1

GLMRIS-BRANDON ROAD NEPA SCOPING MEETING

JANUARY 8, 2015

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

PRESENTERS:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL KEVIN J. LOVELL

MR. DAVE WETHINGTON

MR. KENDALL ZABOROWSKI

MR. COLIN WILCOX

		2
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Good afternoon,	
3	ladies and gentlemen. It's a little bit	
4	after three o'clock here in New Orleans, and	
5	we're going to go ahead and get started with	
6	our meeting today.	
7	I would like to welcome all of you to	
8	today's Great Lakes and Mississippi River	
9	Interbasin Study, or as we're going to refer	
10	to it as GLMRIS, Brandon Road National	
11	Environmental Policy Act or NEPA throughout	
12	the rest of the public scoping meeting.	
13	My name is Kendall Zaborowski, and I'm	
14	from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
15	Chicago District, and I will be moderating	
16	this afternoon and this evening. I would	
17	also like to thank all of you that have	
18	joined in on the webinar or have called into	
19	our web conference.	
20	Before beginning the meeting, for those	
21	of you here in the room, I'd like to point	
22	out a few logistical things. If you need to	
23	get up and use the restroom, you go back out	
24	those front doors.	
25	Gentleman, it's your first right.	

Ladies, if you walk to the back door and then hang a right, those restrooms are right over there. In the event of an emergency, the emergency exit, again, go back out those main doors, hang a left, and they'll be right outside.

So when you arrived here this afternoon, there were a few things available at the front desk. First, there was a single sheet of paper, the comment registration form, and that has instructions on how to submit a comment during our public comment period.

Now, you can either fill that out and leave it here, fill that out and leave a comment orally upon leaving today or there are instructions on how to mail a comment to our office or submit a comment on our project website throughout this public scoping proceeding.

The second document was a couple of sheets of paper, and that's frequently asked questions about our planning effort that we are undertaking with GLMRIS and other aquatic nuisance species efforts that are being undertaken by the Corps of Engineers

4 1 and other federal and state agencies. 2 Then the last piece of information available was this hardcover booklet, the 3 GLMRIS summary of the GLMRIS report. 4 that's a summary of the report that was published at the beginning of January of 2014 that had a larger overview of details and aquatic nuisance species control 8 9 efforts. 10 I'd like to now take a moment and 11 introduce today's panel. Starting to my 12 left immediately is Lieutenant Colonel 13 Kevin Lovell, the Deputy District Engineer 14 of the Chicago District of the U.S. Army 15 Corps of Engineers. Next to him is Dave 16 Wethington, the project manager for GLMRIS. 17 So the Corps of Engineers is hosting 18 public meetings throughout this project 19 study area in an effort to provide 20 opportunities for those of you within the 21 study area to provide comments on the scope of the continuation of GLMRIS efforts 22 located specifically at Brandon Road. 23 24 is our third meeting, and we're very glad to 25 have you here with us today.

So the Corps of Engineers is seeking input, gathering concerns and issues and needs related to the study from stakeholders and the public throughout this NEPA scoping process. The Army Corps of Engineers will be collecting comments through January 30th of 2015.

Once that comment period is closed, the comments will then be compiled and posted on our GLMRIS Project website, which is glmris.anl.gov.

For comments to be formally included, they need to be given either during one of the oral comment periods at our public meeting, submitted as a written comment or submitted as a web comment through our project website.

If you have any questions during the meeting or after the meeting, feel free to grab one of us or anyone who has been out front that helped get you guys in, and we'll try to answer your questions the best that we can.

So the public meeting will begin with a couple of short presentations from

		6
1	Lieutenant Colonel Lovell and Mr.	
2	Wethington, and then I'll come back up and	
3	open it up for public comments.	
4	Now, I'd like to turn it over to	
5	Lieutenant Colonel Lovell.	
6	LIEUTENANT COLONEL KEVIN J. LOVELL: Thank	
7	you, Kendall.	
8	Can everybody hear me okay? Great.	
9	Well, good afternoon, ladies and	
10	gentlemen. And on behalf of my boss,	
11	Chicago District Commander Colonel	
12	Christopher Drew, and he is very sorry that	
13	he can't be here today to just sit here and	
14	deliver this brief in person and receive	
15	your comments. Thank you very much for your	
16	attendance here this evening.	
17	I'd like to express my appreciation	
18	first and foremost to Colonel Hansen and the	
19	rest of the New Orleans District team for	
20	hosting us here.	
21	First and foremost, we are here to start	
22	the dialogue to give you a little bit of a	
23	brief, kind of, primarily to ask you for	
24	your input on our current iteration of study	
25	in the Great Lakes and Mississippi River	

7 1 Interbasin Study and, specifically, for our 2 activities in the study area of Brandon 3 Road, which is near Joliet, Illinois. I understand that's very far from here, 4 but we also understand it's a complex system, and things that happen up in the Chicago-land area have the opportunity to affect the environment and commerce here in 8 New Orleans as well. 9 10 Our current effort is an investigation 11 analysis of potential solution sets in the 12 Brandon Road area, and Mr. Wethington is 13 going to go into that in some greater 14 detail. Many of you may be familiar with or are 15 16 familiar with the fact that we released the 17 Great Lakes Mississippi River Interbasin 18 Study to Congress last year, and we had public roll-out, and we received some 19 20 fantastic input based on that initial work. 21 And we used that initial work, along 22 with the input, to determine our further 23 activities, which has manifested itself in 24 this particular location that I've referred 25 to.

1	The GLMRIS report is only one portion of
2	our strategy to help sustain the environment
3	and support our nation's commerce. The
4	other elements include operating the aquatic
5	disbursement barrier near Romeoville,
6	Illinois on the Chicago Sanitary and
7	Shipping Canal. We also addressed the
8	facilities' effectiveness with many of our
9	partners and we constantly address its
10	weaknesses, as well as monitoring the
11	front-line trace of many of the aquatic
12	invasive species that are both in the
13	Illinois and Mississippi River Basins, as
14	well as the Great Lakes in Lake Michigan's
15	watershed.
16	And then, finally, as a control of
17	aquatic nuisance species, we work not only
18	with local, state, federal, and national
19	partners with elements of the government of
20	Canada, as members of the Asian Carp
21	Regional Coordinating Committee, to continue
22	implementation of various strategies.
23	First and foremost, your input of what
24	we're going to say today is critical, so we

25

have an accurate understanding of all

		9
1	stakeholders' concerns in this complex	
2	environment.	
3	And pending any critical issues, I'll be	
4	followed by the main speaker this evening,	
5	Mr. Dave Wethington.	
6	Thank you.	
7	MR. WETHINGTON: Well, thank you, sir, and	
8	good afternoon everyone.	
9	Again, my name is Dave Wethington. I am	
10	the project manager for the Great Lakes	
11	Mississippi River Interbasin Study, the	
12	GLMRIS as we call it. And what we're here	
13	today to talk about, in very general terms,	
14	is the Brandon Road effort, the Brandon Road	
15	project.	
16	So, before we get to that, I want to	
17	kind of take a quick step back. And a lot	
18	of the briefing that I'm speaking from today	
19	has the assumption that you, as a members of	
20	the public, have a little bit of	
21	understanding with what was published in the	
22	GLMRIS report.	
23	And, if not, we're happy to answer	
24	questions after the comment period today. If	
25	you have any specific issues or concerns	

1	about	the G	LMRIS	report,	feel	free	to	come
2	and fi	nd me	aftei	rwards to	oday.			

