 39:8,25	29:15 32:3
<b>July</b> 16:14,19	land 40:9 50:11	letter 41:5,13	lock 7:6
	lanyard 66:21	48:23 49:4 50:22	22:10,11,12,14,2 2
K	large 28:9 57:15	level 16:11 19:1,5	27:9,10,12,14,15
1			

	Pag	C 12	
,16 48:11	management 8:10	20:18 65:2	33:24 44:23 50:2
71:5,20,21	18:8 25:5,23	meet 48:13	52:14
lockage 72:4	26:11 30:8,9	<b>meeting</b> 3:4,14	millions 57:11
locks 29:3 70:23	31:20 32:5 36:21,22 64:21	4:15 39:1,6,16	Milwaukee 32:19
long 5:17 36:13	ŕ	75:9	56:22
56:15 60:4 73:1	manager 2:8 4:11 14:24 18:1	meetings 39:15	mind 51:14
74:25	manages 10:7	59:22 72:22	mine 10:19
long-term 6:12	Ü	<b>member</b> 59:21	minimal 8:7
36:20 54:17,23	managing 24:8	64:5	minimize 32:4
69:23	map 28:21 34:20	members 11:10	60:11
Lordes 58:22	March 37:25 38:1	20:6 47:25 48:14	minimized 60:25
lose 31:8 40:11	59:6 75:5	56:3 60:6 73:12 74:10	
<b>lot</b> 9:4,13 13:5	marshy 31:25		<b>Minnesota</b> 1:12,14 6:6 7:16 40:9,10
17:2 23:3,24	material 67:25	mention 63:13	48:1 51:9
24:5 36:11 37:18	75:3	mentioned 14:10	52:6,25
40:11 54:9 56:12,16 61:18	materials 3:13	15:3 16:3 25:25 33:12 35:5,7	53:5,14,15,19,20
63:15 68:20	<b>matrix</b> 35:11	56:7,13 62:13,24	55:14,16 56:16
73:22 74:2,8,13	matter 37:6 38:3	64:25	57:6,16 58:4 64:6,13 68:22
lots 4:25	Maumee 7:19	message 37:15	76:16
loud 14:3	may 6:18,20 15:22	72:23	minor 3:10
Louis 37:21 51:7	17:1,4 21:20	methods 11:9	minutes 13:14
57:7	25:9 35:17	23:12 55:21	14:14 23:14 44:7
love 52:3,4	36:13,18,20 42:8 43:14 45:9 46:23	metropolitan 52:6	68:6 74:25
lower 23:17 26:23	61:12 62:13,16	63:9	mispronounce
29:22 34:8,9,13	69:4	mic 13:14 14:3,4	39:7
47:13	maybe 41:4 43:3	38:21 39:10 68:7	mission 33:12 42:1
lucky 14:25	44:8,18 45:16	70:19 73:4	Mississippi 1:5 3:4
	49:25 59:4 63:1	<b>Michigan</b> 7:10 18:9,17 28:23	8:4,11 10:14
M	67:10	32:7,20,25	11:5,6,7 15:13
ma'am 65:11	McCook 62:4	33:18,22 34:2	18:11 26:18 30:17 33:15
<b>Macaulay</b> 76:3,15	mean 50:1 52:2	47:13,19 48:3,20	37:17 40:4,7,8
mail 59:10 75:7	72:18	49:13 52:13,15	47:22 48:3,20
<b>main</b> 63:6,10	meaning 23:7	56:25	49:8 51:6 53:7
maintain 33:15	means 13:6 17:5	microphones 70:9	55:23 57:17
	measure 24:19	middle 4:6	65:3,16 66:18 73:19
maintaining 33:8 51:25	25:24	midnight 52:12	
maintenance	measures 30:1	midyear 14:23	Missouri 8:5 51:7
24:13	45:2	milfoil 68:21	mitigate 15:24 31:10 33:21
<b>major</b> 6:7 9:13	media 12:21	military 73:17	mitigating 33:24
10:18	mediated 36:7	<b>million</b> 18:19	
manage 63:12	medium 7:24	32:13,22,23	<b>mitigation</b> 19:2 28:11 29:14,18

	1 48		
30:3,4,20 31:17	54:5,10,24	none 48:5	62:18
34:1,19 35:1,24	multicolored	nonstructural	occurrence 49:10
44:14,16,20 45:2	35:12	24:25	offer 11:13
62:9 63:20 64:8	multiplying 26:16	non-structural	official 60:3
mitigations 62:22	multiuse 17:21	25:24 26:12	officials 63:17
modeling 71:9	municipal 18:4	37:10,11 45:14	
moderating 3:8	mussel 53:17	nor 60:25	oh 47:15 61:6
MODERATOR	57:19	normally 18:9	<b>Ohio</b> 8:4,12
2:2	mussels	61:16 73:5	Okay 55:12 67:18
modifications	68:19,20,21	north 32:11	68:10,16 70:13 74:19
48:12	myself 63:11	northwest 29:7	
modified 16:15	111,5011 05.11	<b>Notary</b> 76:16	ones 54:8
71:23	N	notch 21:23	one-way 28:19,23
<b>modify</b> 68:23 69:5	Nancy 12:4	note 73:22	ongoing 12:6
<b>moment</b> 21:15	narrow 5:12	noted 40:16 48:23	online 13:19
momentum 8:23	nation 60:24		<b>onto</b> 38:1
9:10	national 1:12 8:3	nothing 44:12	open 10:11 32:7,9
money 51:18	56:18	notice 29:5	33:18 34:12,14
monitor 7:9	native 8:14	novel 13:4 22:9	37:24 38:17
monitoring 6:11	natural 33:6 44:25	November 14:21	59:6,16 73:11
24:17	naturally 49:9	48:24	opened 43:18
months 16:19,20	, and the second	NRCS 7:21	opening 33:16
60:16 74:6	Nature 52:22	nuisance 13:24	operate 18:14
moon 52:12	nav 23:8	15:12,17 18:24	operation 24:12
Moreover 49:9	navigable 29:9	22:15,16,20 23:5,13 24:9	operators
<b>motions</b> 70:11	navigation 17:23	25:22 26:7,10,25	59:20,21
mouth 59:16	21:10 27:7,11	27:1,4,5,13,16,1	opinion 41:18
move 5:14,23 6:20	33:16 71:23	9,22 28:17,24	46:25 72:21
8:19,24 9:3,9	navigational 23:8	29:4 30:1	opinions 63:15
18:6 23:5,7	nearly 18:18 28:13	36:5,6,8,21 37:2,14 41:15	opportunities
25:15 30:7 31:8	33:24 44:23	42:24 43:7,11,21	10:25
36:24 40:8,15	necessary 29:17	69:16,24 71:19	opportunity 4:21
41:2 53:9 68:2 69:4	31:10 34:19 58:11 69:23	numerous 57:11	35:22 39:18
moved 51:9 53:18		nut 31:20	49:20 55:4,16
57:22 60:21	<b>Nelson</b> 2:19,20 59:13,15,18,19	nutrients 33:1	opposed 72:14
movement 23:12	61:8,10	11441 14140 55,1	opposite 20:19
53:5 55:21 57:21	67:3,7,10	0	optimize 21:24
moves 41:2	68:10,13,18 69:8	<b>Obama</b> 9:21	<b>option</b> 39:25 40:3
moving 5:15 6:18	70:2	objective 11:12	58:1
8:13 11:6 14:5	nice 52:9 57:9	obvious 61:24	<b>options</b> 5:17 8:8
24:10 53:1	<b>nobody</b> 61:20	obviously 34:17	11:18 12:11 13:9
		ODVIOUSLY JT.1/	

		I	1
15:10,19,20 48:5	paddle-boarding	15:7 39:18 56:12	placed 22:2
63:25 64:1,18 65:7 66:25	57:3	65:23 68:15,19 69:3 70:2 72:11	placement 17:17
	<b>Page</b> 2:12	74:25	places 32:4 46:24
order 27:7 28:3,4 30:23 34:25	pages 12:25 13:3,5	per 26:15 32:13	57:1
44:17	73:25 74:2	percent 18:2 48:14	<b>placing</b> 34:6,15
organic 33:2	<b>paint</b> 11:12	<del>*</del>	Plaines 63:5
organization 39:3	pamphlet 3:25	percentage 71:1	Plan 8:3 24:2,25
organizations	panel 2:5 3:8 4:3	Perfect 74:15	26:19 30:4 31:3
12:2,14 46:3	panelists 4:12	perhaps 17:12 19:24 22:5 25:8	planned 3:16
72:19 74:6	38:16 74:20	29:10 53:11	plant 22:17,23
original 6:14	paper 3:15,18 11:2	54:16 63:13,16	25:9,11,12
31:23	participate 59:3	73:8	27:2,3,5,23 32:14 42:25
Orleans 37:22	particular 20:16	period 37:25 41:3	43:7,19,21
others 15:2 30:2	30:21 32:13 33:1	59:6 73:7 75:5	plants 29:4 32:10
42:2 59:24	35:20 57:5	permanent 7:22	33:3 43:13
otherwise 39:8	particularly 9:7	48:2 49:18	plating 71:16