But one thing that came out of the GLMRIS report, there is an important message, regardless if you've gone through the document in some detail or not, is the aquatic nuisance species control. The ANS control is a shared responsibility, and it's shared among -- not just federal agencies or state management agencies -- but among you and I, as members of the public.

And it's really important to understand the different ways that aquatic species can be controlled. We really break them down into two types of controls, structural controls and non-structural controls.

And the GLMRIS report itself looked at ways to prevent the transfer of aquatic nuisance species between the Great Lakes and Mississippi River Basins through aquatic pathways.

And when we looked at the range of possible opportunities and possible technologies, we came up with these two different designations of the non-structural

versus the structural.

And so examples of non-structural controls would include, for example, looking at fish populations and how do you address those. You can fish them down using commercial fishing or angling. You can identify an invasive plant or an invasive weed and spray it with herbicide and really go attack it. That's called active management. That's really a non-structural measure that requires people to go out and do actions.

Other things that are not structural measures include monitoring, education outreach; understanding why it's a good idea to clean your boat when you move it from one body of water to another or, perhaps, not take your bait bucket and dump it over the side after a weekend of angling.

Those laws and regulations and other elements are all non-structural measures that are important in the fight against the development of species.

We can spend millions of dollars, maybe even hundreds of million of dollars, on

		12
1	structural things. And structural things	
2	could include new and novel technologies;	
3	maybe even physical barriers in the	
4	waterways. And the point is you can spend a	
5	lot of money and a lot of time on these	
6	structural measures to have them be undone	
7	by things like carelessness, for example.	
8	So it's really important to combine that	
9	understanding of the structural and the	
10	non-structural measures for that strategic	
11	control of the aquatic nuisance species.	
12	The GLMRIS report is identifying eight	
13	different alternatives and looked at both	
14	structural and non-structural ways to help	
15	prevent the transfer to the maximum extent	
16	possible and technologically feasible,	
17	between the basins of the Great Lakes and	
18	the Mississippi River.	
19	So what we're doing now at the Brandon	
20	Road site is based specifically on the	
21	findings of the GLMRIS report. A lot of the	
22	information, the different alternatives and	
23	the lessons learned from the GLMRIS report	
24	went into identifying this Brandon Road	
25	site. So I'd like to spend a moment doing	

1 this.

Draw your attention to the map that's on your right-hand side. This is a map of the Chicago area, and you'll notice there are some numbers along the shore of Lake Michigan, numbers 1 through 5. Those are the five aquatic pathways that can be used for water to Lake Michigan, Great Lakes basins and Mississippi River Basins to intermingle.

Now, you can see from this map, just

from a general glance, this looks like a very complex, interconnected waterway and, in fact, it is. It also has a multiplicity of different very important uses.

Navigation, flood risk management, so controlling flooding in the Chicago region; controlling water quality, movement of storm water and waste water within the region to ensure there aren't economic damages with flooding and other environmental challenges for the Great Lakes or the Mississippi River Basin.

Another thing you may notice is, and it's very important to why we selected the Brandon Road site, which is noted at that

		14
1	point No. 10 down in the lower left-hand	
2	corner, is that each of these pathways which	
3	have the ability to mix the waters of the	
4	basins. Each of the aquatic pathways, they	
5	form a confluence so they flow together at a	
6	single point immediately upstream of that	
7	Brandon Road site.	
8	So Brandon Road, that vicinity, is the	
9	first area where we have the opportunity to	
10	really implement controls for species moving	
11	in a one-way direction.	
12	Now, I'm going to show you on the next	
13	slide why we can't implement a two-way	
14	control. And if you look at the system now	
15	while we have it up on the map on the	
16	right-hand side, you'll notice there may be	
17	other areas, other structures in the Chicago	
18	Waterway System or other points on the	
19	waterway that we may be able to take the	
20	information learned at the Brandon Road site	
21	and apply them in other areas in the Chicago	
22	Waterway System.	
23	And at Brandon Road, again, it will be	
24	that point No. 10 in the lower left-hand	

corner, we are looking at a range of

25

possible options, perhaps no additional
action looking at how things are being
implemented currently; how controls are
being implemented; what kind of strategies
are being implemented currently; and whether
that's sufficient.

We'll look at potential limitations of non-structural measures or various combinations of the technologies, some conceptual and some may be a little bit more proven.

Another very important piece of the efforts at the Brandon Road site, is to determine the Federal interests and benefits to the nation. What happens if we do nothing? What is the consequence of doing nothing? And what is the justification of the federal interests, you know, taxpayers, you and I, in implementing some kind of project?

That is part of the charge of the Corps of Engineers and the traditional feasibility level process. We look at the benefits, the costs, the value to the nation in coming to a recommendation. And coming to that

recommendation is something that we are seeking to do as part of this process.

In GLMRIS, we outlined a range of alternatives to look at the two-way control of the aquatic nuisance species. As here, and we put them out in front of our stakeholders and members of the public and members of Congress to gain input, to gain an insight. And based on that belief, or based on that insight, we have identified the Brandon Road site as the first kind of step as we are moving forward in addressing that long strategic control of the aquatic species in a two-way direction.

The results -- as we learn about possible implementation of control technologies at the Brandon Road site, you're also informed of these interactions.

Specifically, why the Brandon Road site?

I've outlined a couple of the points. It's geographic positioning at the downstream confluence. The Brandon Road site itself was included in three of the six structural alternatives outlined in the GLMRIS report.

So it's an important component of a number

1	of possible options that could be
2	implemented to control the two way transfers
3	of species between basins.

We believe that it's a site where, if you look at the figure on the right-hand side, we can control the one-way transfer fairly simply, and use that term "simply" pretty loosely.

Looking at the figure on the right-hand side, the dam that's outlined is a high-tech dam. Normally, there's about 35 feet between the tail waters of the water at the base of the dam and the water that's spilling over the top of the dam. So that dam extends about 1600 feet across the majority of that waterway and provides the main blockade for species moving in an upstream direction, so from the Mississippi River Basin towards the Great Lakes.

The only other methodology that could be used to move their way upstream is through that approach channel and lock chamber. And so structurally and geographically, we focused on that approach channel and that lock chamber as a excellent spot to identify

possible technologies that could be implemented to control the transfer, to prevent the transfer of species from moving upstream.

Again, we would take the information learned, the types of technologies and possible consequences and the benefits to the nation and potentially apply these to other points throughout the Chicago Area Waterway System toward establishing a long-term goal of two-way aquatic nuisance species control.