outfalls 33:18	partnering 17:3	permit 44:18	play 37:14
outflow 25:23	partners 9:8,18	person 55:18	please 37:24
outline 11:8 12:11	58:14	72:14	38:7,21 39:1
14:17	partnerships	personally 56:21	47:11 52:16
outlined 15:14	50:13	Peter 2:14 39:10	59:7,14 70:11,16
outreach 25:14,20	passage 29:2	42:16,17 49:21	75:1,4,6
69:21	passes 43:15	pharmaceuticals	pleasure 68:22
outset 35:5	passion 66:17	33:4	73:10
outside 8:16,22	past 8:7 32:15	<b>phase</b> 45:10	plenty 4:13,24 59:1
71:25	53:15	phased 44:11	
overall 30:5	path 42:5,9	phasing-in 45:7	plug 71:11
overcome 15:25	pathway 17:9	physical 17:17	<b>plugged</b> 16:9,10
overestimating	18:22 36:5 48:19	21:17,18 25:3	<b>plus</b> 66:3
31:24	57:14	29:6,13 31:5 34:7,16,18 35:2	<b>PM</b> 12:3 13:12
oversee 16:8	pathways 16:25	44:15,18,21	<b>point</b> 4:1 12:15
overstated 51:11	17:4,5,15 20:12,23 23:25	45:13 46:5 48:2	27:25
owe 73:14	69:17	49:19 50:16	28:5,18,20,25 29:1 30:18 32:8
owner 63:10	<b>Paul</b> 10:16,17	69:15	49:24 58:25
	66:14,22	physically 31:15 36:8 69:2	61:15 64:24 66:9
ozone 7:1	paying 50:2		69:14
P	peninsula 47:13	<b>picture</b> 11:13 21:17 25:7	points 18:10 26:21
<b>p.m</b> 1:10 75:9	penny 51:17	piece 50:7	27:3,7,18 28:22 29:9,14 31:6
paddle-board	Pentwater 47:14	<del>-</del>	32:21 33:7,13
56:22	people 5:4 6:8 7:2	pieces 39:22	35:22 73:24
	people 3.4 0.8 7.2		

	1 ag	- 1	
political 8:23	67:23	problem 12:7	57:9
54:25	preregister 4:21	problems 53:20	providing 9:23
politicians 65:9	preregistered 4:19	66:1	52:22 56:6
73:13	present 4:15 14:16	proceed 19:25	<b>public</b> 1:6 11:14
pollutants 32:25	63:7 66:25	proceedings	13:17 20:6,25
33:2,6	presentation 19:16	76:6,9	21:5 38:25
pollution 58:9	35:23 55:17	process 14:15 19:9	39:15,16 41:2 65:8 66:7 72:22
pools 7:7	presentations 4:13	39:23 40:23 41:1	73:12,14 75:4
popular 58:20	38:24	43:20 45:7 50:18	76:16
population 7:8	presenting 26:2	58:12 68:4	published 12:21
8:13	presents 11:9	71:13,15 74:10	pulled 43:14
populations 8:14	_	produce 60:16	•
25:6	preserved 52:7	produced 60:17	pumping 22:13
portion 57:16	pressure 7:8	program 24:16	<b>pumps</b> 72:3
possible 5:14 7:15	<b>pretty</b> 14:2 21:17	55:13	purification 43:8
8:10 10:1	68:4	programs 64:9	purpose 11:11
20:21,24 28:9	prevent 10:25	progress 68:11	purposes 71:22,24
30:20 40:15 48:5	13:23 15:11,17 17:18 21:19 24:9	prohibit 25:21	<b>putting</b> 15:5 41:19
52:7 54:12 71:25	26:6 48:21 66:25	project 2:8 4:11	puzzle 39:22
<b>possibly</b> 7:1 58:15	71:19	9:8 14:24 18:1	puzzie 37.22
potential 5:22 11:9	preventing 25:11	projects 9:3,14,25	
17:4 18:23	26:9 55:21 69:15	10:5	qualified 76:4
19:6,21 20:16 21:1 22:20 23:10	prevention 11:9	promulgation	quality 2:9 4:6 6:3
25:2 26:17 30:20	36:4	25:21	9:17 31:11 33:22
31:11 33:6	previous 34:17	proper 60:8	34:1 40:13 44:17
35:14,16,19	35:4	properties 22:6	51:8
36:3,12 42:7	previously 16:25	property 47:14	quantify 45:7
46:3 49:11	price 58:3	57:24	question 4:22
potentially 17:18	primarily 17:5	propose 41:9	40:25 41:9 44:8
20:14 21:3 23:2 24:20 32:4 33:19	20:12 29:9	• •	45:17 61:12 67:17 70:16
53:2	primary 17:22	proposed 8:25	
practices 8:10	18:22,23	proposes 8:9	<b>questions</b> 3:17 4:18 14:18
26:11	primer 13:1	<b>pros</b> 20:7	38:17,24
pragmatic 51:12	_	protect 9:20	42:20,23 44:8
1 - 0	principles 64:21	protection 51:13	61:18,21 67:8
precipitation 17:7 18:12,16 28:1,10	printed 3:15	proven 48:6	72:9
predicated 62:2	<b>prior</b> 35:2 45:3	<b>provide</b> 20:5 21:11	quick 5:25 10:13
_	<b>private</b> 42:18 51:1	41:25 54:2 55:6	35:13
predominantly 62:1	privilege 6:4	66:15	<b>quicker</b> 29:18 50:1
	probably 9:15	provided 63:24	<b>quickly</b> 9:9 30:22
prefer 24:4	12:1 40:1,10	provides 18:25	40:15 48:25
preliminarily	41:14 67:5 73:16	19:1,5 55:20	50:16

	Pag	e 10	
quite 5:16 60:4,5	reasons 51:9	register 38:19	represent 39:4 47:23
R rainfall 18:13	rec 23:8 received 12:24	<b>registered</b> 59:1,3 76:15	representation 10:14,16,20
rainstorm 49:10	14:22 16:14,19 receives 49:7	registering 42:19 registration 4:24	representatives
raised 47:13 51:13	recent 6:17	59:8 68:3	12:19 representing 5:9
ramps 68:14 69:9 ran 68:6	reclamation 32:10,14,21 33:2	regular 7:11 regulations 25:20	represents 48:14
range 9:24 11:18	63:9	regulatory 16:7	request 56:4
12:11 13:9 15:10,19 16:11	recognition 56:1	reject 48:4	requesting 48:25
18:25 20:10,24 21:1 26:9 30:12	recognize 38:20 40:14,18 55:24 57:16 58:7	related 60:24 relatively 29:18,20	require 12:12 41:17,21,22 49:15
64:1,17 66:10,25 rated 7:18	recognizing 39:21	62:12 relax 61:17	re-reverse 40:22
rates 72:4	recommend 54:1	released 3:21	reroute 33:7
reach 49:18 50:9	recommendations	relevant 49:1,17	reroutes 27:2
61:3	9:7 <b>recommended</b>	50:6,17	research 23:3 46:3 71:7
reading 13:1 75:3	9:10	rely 9:6	researching 7:3
ready 39:11 63:24 74:15	record 38:23 39:1	remain 28:5 69:13	reservoir 29:17
reality 58:17	recreation 52:5	remember 48:7 75:4	62:6
realize 36:13 52:25	recreational 17:24 21:10 29:12	remind 59:5	reservoirs 28:8 62:4,19
realizing 58:18	red 66:21 reduce 54:11	removing 27:5 replacing 6:14	residents 18:19 33:25 44:23
really 4:14 5:3,13,22 8:22	reduced 44:11	report 3:19,22 8:17 10:11	residual 36:2 69:12
9:2,18 13:2 14:12,17 16:3 17:13 19:11 22:25 23:4 24:1	reduction 24:20 30:15 35:19 45:3,10 58:8 71:2	11:12,16,21 12:10,17 13:3,22 14:15 15:3,7 16:21 18:25	resource 24:8 33:7 45:1 56:19 69:21,22
31:15 35:6,12 36:1 39:18	reductions 54:14	19:14 21:12 22:8 23:21,24	resources 9:24 50:10
41:8,16 42:18,25	refine 21:24	35:6,9,11,13	respect 66:18
48:10 50:12,14 51:12,19,21	reflected 34:20 49:4	39:19,20 41:20	responded 41:13
52:1,7 56:11	REFUGE 1:12	44:9 46:18 49:23 56:6,9 62:20	responding 41:5
57:2,9,19 58:2,14 59:2	regard 17:14	66:15	response 61:14