I've outlined a number of possible benefits towards implementing controls of the Brandon Road site, but we've acknowledged that with those opportunities there are also some challenges. The figure on the right portrays the amount of species that could potentially move between basins. The ones on the lower-left-hand side outlined in that green/red color are those species that could potentially move from the Mississippi River Basin up toward the Great Lakes.

Those that have been kind of shaded out

1	or grayed out identify those species that
2	could potentially be moving from the Great
3	Lakes Basins towards the Mississippi River
4	Basin. And we've shaded them out to
5	acknowledge, again, that implementation and
6	control of the Brandon Road Site would only
7	be a one-way control. We need
8	implementation of other possible sites
9	within the Chicago Waterway System to
10	contain a full two-way control.
11	We also acknowledged that that
12	Interbasin dividing line, the dotted line,
13	the white and red on the figure on the
14	right, is nearly over a thousand miles long.
15	And we acknowledge that there are other
16	potential pathways that species could use to
17	transfer between the basins.
18	Some of them being aquatic, but the
19	majority of them are only episodic, which
20	means that they are only formed they're
21	the only pathways between the two basins
22	when there is a significant precipitation
23	event of those that are perennial.
24	We identified a total of 18 outside of the
25	Chicago Area Waterway, and those that are

perennial, are on the order of magnitude of something like a farmer's ditch or drainage ditch that could be taken care of quite easily if it was determined that it posed a high risk toward potential species transfer.

The Chicago Area Waterway System is the highest risk potential transfer mechanism of potential aquatic pathway for species moving between the basins, which is why we focused again on the Chicago Area Waterway System, the GLMRIS, and why we're focusing on the Brandon Road Site as that easily addressed site -- or I guess the most easily addressed site in taking the information learned from this to move forward.

We look at the way the species can move between basins and we recognize that in the GLMRIS report discusses a number of ways to stop those that swim and those that float, and we acknowledge those that hitchhike or that move -- adhere to the hull of a barge or the hull of a recreational vessel, for example, may be more difficult to control.

In this Brandon Road effort, we will look at a number of different types of

		21
1	possible controls such as biocides, such as	
2	the treatment of water, to try and control	
3	those hull-following hitchhikers, but we've	
4	acknowledge that those technologies may be more	
5	difficult to employ, and we may have to	
6	accept that there will be some amount of	
7	residual risk with the implementation of any	
8	type of solution.	
9	So what will we see as an outcome? What	
10	would you see as a member of the public, as	
11	a stakeholder, as a result of this effort or	
12	during this effort that we are currently	
13	scoping?	
14	Well, No. 1, we hope to make a	
15	recommendation. We hope to conduct enough	
16	analyses and enough investigation on	
17	potential technologies as well as potential	
18	consequences, so impacts to the existing uses and	
19	users of the aquatic pathway, as well as potential	
20	impacts to the environment to support an agency	
21	decision. Again, I mentioned the consequences of	
22	inaction versus the cost of possibly	
23	implementing some type of additional control	
24	beyond what has been implemented up to this	
25	point.	

		22
1	The information that we gain, that we	
2	learn from this process, again, could be	
3	applied to other points other than the	
4	Chicago Area Waterway System.	
5	As part of a federal agency decision, we	
6	are participating and complying with the	
7	requirements of the National Environmental	
8	Policy Act, NEPA, as Kendall described	
9	earlier, and putting together an	
10	environmental impact statement.	
11	We also recognize that there is a lot of	
12	interest in GLMRIS exemplified by the fact that	
13	you folks are here today, and then we seek to	
14	put out interim products as possible	
15	throughout the study process. And	
16	information we've learned that's on a	
17	technical basis, could be put out to	
18	stakeholders via our website and the other	
19	types of media.	
20	We also hope to continue a dedicated	
21	stakeholder outreach process such as this	
22	and employ social medias and web sites to	
23	keep our stakeholders engaged.	
24	Currently, we are concluding this kind	
25	of realm of scoping activities and it's	

		23
1	important to get your input and members of	
2	the public's input and stakeholder input on	
3	this, in general, so that we can shape the	
4	direction of the study.	
5	We have an idea of what types of	
6	engineering, economic and environmental	
7	analyses must be completed as well as what	
8	kind of requirements are required for both	
9	agency and agency policy compliance.	
10	We hope to hear your comments today.	
11	This is the third of three public meetings	
12	that we've had. Two were in the	
13	Chicago-land area, one is here, and each of	
14	them has also been open to virtual	
15	participation through the web and dial-in.	
16	The comment period does close on	
17	January 30th of this month. So if you don't	
18	think of something today, if you don't	
19	choose to make a comment today, please visit	
20	our website, again, glmris.anl.gov to make a	
21	comment.	
22	Comments can also be mailed to us and	
23	hand-delivered to us at an address that we	
24	can provide to you or by visiting our	
25	website.	

		24
1	Again, a lot of this information that I	
2	discussed today, information on the Brandon	
3	Road efforts, as well as on the GLMRIS	
4	report and background information, this	
5	summary report and thousands of pages on	
6	aquatic nuisance species and economics and	
7	other information is contained on our	
8	website, glmris.anl.gov. We are on	
9	Facebook. We are on Twitter, so please stay	
10	in touch with us.	
11	With that, I turn it back to Kendall and	
12	turn the conversation over to you.	
13	Thank you so much.	
14	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Okay. Thank you,	
15	Dave.	
16	So now we're going to move into the oral	
17	comment portion of this meeting here.	
18	And, at this point, it's going to be the	
19	opportunity for those of you that registered	
20	on our website or registered here in person	
21	to provide us with an oral comment, and then	
22	also we'll allow for people on the webinar	
23	to ask questions or make a comment as well.	
24	Just judging by the attendance here in	
25	the room, you may have been told that we are	

		25
1	going to limit you to three minutes, but I'd	
2	like to waive that strict restriction, if	
3	that's okay with everyone. I'll just ask	
4	that you remain respectful of everyone's	
5	time. And I do see a few head nods. That's	
6	okay with everybody. All right. Great.	
7	So as I mentioned we're going to start	
8	with the people that preregistered on our	
9	project website, and then we'll get to other	
10	people here today in person that are	
11	registered. And then if anybody would like	
12	to come up and make a second round of	
13	comments, we'll do so. And then, after	
14	that, we'll turn it over to Colin, who is	
15	our web moderator.	
16	And so for those of you that are	
17	participating via the webinar, we'll give	
18	you the opportunity then to make a comment	
19	as well.	
20	I'd like to now mention that we have a	
21	stenographer with us tonight. She is going	
22	to be reporting any of your comments, and so	
23	when you come to the front to make a	
24	comment, we ask that you first give us your	
25	name, any organization that you represent	

		26
1	and then your zip code. When you give the	
2	name, if you wouldn't mind spelling your	
3	last name and speaking slowly so that we can	
4	make sure that we accurately record your	
5	comments, that would be great.	
6	Lynn, here in the back, has the	
7	microphone for when it's your turn to come.	
8	She'll hand it over to you. Make sure it's	
9	turned on, and then we'll be good to go.	
10	So I would like to mention that if you	
11	don't give us your name and zip code, we	
12	will not be able to formally include your	
13	comment. So I apologize in advance if I	
14	mispronounce any of your names. Please	
15	correct me. And that's why we ask you to	
16	please spell them.	
17	So without further ado, I'd like to get	
18	started with those of you that registered on	
19	our website.	
20	We'll start with Mr. Frank Morton. If	
21	you're ready, Lynne get you the microphone	
22	and we'll have you go.	
23	FRANK MORTON: Thank you. I want to thank	
24	the Corps of Engineers for having this	
25	hearing down here.	