61:22 72:2	20:18 21:9 44:14	reporter 47:17	responses 8:20
realm 71:25	region 5:11 39:17	76:4,15	responsibility
reason 28:10,11 29:7 33:10 37:15	regional 6:5 11:23 42:6 46:2 49:2 56:18	reports 11:17 report's 11:8 12:21	12:9,12 14:11 26:3 37:3 41:16,22 69:11

	Pag	e 17	
73:13 74:7	63:5	Secretary 41:12	sheet 3:17
responsible 41:23	road 12:16 29:1	sediments 33:20	<b>Ship</b> 34:12,16
rest 60:23	30:19 34:14	seeing 54:14 62:2	<b>shoot</b> 47:15
restoration	Rock 10:20,21	66:7	shore 28:22
9:21,24 24:16	66:14	seem 46:25	<b>short</b> 54:13
restore 57:11	role 37:14 41:19	seems 50:3	shorter 40:19
restored 52:3	<b>room</b> 66:19,20,23 69:1	seen 73:17	shorthand 76:4,5
restoring 8:14	roughly 65:23	senate's 41:5	showed 68:14
51:23	roughy 53:12	senators 41:7,13	shown 3:19,24
result 16:1 19:3 35:25	route 26:5	48:23	71:2
results 4:15	run 65:12	send 38:10	<b>shows</b> 3:15
reunion 58:21	runs 62:14	senior 52:21	sign 41:23
reverse 63:7	14115 02.17	sent 41:5	signal 70:14
reversed 65:24	S	separate 31:15	significant 9:19
	safeguard 51:21	separated 54:5 60:3	17:6 18:12,15
reversing 40:21	saltwater 71:22		28:1,4 29:11 31:11,12,17
review 68:4	<b>Sanitary</b> 34:11,16	separation 17:17 31:4,19 34:18	32:10,12,24
right-hand 21:16 22:25 29:22	scale 71:15 72:3	39:24 40:14	33:6,12,23 34:1
34:20	scaling 71:18	44:10,15 45:21	36:14 37:6 44:15,25 46:20
rise 53:11	scenario 34:12	47:1,3 48:3 49:19 50:16	63:4
risk 7:14,18,24	35:4	51:18 54:3	significantly 30:5
8:18 18:8 20:18	scenarios 34:5,6	56:2,13	35:3
24:19 29:15,18	44:10 63:7	58:1,2,12,16	silver 7:5 57:5
30:3,4,15 31:20 32:4 33:24 35:19	science 67:20	serious 56:1	similar 34:25
36:14,18 40:6,7	scientist 52:21	serve 27:18	43:17 71:17 72:5
44:11,16 45:3,10	scientists 15:1	served 41:18	simple 21:18 22:12
58:6 64:7	scope 14:20 15:9	serves 18:5,7,23	23:1
risks 36:2 43:25	16:16	Service 10:6	simpler 17:10
69:13	screen 23:17	Services 59:19	simply 25:1
river 1:5 3:4 7:20 8:4 10:14 11:5	screened 21:4	sessions 65:5	26:21,25 43:9 48:12
15:13 18:3,11	43:12	69:19	simultaneously
26:18 27:25 28:2	screens 22:19 43:10,13	several 11:13,19	45:18
30:17 32:7 33:15,17 37:17	,	28:22 33:18	single 20:1 28:18
40:21,23 48:4,20	sea 9:14 50:2	65:11,13 74:25	30:18
49:8 51:7 53:7	seal 76:11	sewage 58:9	sir 14:1 59:12
55:23 57:8,17,18	Seattle 66:13	shared 12:9,12 14:11 26:3 37:2	63:22 67:2 73:24
59:19 65:16,24 66:19	second 20:13 28:14 63:14	41:16,21 69:11	sit 74:4
rivers 8:20 24:10		74:7	situation 64:20
111/01/00/20 24:10	secondly 3:16 4:16		

	Page	e 18	
six 39:25	37:4 42:19	spreads 28:19	38:22 70:9
size 43:11 71:18	speaks 29:25	<b>spur</b> 71:4	stenographic 76:5
72:3	specialists 50:10	<b>St</b> 10:16,17 37:21	step 45:5
skills 63:8 66:11	species 8:17 9:15	51:7 57:7	steps 41:10 58:11
slide 63:14	10:2 11:3 13:24	66:14,22	Steve 2:17 50:21
slides 13:13	14:11 15:12,17 17:19 18:24	staffs 12:20	52:18,20
slow 26:6	20:14,15,22 21:7	stages 44:11	steward 51:21