		27
1	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Sir, I'm sorry. Real	
2	quick, can I get your name and any	
3	organization	
4	FRANK MORTON: My name is Frank Morton,	
5	M-o-r-t-o-n. I'm founder and Director of	
6	Turn Services, LLC, and I'm National	
7	Chairman of the American Waterways Operators	
8	the Tugboat, Towboat and Barge National	
9	Industry Trade Association.	
10	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Zip code, please.	
11	FRANK MORTON: Zip codes? I've got three of	
12	them, 70116, 70117, 70452.	
13	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Please go ahead.	
14	FRANK MORTON: Thank you for having this	
15	hearing session here. I appreciate that.	
16	This is vitally important to us here in New	
17	Orleans.	
18	As you know, the Mississippi River,	
19	there are over five port authorities on the	
20	Mississippi River, Baton Rouge South. It's	
21	the largest port complex in the United	
22	States. And depending on how you measure	
23	it, somewhere between one and four in the	
24	world. And the cargo moving in and out of	
25	the Mississippi River contributes	

		28
1	approximately \$278,000,000 a day to the US	
2	economy as of 2006.	
3	When you compare trade between states	
4	that are noncontiguous in the United States,	
5	the two states that have the most trade	
6	between them are the State of Illinois and	
7	the State of Louisiana, so this is	
8	critically important to us.	
9	I want a couple of questions here.	
10	The big picture, I was here for the GLMRIS	
11	report hearings, and I provided comments at	
12	that time. The Brandon Road structure was a	
13	component of the GLMRIS alternative, but was	
14	not listed as a stand-alone alternative, nor	
15	was it listed in the preferred alternative.	
16	Why has the Corps decided to move forward on	
17	only one part of the alternative?	
18	I saw in one of the slides that it said	
19	you're going to go through the process and	
20	perhaps evaluate from there on out. I just	
21	want to caution you against unintended	
22	consequences once you start down this	
23	particular path.	
24	For instance, the Asian Carp introduced	
25	in the United States by the National	

Wildlife and Fishery Service, the current	
situation was not seen as a consequence.	Ιt
does occur.	

The flowing of the water between the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River system was brought into existence because of health reasons in the City of Chicago because of the horrible conditions that were listed --were coming forth from Lake Michigan where you had cholera and typhoid epidemics four years in a row, even while the World Fair was being done in Chicago.

This project does not address the basic direction from Congress in WRDA early 2007 to evaluate and recommend alternative methods to stop two-way transfer of aquatic nuisance species between the basins. This option doesn't do that.

MAP-21 directed the Corps to finish the
GLMRIS 18 months from enactment in early
January of 2014. Since the study was
completed in January of '14, without a
preferred alternative identified, nor chief
report, nor a record of the decision, the
Corps cannot move ahead unless they have

		30
1	additional congressional authorization.	
2	GLMRIS did not identify structures of	
3	Brandon Road as an alternative, only a part	
4	of three alternatives. Even the direction	
5	in MAP-21 that allows work to move forward	
6	to a PDD with the ASA's consent does not	
7	provide for the Corps to identify new	
8	alternatives after the study's completed.	
9	WRRDA 2014 lays out a very precise way to	
10	evaluate and prioritize projects. Why is	
11	this project outside of that process?	
12	According to the Rock Island District	
13	website, Brandon Road faces a \$50 million	
14	backlog in maintenance. The Corps must either	
15	rehab or lock to an acceptable level and/or	
16	add another 600-foot of lock at the location	
17	to insure two-way traffic will continue to	
18	move.	
19	How do the Corps evaluate the full impacts	
20	to its customers in the United States economy	
21	including the impact to potential future	
22	growth?	
23	Also, you know, the electric barrier	
24	that exists is a problem, including	
25	producing hazards to human beings. And the	

		31
1	electric barrier was put in place not to	JI
2	keep the carp from going into Lake Michigan.	
3	It was to keep the guppy thing, whatever it	
4	was, coming out of Lake Michigan. But	
5	nobody bothered to think of the concept	
6	that, well, if Lake Michigan catches a lot	
7	of water and they've got to dump water	
8	somewhere or flood the lakefront in Chicago,	
9	they're going to send it out to the	
10	Mississippi River and that current will wash	
11	those guppies through the electric barrier,	
12	even they though they didn't want to go,	
13	another unintended consequence.	
14	I just want to make sure this thing is	
15	completely thought through. I've got a	
16	sneaky feeling that it isn't it. There's a	
17	lot of moving parts. It's totally complex.	
18	I appreciate that you guys are real smart.	
19	I think we need a little more study and we	
20	need a better alternative.	
21	Thank you for your patience. I	
22	appreciate it.	
23	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you, Mr.	
24	Morton.	
25	Next are those that preregistered before	

		32
1	today. Mr. Jim Stark, if you're ready and	
2	available, and then name and organization	
3	and then zip code, please.	
4	JIM STARK: I'm Jim Stark. I'm the	
5	executive director of the Gulf Intercostal	
6	Canal Association. My zip code is 70174.	
7	The Gulf Intercostal Canal Association,	
8	or GICA, is a 109-year-old trade association	
9	representing about 200 members that are	
10	involved in towboat and barge operations,	
11	shipping, shipyards, and associated	
12	waterways industries which use the Gulf	
13	Intercostal Waterway or the GIWW.	
14	Of course, it also affects the	
15	tributaries. We also work for the companies	
16	that operate on the tributaries affecting	
17	the GIWW. And those tributaries are	
18	far-reaching, including the Mississippi	
19	River system, and eventually, the Illinois	
20	River all the way up to the Great Lakes.	
21	GICA is committed to insuring that GIWW	
22	is maintained and is clear to provide safe	
23	and efficient, economical and	
24	environmentally-sound water transportation.	
25	The GIWW is about 1100 miles long, the	

		33
1	third busiest waterway in the country with	
2	about 113 million tons annually carried on	
3	the waterway at a value of about \$86	
4	billion.	
5	Because the GIWW is part of the overall	
6	Inland Waterway System, that's why I and my	
7	fellow GICA members are concerned with the	
8	recent Corps announcement that it would	
9	proceed with a formal evaluation regarding	
10	the Brandon Road site and the viability of	
11	establishing a single point to control	
12	one-way upstream transfer of the ANS.	
13	Our concern is that navigation not be	
14	restricted at the lock. Just as structural	
15	impediments to navigation here on the Gulf	
16	can affect the navigation industry	
17	throughout the Mississippi Basin,	
18	restricting navigation upstream can also	
19	affect our business here in the Gulf. This	
20	is not a local issue, but a multi-regional	
21	one that we are concerned about.	
22	Although it's not clear that a	
23	structural fix is absolutely necessary at	
24	Brandon Road, I think Frank touched on a	
25	point that ought to be carefully considered.	