slows 62:19	22:15,16,20,24	stake 47:14 56:17	<b>stop</b> 49:15
small 6:18 12:24	23:1,5,13 24:9	57:8,20 58:4	54:10,19 57:20
smaller 29:16 35:3	25:22 26:7,10,25 27:1,4,6,14,16,2	stakeholder 16:3 50:6	75:1
61:16	0,22 28:17,24	stakeholders	stopping 48:13
snapshot 35:13	29:2,4	11:14 41:3	storied 32:15
social 54:25	30:1,14,16,24	49:1,18 50:17	strategies 6:9 8:1
socially 54:21	36:5,6,9,21 37:2,14 40:6,7	63:17 65:9	48:5
solution 6:12	41:15 42:24	<b>stand</b> 26:8	strategy 6:10 7:20
54:2,17 69:15	43:7,11,12,14,21	standard 47:1	69:23
<b>solutions</b> 9:3 54:16	,22 48:21 53:6,8,18	standards 48:19	stray 22:7
solve 6:23	54:5,7,9,10,24	standpoint 40:12	stream 33:9
somebody 58:22	55:22 56:11,14	<b>start</b> 13:1 14:5	streams 17:8 29:8 57:25
somewhere 15:6	57:21 58:7 65:1 66:12 67:13	38:18 39:2 54:14	<b>strong</b> 48:15
18:2 32:12	69:16,24 70:25	58:16 61:21 70:4	strongly 9:22
Sorensen 2:14	71:19	started 3:9 12:20 39:8 65:21	structure 21:19
39:10	specific 23:3 24:12		25:4 27:10 30:21
42:16,17,22 43:3,24 44:2,6	42:1 67:13,21	<b>starting</b> 37:20 39:13	structures
45:4,6,11,16,21,	specifically 43:22	state 12:13 16:10	71:21,23
24 46:7,13,21	52:24	24:7 26:15 49:1	stuff 74:3
47:3 49:21	spectacular 54:8	57:22 58:13	submit 13:20
sorry 37:25 44:7	speed 35:1	64:10,13 69:22	50:22 59:10
46:7 61:8 70:7	spend 14:7,14	74:9	subsequent 24:21
sort 11:10 13:8 20:20 21:18 43:3	21:14 23:13 51:18 53:20	stated 40:5	suburbs 18:20
61:21 64:1 74:4	69:14	states 5:20 7:23 8:15,22 9:7	success 9:13 57:15
south 32:11 47:14	spending 57:10	26:16 41:6 48:1	successful 25:25
51:7	spent 37:17	64:16	26:5,9 32:3 55:3
speak 4:20 19:15	spoke 16:24 38:8	stations 12:22	suggestions 64:7
30:2 42:20 47:18 53:4 55:4 59:1	spoken 59:3 60:21	stay 11:22 38:7	suggests 31:7
69:6,10 70:10	spot 61:23	73:6	suitable 46:5
Speakers 2:12	spread 25:10	staying 24:4	suite 65:7
speaking 21:13	49:15 68:21,22	steering 16:5	summary 3:18,22
	ŕ	stenographer	12:24 23:16,22

	1 ag	0 17	
35:10	35:11,12 42:3	34:18 43:25 44:4	Therefore 49:16
summer 53:21	59:9 75:2	46:15 64:18,19	there's 13:5 24:5
56:22	tables 29:22	66:25	32:6 39:22 40:6
supplemented	tag 58:3 65:10	temporary 17:8	56:16 61:18 74:2
24:15	taking 33:20 37:15	ten 8:18 9:25 11:4	<b>they're</b> 17:10
<b>supply</b> 17:24	38:23 51:12	29:19 31:1 65:1	19:11 64:12
support 9:4 39:24	71:17	ten-year 30:22	68:15 73:3
40:3 41:25 48:2	tale 57:20	term 11:4 54:13	they've 57:23
54:25 55:1 56:19	talented 15:1	73:1	thickness 67:24
61:4		terms 17:10 40:2	thirdly 3:18
supported 9:22	talk 32:17,18 35:14 52:23	terrainial 17:10	Thompson 10:18
sure 16:9 41:11	63:19 67:12	tested 67:23	Thornton 62:6
43:2,6 44:9 45:4	68:15,19	testing 6:23 7:11	thorough 5:7
50:24 69:1	talked 8:17 10:12	J	Ü
surely 44:9 46:9	36:11 68:14	<b>Teutsch</b> 2:13 39:9,12 42:11,14	<b>thoughts</b> 9:1 13:17,20 59:5
surface 21:19	talking 14:14	68:6	72:10