		34
1	Should structural measures be considered,	51
2	the lock there is outdated. I believe the	
3	number is \$50 million on the Corps' info	
4	sheet about how much needs to be put into	
5	that lock. Which we have some locks down	
6	here that could use some work, too.	
7	But if we're going to do something	
8	there, we ought to carefully consider a	
9	holistic approach to the lock restructuring	
10	or the lock replacement or a major rehab	
11	along with any kind of contemplated	
12	structural fix at Brandon Road.	
13	I think that concludes my statement.	
14	Thank you, again, for the opportunity.	
15	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you.	
16	Next, I have Patrick Morton, if you're	
17	ready and available.	
18	PATRICK MORTON: Good afternoon. My name is	
19	Patrick Morton. I'm AVP Vessel Operations,	
20	Ingram Marine Transportation Company Zip	
21	codes, 70068 and 70084.	
22	Ingram Marine Transportation Company has	
23	a fleet of over 150 tugboats and over 5,000	
24	barges. And we are also an active member of	
25	the American Waterways Operators. We	

		35
1	support the comments that were submitted to	33
2	your attention by AWO and add a couple	
3	things we'd like to unconditionally note.	
4	At this time, it appears that a further	
5	explanation on the proposed project of	
6	Brandon Road is needed. So far, it's been	
7	difficult to perceive the true scope of the	
8	project. And, therefore, we hope that you	
9	will provide more solid information around	
10	the potential of planned activities at	
11	Brandon Lock.	
12	When formulating a plan or study for the	
13	Brandon Lock, please consider that the lock	
14	facilitates transfer of goods in between the	
15	Great Lakes and Mississippi River Basin. As	
16	such, any barrier to a safe and efficient	
17	movement of goods should not be implemented	
18	as it would negatively impact commerce and	
19	the economy.	
20	Any projects considered by the Corps for	
21	the Brandon Lock area should fully evaluate	
22	the impact to the towing industry, its	

the impact to the towing industry, its customers, and the U.S. economy.

23

24

25

Additionally, safety issues should be evaluated if an electric barrier is considered for

		36
1	the Brandon Lock area. And the Coast Guard	
2	should be actively engaged in any new	
3	technology that would impact or be near the	
4	navigable WRDA channel.	
5	Finally, as 2014 lays out a very precise	
6	way to evaluate and prioritize projects,	
7	this project should be considered based on	
8	that criteria and should address the basic	
9	directions of Congress. Even barges working	
10	in conjunction with AWO has to be in a role	
11	in these conversations moving forward.	
12	Again, thank you for the opportunity to	
13	speak today. And Ingram is in Nashville,	
14	Tennessee, and I'm here in the local area	
15	here in Reserve.	
16	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you, sir.	
17	Next, I have Sherry Felder.	
18	CHERRIE FELDER: Good afternoon. I'm Cherrie	
19	Felder, F-e-l-d-e-r. I am the vice	
20	president of Governmental Legislative	
21	Affairs for Channel Shipyard companies in	
22	Houston, Texas, 70002 and 77562.	
23	First, I want to add my appreciation to	
24	the Corps for giving the towing industry	
25	this opportunity to express our concerns and	

		37
1	make comments on this regarding the	
2	potential Brandon Road project.	
3	Channel Shipyard has customers that move	
4	on the Illinois River in and out of the	
5	Chicago area, and I'm here today to support	
6	their position and that of the American	
7	Waterway Operators that the Corps of	
8	Engineers should stand down from their plan	
9	to move forward with some type of	
10	technologically-engineered structure near	
11	Brandon Road Lock until a further study is	
12	made regarding navigational and economic	
13	impact prioritization underway WRRDA in 2014 is	
14	considered and congressional authorization	
15	is settled. We will be submitting more	
16	detailed written comments for the record.	
17	Thank you.	
18	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you, ma'am.	
19	Next, I have Jeff Kindl.	
20	MR. KINDL: My name is Jeff Kindl,	
21	K-i-n-d-l. I'm vice president of Gulf	
22	Operations with American Commercial Lines	
23	with headquarters in Jeffersonville,	
24	Indiana, and we also have a fleet and	
25	terminal operations in Lemont, Illinois.	

We're also originally from the Chicago area, so I'm intimately familiar with the area.

I agree with all of the concerns
expressed by the previous speakers, but
would also like add that, you know, one of
the things you might look at is making a
dead zone in the Brandon Road pier and pool.

I think a few years ago you killed all the fish above Lockport. And I think Mr.

Wethington touched briefly on a dead zone or something like that in your presentation, and I think that needs to be looked at. And I'm not sure what some of the unintended consequences might be of having a five- or six-mile dead zone.

And the other alternative I think that needs to be looked at is something like they do in Europe with locks on the Danube where they reuse the lock water.

The water is pumped out of the lock when lowering it onto shore, and then when they raise the pool, they pump the water from the shore back into the lock. And that way that water could be treated. You could kill

		39
1	anything in that. Any organisms that would	
2	come in on the lower end while the tow is	
3	shoving in from a non-treated area, you	
4	know, that would then be diluted with the	
5	water that is captured on the shore and used	
6	over and over again to kill any organisms	
7	that would happen to make it into the lock.	
8	I forgot. My zip codes are 70123 and	
9	70003.	
10	Thank you.	
11	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you, sir.	
12	Next, Spencer Murphy.	
13	SPENCER MURPHY: Hi, my name is Spencer	
14	Murphy, M-u-r-p-h-y. I'm a general counsel	
15	for Canal Barge Company, headquartered here	
16	in New Orleans. My zip code is 70118.	
17	First, I would like to thank you very	
18	much for hosting this meeting in New	
19	Orleans. I'm one of the people that	
20	requested that you come down here and have	
21	this meeting, so I very much appreciate your	
22	doing it.	
23	I thought I was doing you a favor with	
24	the weather by bringing you down here, but I	
25	guess not. So welcome to chilly New	

		40
1	Orleans.	
2	As I said, I work for Canal Barge	
3	Company, which is headquartered here in New	
4	Orleans, but we operate throughout the	
5	entire inner waterway system, as well as	
6	offshore and in international waters. We	
7	are a family-owned company. We have about	
8	800 or more barges, and employ over 700	
9	people both in Louisiana and throughout the	
10	rest of the states.	
11	Our second most popular state by	
12	employee head count is Illinois. Part of	
13	that is because we have a subsidiary	
14	company, Illinois Marine Towing	
15	headquartered in Lemont, Illinois, that is	
16	the largest independent fleeting and harbor	
17	services company in the Chicago-land region.	
18	It is probably the single largest user of	
19	the O'Brien Lock in Chicago, and certainly,	
20	transits through Brandon Road and all of the	
21	other structures in the Chicago Area	
22	Waterway System on a daily basis and employs	
23	over 120 people.	
24	So that's the background.	
25	I have a few points. I've made some	

	GLMRIS-Brandon Road Public Meeting 01-08-2015	
		41
1	comments on some earlier public meetings in	
2	Chicago, so I'll try to be brief and I will	
3	have to repeat a few things that were	
4	mentioned by others, but I'll only do that	
5	because I think they're important and really	
6	worth reiterating.	
7	One, on the issue of authority, I'm sure	
8	that folks can give the more detailed	
9	explanations as to where the authority for	
10	this activity originates, whether here in	
11	MAP-21 or WRDA of 2007, but, however, the	
12	commonsense authority that was my	
13	understanding from GLMRIS was that you've	
14	come up with a series of alternatives and	
15	present those alternatives to Congress and	
16	let Congress decide what should be done	
17	next.	
18	And as we heard and discussed in prior	
19	meetings and in the report, there were eight	
20	alternatives identified, none of which were	
21	given as the preferred alternative. And	
22	none of those were specifically a	
23	one-way-control structure at Brandon Road.	
24	So I think it's fair to say that the	
25	Corps does not have the authority to move	

	GLWIKIS-Drandon Road Fublic Weeting 01-06-2015	
		42
1	forward on any one of these eight	
2	alternatives identified in GLMRIS. By that	
3	token, I would say they don't have the	
4	authority to piecemeal together any one of	
5	the eight alternatives in GLMRIS by simply	
6	saying, well, we're only looking at one	
7	piece or one alternative.	
8	Well, by doing that, you've now exceeded	
9	the authority, I believe, that was provided	
10	for in doing the GLMRIS study. I think the	
11	Corps should wait for Congress's instruction	
12	as to what do next. That was the whole	
13	point behind GLMRIS.	
14	To that point, I would appreciate if we	
15	have time to respond to some of these	
16	questions, just some clarification as to	
17	please correct me if I'm wrong if	
18	Congress has given some sort of direction to	
19	study Brandon Road specifically, that would	
20	be very helpful for us to hear.	
21	If that's not the case and this was a	
22	Corps decision, I would, again, appreciate	
23	hearing how that decision was made and by	
24	whom within headquarters.	
25	Secondly, or my final point, is really a	

general comment about the Corps and various
missions that you all are tasked with. As a
resident of New Orleans, I've seen very up
close and personal that the Corps is tasked
with sometimes with things like conflicting
missions between navigation, ecosystem
services, flood protection. But when the
Corps is at its best, it is able to identify
and work in ways that serve all those
missions at the same time, rather than one
mission at the cost of another.
I think what we've heard so far is
what I'm concerned about is that Brandon
Road will serve one particular mission at
the expense of others, particularly
navigation. Although, I think flood
protection may have a role there as well.
I've seen also down here that, at its
best, the Corps can harmonize all those

I've seen also down here that, at its best, the Corps can harmonize all those missions together in a way that benefits navigation and flood protection and ecosystem services. I think given our budget environment, that really has to be the way the Corps operates.

Many of the alternatives in the ${\tt GLMRIS}$

	GLMRIS-Brandon Road Public Meeting 01-08-2015	
		44
1	study were, frankly, economically	
2	infeasible, I think by anybody's reasonable	
3	estimation. I don't think the Corps has \$18	
4	billion to do any of these particular	
5	alternatives.	
6	So before we start looking at projects	
7	that are part of an unlikely outcome of an	
8	alternative that is not going to be approved	
9	by Congress, please take a step back and	
10	look at what's happening in that lock and	
11	the dam. If we're going to do something at	
12	Brandon Road, let's do something at Brandon	
13	Road lock and dam that looks at all of the	
14	missions that are being impacted there. As	
15	was reported earlier, the Corps itself has	
16	identified \$50 million worth of current	
17	maintenance issues that need to be addressed	
18	at that lock in order to maintain its	
19	primary mission as a navigation channel.	
20	The Rock Island District has a very	
21	informative fact sheet that talks about the	
22	importance of this lock and dam within the	
23	entire Northwest Channel Navigation Project	
24	on the Illinois River, and notes that the	
25	system's 600-foot locks do not accommodate	

1	today's modern tows without flooding and
2	passing through the lock and two operations.
3	This procedure requires barges at mid-point
4	which triples lockage time and exposes
5	deckhands to increased accident risks.
6	So that's just one piece of information.
7	I don't need to read your document to you,
8	but I would encourage you to go through and
9	think about all those missions that are
10	currently being served, and before we have
11	created another problem, let's make sure we
12	fix ones that have already been identified.
13	With that, I will stop talking other
14	than to say, again, thank you very much for
15	coming down here. I do appreciate that it's
16	not necessarily easy to get down here and
17	listen to folks that are not in your AOR
18	but thanks very much. It's very important.
19	LIEUTENANT COLONEL KEVIN J. LOVELL: You're
20	welcome, sir.
21	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: So, at this point,
22	I've heard from everybody that indicated
23	when they walked in or via our project's
24	website that they would like to make an oral
25	comment during this meeting here today.

		46
1	Would anybody that hasn't made a comment	
2	or previously made a comment like to come	
3	back up and speak to the panel now?	
4	All right. Seeing no one else in the	
5	room, Colin, is anybody on the web ready to	
6	make a comment? Or I'm going to turn it	
7	over to you and you can issue instructions	
8	to those on the webinar on how to make a	
9	comment and we can go from there.	
10	COLIN WILCOX: Certainly. Ladies and	
11	gentlemen, just a reminder if you would like	
12	to do ask a question today, you do so by	
13	pressing star one on your telephone handset	
14	or by using the raise-hand button in the top	
15	left-hand corner of your screen. You'll be	
16	entered into the question que and then	
17	you'll hear a notification once your line is	
18	un muted.	
19	Additionally, we'll also still be	
20	accepting written questions. You can send	
21	those in by using the send-note button in	
22	the top tool bar and addressing them to	
23	presenters.	
24	And it looks like we do have a question	
25	over the phone line. Going now to Matt's	

		47
1	line.	
2	MATTHEW WOODRUFF: Hello. Are you able to	
3	hear me?	
4	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Yes.	
5	Matt, if you could please give us your	
6	full name and zip code and take it away,	
7	please.	
8	MATTHEW WOODRUFF: Okay. I'm happy to.	
9	My name is Matthew Woodruss. That's	
10	spelled W-o-o-d-r-u-s-s, and I work for	
11	Kirby Corporation on whose behalf I am	
12	speaking today, and Kirby's zip code is	
13	77007.	
14	We're located in Houston, Texas. That's	
15	where our headquarters is, but we are the	
16	largest operator of tank barges in the	
17	United States with operations throughout the	
18	inland waterway system along the Gulf Coast,	
19	the East Coast, the West Coast, Alaska and	
20	Hawaii. Kirby has approximately 4,600	
21	employees, approximately 900 inland tank	
22	barges, and 250 inland towboats that we	
23	operate.	
24	And I want to start off by saying that I	
25	echo much of what's been said by the	

speakers who spoke before me. And so I'd like to adopt without repeating many of the things that they said, but also would like to offer some context about why barge transportation is so important to the nation.