surrounded 66:21	40:5,6 60:1,2	thank 4:19 5:1,5	thread 65:5
surrounding	64:14 67:2	6:6 10:6,15,17	
18:20	talks 56:10 62:8	14:1,6 38:13	threat 49:14 54:14 57:15 64:22
<b>Survey</b> 67:20	targeted 30:14	39:15 42:13,14 47:2,4,7 49:19	threaten 53:8
suspect 53:10	task 6:22	50:19,20 51:4	threatened 8:6
Sutley 12:5	taxes 37:7	52:16,17,18	threatening 8:19
swim 23:6	taxpayer 49:7	55:3,5,9,12,15	8
53:23,24	team 5:6,14 6:7	56:3 58:23	threshold 55:25
ĺ	15:1,4 31:15,21	59:15,17,18,22	threw 73:22
swimming 27:13	67:20	61:5,7,9,10 72:7	thriving 61:4,5
52:12	teams 7:2	74:23	throughout 13:18
<b>system</b> 10:23 12:5 13:22 15:23	technical 13:5	thanks 10:4,7	36:22 37:16 65:4
16:22	20:25 21:5	38:14 41:11	throw 62:23 64:19
17:12,16,19		42:15 47:5 55:18	
18:5,6,14,21	technological 37:9	58:24 75:7	timeline 5:16
20:13 21:25	technologies	that's 13:3,16	16:18 40:17,19
26:22,23 28:21	6:12,24 11:19	21:11 27:9 36:14	54:15 62:12
30:13 31:9 33:7	12:11	37:3 42:23 43:25	<b>title</b> 31:7 34:9
34:8,9,11,13	15:10,19,21	47:15,21	today 3:13 5:1,4
53:2 59:25	21:15 22:18	50:14,15 51:14	6:13 10:7 16:13
60:1,3,22,25	23:11 25:1,2	52:1 53:9,12,23	22:1 26:2,8
63:12 65:20	26:17 30:12	54:22 56:9 57:8	32:17 33:4 35:21
67:22 70:23	31:10 34:15	60:1 62:6,7	36:16 37:3
systems 53:7	36:23,24 43:9	67:13 68:8 69:18,19 72:12	38:3,4,9,20,23,2
	45:14,15,18 46:1	·	4 39:6 41:1
	64:2 70:22	themselves 53:8	55:16 58:11,21
table 3:14	technology 26:20	thereby 25:11	60:21 64:3,11
table 3.14	28:14 31:18	-	72:5 75:4
	1		

	Pag	e 20	
today's 3:8 4:14	transcription 76:9	turnout 5:4	urgently 49:17
<b>Tom</b> 13:4	transfer 11:1	Twin 10:10 37:20	<b>urges</b> 49:16
tonight 11:4,20	13:23 15:11,18	59:20,23 73:11	<b>USACE</b> 2:3,6
12:8,23 13:7	17:18 18:24 20:16,19	Twitter 38:10	users 15:22
14:6,9 61:25 63:13,16,25	25:11,22	two-fold 15:16	usually 17:6
73:23	26:7,10,25 27:13	two-way 26:24	utilized 19:18
tool 18:8,18	36:5,7 67:1 69:16 71:20	28:18 30:24	26:24 36:24
19:12,15 24:1	transferred 23:2	<b>types</b> 21:3 30:10 45:15	43:22
35:6	translate 35:19	45.15	utilizing 21:8
top 25:7		U	UV 22:19 43:10,19
topic 37:1 60:10	transport 36:8	U.S 3:6 67:19	V
73:17	treat 22:15	ultimate 36:19	Valley 1:12 10:14
topics 73:8	treated 18:4 22:16 28:7 32:23	unacceptable	valuable 58:4
topography 32:2	treatment	40:17	values 57:24
torrential 63:2	22:17,21,22	uncertainty 46:23	
Toss 74:17	27:1,3,4,23 29:4	underscore 48:22	variety 11:8
total 18:2 19:20	33:3 42:25	50:14	<b>various</b> 11:11 12:5,19 19:10
29:25 32:22 34:21,22	43:7,9,21 48:17,18 70:23	understand 11:11	64:9,16
totally 50:4	tremendously	14:13 36:13 37:13 64:8	verbatim 76:4
touch 35:21 38:7	74:24	understands 66:7	verified 48:12
touched 15:7	tributaries 7:13	undertaking 63:15	version 3:20 23:20
touring 66:20	57:18	undone 69:17	via 68:22 69:16
tourism 52:4	tried 40:1	unfortunately 8:6	viewpoints 37:5
tours 58:21	true 76:8	26:7 68:20 70:3	virus 43:11,16