We move products in and out of Chicago, and I think we need to recognize Chicago's our nation's third largest city. And barges are responsible for a lot of commerce that comes and goes from the Chicago area. And our company, along with many others, is a part of moving that commerce. If you're not moving that commerce by barge, you have to find other modes, such as rail and trucks which are not as safe, efficient, or inexpensive as we are.

You know, there's a reason that our industry exists and that is because it is the best choice, especially for moving bulk commodities in terms of energy efficiency, in terms of the environmental emissions, and in terms of safety and cost to our customers.

We are not in favor of aquatic nuisance

species. We don't like Asian Carp, I think, anymore than anyone else does. I doubt that any of the people that spoke before me is a particular fan of Asian Carp. So we're not here in support of the Asian Carp. What we're in support of is navigation and finding a way to control nuisance species while at the same time not impeding navigation. And we believe not only can that be done, it must be done.

Just to cover a few of the things that have been said so far, we, as others have questioned the authority, the congressional authority for the current activity, we have similar questions and hope that that will be explained in greater detail at a later time.

Also, I want to briefly revisit the safety issue that was mentioned and just state how critical it is as an operator of tank barges, there is no margin for error. We have to have a zero accident environment. We have to eliminate every risk that can be eliminated and manage those that cannot be eliminated.

In so doing, we have to ensure that

_	\sim

		50
1	we're not creating an environment at Brandon	
2	Road that would change that formula in a	
3	negative way in any sense.	
4	So, as I said, I don't want to repeat	
5	what others have said, but I do want to	
6	emphasize that a few of those points that I	
7	had emphasized, and I appreciate the	
8	opportunity to make these comments and	
9	appreciate the fact that you are giving	
10	those of us on the Gulf coast an opportunity	
11	to provide input into this because, as has	
12	been said, this is not a local issue. This	
13	is a national issue. Thank you.	
14	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you. Mr.	
15	Woodruff.	
16	Colin, do we have anyone else that has	
17	indicated they would like to speak?	
18	COLIN WILCOX: Absolutely. Do we have	
19	another caller? Going now to our next	
20	caller.	
21	PAUL ROHEE: Yes. Can you hear me?	
22	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Yes. Can you start	
23	with your name and zip code and then take it	
24	away.	
25	PAUL ROHDE: Thank you. This is Paul Rohde,	

1 zip code 63144, representing the Waterways Council based in St. Louis. Waterways Council at WCI, we have almost 200 companies, associations, unions, and other groups that make up our diverse coalition, many of whom the carriers and shippers of our agriculture and industrial materials along our inland waterways that you heard from today.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to speak, I think, on behalf of some of the members that aren't present, particularly, the associations and the organized labor unions that understand the importance of our inland waterway system and its impact to all -- not just the towing and shipping community, but all consumers in the Chicago-land area and for throughout the national system of inland waterways.

You know, those folks include the ag producer groups and agri-businesses, the manufacturers of the materials, the utilities. I mentioned organized labor, the economic development interests, the ports and others that are concerned about the region's economic prosperity.

		52
1	It's been mentioned about this being the	
2	least environmentally intrusive mode of	
3	transporting commodities. I think that's	
4	especially important in the Chicago-land	
5	area and Brandon Road locks placed on the	
6	Illinois River and its larger role as part	
7	of the national system of waterways, you	
8	know?	
9	I appreciate you being down there in New	
10	Orleans. What happens at Brandon Road	
11	impacts immediately the vitality of New	
12	Orleans, Baton Rouge, Natchez, Pittsburgh,	
13	Memphis, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Peoria,	
14	Chicago and all points in between, and so	
15	thank you for hosting this public meeting	
16	and giving our members down there an	
17	opportunity to comment.	
18	I'll echo all of the comments that have	
19	been made so far and try not to repeat	
20	myself. But just to say WCI shares a lot of	
21	the same concerns, all of the concerns and	
22	impact on the towing and shipping industries	
23	that have been mentioned that any structural	
24	option would have, and its impact to safe	
25	and efficient and reliable shipping.	

Dave, it's good to hear the things you said about shared responsibility for ANS and we take that responsibility very seriously.

Our coalition is especially concerned about a structural alternative there that would impact the safe and efficient reliable transportation of goods.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So my statement today, I'm going to talk about, you know, the goal of stopping ANS and that Woodruff said, no one is pro-Asian Carp, but you've got to look at this from a both-ways system, from one basin to the That is the goal. And it looks to me that this option is being artificially moved forward as a means to quell some concern that, perhaps, the Corps isn't doing anything, when we know that's not factual; that just any action would be positive development, which I don't believe is the case. And as has been mentioned, I'd encourage that holistic approach, the comprehensive approach before moving forward with any option, especially a structural option.

Now, as I understand it, this proposal

		54
1	was a portion of three of the eight	
2	alternatives that GLMRIS identified, and I'm	
3	curious to why the Corps has opted to move	
4	forward with just this portion of those	
5	particular alternatives and how would moving	
6	forward with just this portion change the	
7	impact that is part of a larger package it	
8	would have under those three alternatives?	
9	And I don't need an answer today. I do	
10	plan on submitting written comments, and you	
11	mentioned that as well. I understand there	
12	are no guarantees that any option action	
13	would stop ANS, but I'd like to put forward	
14	support for a host of non-structural	
15	alternatives. Such as, for example,	
16	alternative two mentioned non-structural	
17	options, which appear to be cost effective	
18	and realistic from a cost and timeframe	
19	standpoint.	
20	But a series of options working together	
21	looks to be at this point the best option.	
22	And, further, this proposal appears to be	
23	outside the bounds of the very clear	
24	prioritization process the Corps was	
25	authorized to adhere to during WRRDA last year.	