toward 6:21 18:10	truly 26:2	unheard 41:7	viruses 23:4
30:17 36:19,20	trust 36:10	unimproved 22:3	visit 37:24
37:8 42:9 69:15	<b>try</b> 5:21 14:18	unique 11:16	vital 60:22 72:20
towards 8:14	15:17 22:23 23:11 31:14	uniquely 30:14	voice 14:12 65:13
toxin 68:1	47:18 61:17	units 70:1	73:21
tradeoffs 19:19	64:20 70:10 72:2	untreated 21:19	volume 18:3
traditional 22:12	<b>trying</b> 15:9 24:9	update 6:1 67:14	33:12,16
traditionally	26:6 47:21 60:11	upper 22:10,25	volumes 28:4
71:12	63:11 64:16 67:7	26:22 34:7,10	***
traffic 29:12	tunnel 29:16	40:8 59:19 63:4	W <b>Wabash</b> 7:19
train 22:21 23:8	tunnels 28:8 62:11	upstream 29:2	wait 49:24 58:15
43:9	turn 13:12,14,25 38:11	urge 40:14 52:7	<b>Walton</b> 47:9,23,25
training 71:14	turned 14:3 16:21	urgency 48:22	49:16 65:12
transcript 76:8	21:22	49:4 72:24	wastewater 18:4

	Pag	e 21	
32:15,24 52:14	weighted 38:4	73:20 74:2	64:15 70:17 75:2
water 7:15 9:17,20	<b>welcome</b> 3:3,14	who's 4:7,11 5:20	yourselves 20:7
17:24	we'll 4:24 9:6	10:6 59:2	26:4
18:6,8,15,16	14:18 38:12,18	wide 9:24	you've 41:4
22:13,16,18 27:6 28:4,6 31:8,11	52:22 60:17	wide-ranging	
32:10,14,16,20,2	we're 4:13 5:18	73:18	Z
1 33:2,3,9,16,22	6:13,19,21,23	<b>Wildlife</b> 1:12 10:6	<b>zebra</b> 53:17 57:19
34:1	7:25 8:23	Wisconsin 67:16	68:19,21
43:8,16,18,19,20	13:14,15,16 20:3		<b>zip</b> 39:4,5 42:22
,23 44:5 46:9,14	31:25 36:16 37:3,4,15,18,19	wise/pound 51:17	47:7,11 51:2,3
48:17 50:9	40:5,21 42:3	wish 59:10 75:7	55:7,10,15 61:6
51:8,11,24,25 52:6 55:13,14	50:2 54:3 57:13	Witness 76:11	70:17
57:5 63:9 70:23	60:1,2 62:2	wondering 46:10	<b>zone</b> 28:15
waters 21:19	64:14 66:4,21	70:22	
	68:2 70:10,19	work 6:2,19 8:12	
watershed 47:10 49:22 50:8	Wethington 2:7	17:2 19:23 24:12	
	4:9 13:12	39:20 49:2,17,21	
waterway 5:8	14:1,23 41:11	58:11,14 60:12	
10:23 13:22	42:13 43:2,6	61:3 62:18 66:16	
15:23 16:22 17:11,16,19,21	44:1,4,13 45:5,9,12,20,23,	67:15,25 71:10	
18:5,14,21 20:13	25 46:12,14	72:1 73:4,15	
25:15 28:21	47:2,4 50:19	worked 6:5	
33:13,14 65:20	52:17 55:5 58:23	working 6:15	
66:1	61:7 63:21 67:18	7:21,23 8:1 9:18	
waterways 37:7	69:6,10	20:23 42:5 47:21	
59:21 69:3	70:5,8,13,20	58:16 60:9 67:24 68:2	
<b>Wayne</b> 7:19	71:5 72:9 74:17		
ways 15:24 31:14	we've 6:17 9:4,9	works 10:21	
36:6 45:9 52:11	10:20 12:15 17:1 21:22 23:2 31:16	worms 32:9	
56:15	33:4 37:17 40:1	worth 51:17	
wealth 21:11	48:15 51:22	written 52:22	
weather 27:24	57:10 58:25		
web 3:24 38:9	62:11 63:1	<u> </u>	
	65:5,12	yardstick 24:19	
<b>website</b> 3:23 4:2,20 10:4,7	whatever 36:20	yellow 4:23	
13:8 23:21,22	37:8 47:15	yet 48:6,12	
37:24	whereas 28:24	York 7:16 43:18	
38:2,5,20,25	34:10	48:1	
59:7 72:21 74:4	whether 12:13	you'll 5:6 8:9 13:9	
75:6	37:7	16:23 21:16	
<b>we'd</b> 49:24	<b>White</b> 4:5 6:3	35:15	
week 37:21,22	whole 12:10 51:16	yours 72:19,20	
weeks 12:17	63:8 65:18 66:10	yourself 11:15	