		55
1	I would encourage you to avoid any pressure to	
2	do something and anything now for the sake	
3	of keeping the sky from falling. The	
4	authorization issue is one that we are	
5	deeply concerned about.	
6	And finally, the funding for this seems	
7	to run in the face of the long list of	
8	backlog work that's needed among the	
9	national system. And even the work that	
10	Rock Island has identified that Brandon	
11	needs, especially if this is to be a	
12	cost-shared project with the Inland Waterways	
13	Trust Fund, which my understanding is that	
14	had not been identified yet. We've got very	
15	strong concerns about it moving forward,	
16	even conceptually.	
17	And that's it, and I appreciate the	
18	opportunity. Thank you.	
19	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you, sir. Mr.	
20	Rohde, if you're still available, could you	
21	spell your last name, please?	
22	MR. ROHDE: Sure, it's R-o-h-e-e.	
23	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Colin, back over to	
24	you.	
25	COLIN WILCOX: It looks at this point there	

	56
are no further questions.	
MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: All right. That	
being said, I'll give we've got one in	
the room that would like to make a comment.	
MR. KINDL: Yeah, I would like to make one	
clarification Jeff Kindl about the fish	
kill at Lockport it was a planned event.	
It wasn't anybody's negligence.	
MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you for the	
clarification, sir.	
Anyone else in the room that would like	
a last chance to make a comment to our panel	
here today?	
I would like to thank everybody for	
coming out here tonight. At this point,	
panel, would you like to have any additional	
closing comments?	
LIEUTENANT COLONEL KEVIN J. LOVELL: Ladies	
and gentlemen, thank you very much for	
coming tonight.	
I've been a United States Army Officer	
for 19 years. The United States Army and	
the Army Corps of Engineers has been given	
the responsibility to protect and defend the	
constitution of the United States and to	
	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: All right. That being said, I'll give we've got one in the room that would like to make a comment. MR. KINDL: Yeah, I would like to make one clarification Jeff Kindl about the fish kill at Lockport it was a planned event. It wasn't anybody's negligence. MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Thank you for the clarification, sir. Anyone else in the room that would like a last chance to make a comment to our panel here today? I would like to thank everybody for coming out here tonight. At this point, panel, would you like to have any additional closing comments? LIEUTENANT COLONEL KEVIN J. LOVELL: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much for coming tonight. I've been a United States Army Officer for 19 years. The United States Army and the Army Corps of Engineers has been given the responsibility to protect and defend the

provide for the nation's defense.

Okay? And a big portion of that is the national security. And a big portion of that includes our Interstate transportation and commerce, as well as environmental protection.

We're going to attempt to look at all of the impacts as we go into this further study area or refinement specific to the Brandon Road area.

I mentioned briefly in my comments, and you guys all more eloquently identified the complexity of this problem set, which includes among others with the fact this is multi-state, multi-region, multi-water basin multi-profession, multi-stakeholder, multi, multi, multi.

This is a tough problem set to address. We're not going to be able to address it completely without your help, so thank you very much for your attendance tonight, for providing us your comments.

And if you have those in paper, if you could please provide those to our stenographer, and we look forward to

		58
1	addressing your comments and your questions	
2	that were provided here, just from my notes	
3	that I took. So I'm going to comment on	
4	one, for under what authority are we able to	
5	move forward on the issue with safety, which	
6	is important to all of us. The suggestion	
7	and the recommendation on holistic solution	
8	sets, the challenge that our nation's	
9	infrastructure faces to the maintenance of	
10	this transportation methods and ways.	
11	I appreciate, Mr. Kindl, sir, you	
12	identifying that the dead zone was a planned	
13	event and no negligence.	
14	And thank you very much for the	
15	gentleman who was on the line that	
16	specifically mentioned the shared	
17	responsibility for ANS, because we certainly	
18	are not going to be able to do all of this	
19	by ourselves. And ladies and gentlemen	
20	Mr. Moderator, do you have any other	
21	comments?	
22	MODERATOR ZABOROWSKI: Just a little one.	
23	Thank you, Lieutenant Colonel Lovell.	
24	So before anybody leaves and before	
25	those on the webinar sign off, I'd just like	

		59
1	to remind everyone that our public comment	0,0
2	period runs until January 30th, so the end	
3	of the month basically.	
4	Instructions on how to submit comments	
5	by mail or in person can be found on the	
6	back of the comment registration form or you	
7	can go to our project website and submit a	
8	comment that way or you can find	
9	instructions on how to mail something to us	
10	there.	
11	So if you didn't receive, for some	
12	reason, a copy of the account registration	
13	form or any other reading materials, feel	
14	free to grab a copy on the way out. If	
15	you'd like an extra copy, please take that	
16	as well.	
17	So at this time, it concludes the public	
18	meeting that we've had here, and the time is	
19	now 4:03 p.m.	
20	Thank you all for coming. And we'll be	
21	here for a little while, if you want to ask	
22	any other questions of us afterwards. We	
23	thank you all on the webinar for	
24	participating with us tonight.	
25	(Whereupon the public meeting concluded.)	

60 CERTIFICATE 1 This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page. I, MARYBETH E. MUIR, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana and Registered Professional Reporter, as the officer before whom this public meeting was taken, do hereby certify that, hereinbefore set forth in the foregoing 60 pages; is the public meeting reported by me in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil 11 Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board; that I am not related to 12 counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter. 13 14 This 20th day of January, 2015. 15 16 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

\$	4:03 59:19	absolutely 33:23	23:23 29:13 36:8
\$18 44:3	4.00 37.17	50:18	57:18,19
\$278,000,000 28:1	5	accept 21:6	addressed 8:7
\$50 30:13 34:3	5 13:6	acceptable 30:15	20:12,13 44:17
44:16	5,000 34:23	accepting 46:20	addressing 16:12
\$86 33:3		accident 45:5	46:22 58:1
	6 60 60:6	49:21	adhere 20:21 54:25
1 1 13:6 21:14	600-foot 30:16	accommodate	ado 26:17
	44:25	44:25	adopt 48:2
10 14:1,24	63144 51:1	accompanied 60:2	adopt 48.2 advance 26:13
109-year-old 32:8		According 30:12	advisory 60:11
1100 32:25	7	account 59:12	Affairs 36:21
113 33:2	700 40:8	accurate 8:25	
120 40:23	70002 36:22	accurately 26:4	affect 7:8 33:16,19
14 29:22	70003 39:9	acknowledge	affecting 32:16
1434 60:11	70068 34:21	19:5,15 20:20 21:4	affects 32:14
150 34:23	70084 34:21	acknowledged	afternoon 2:2,16 3:7 6:9 9:8 34:18
1600 17:15	70116 27:12	18:16 19:11	36:18
18 19:24 29:20	70117 27:12	across 17:15	afterwards 10:2
19 56:22	70118 39:16	Act 2:11 22:8	59:22
	70123 39:8	acted 60:10	ag 51:19
200 32:9 51:3	70174 32:6	action 15:2 53:18	against 11:22
2006 28:2	70452 27:12	54:12	28:21
2007 29:14 41:11	77007 47:13	actions 11:12	agencies 4:1
2014 4:7 29:21	77562 36:22	active 11:9 34:24	10:9,10
30:9 36:5 37:13		actively 36:2	agency 21:20 22:5 23:9
2015 1:4 5:7 60:14	8 8 1:4	activities 7:2,23	ago 38:9
20th day 60:14	800 40:8	22:25 35:10	agri-businesses
250 47:22	800 40.8	activity 41:10	51:20
	9	49:14	agriculture 51:7
3	900 47:21	add 30:16 35:2 36:23 38:6	ahead 2:5 27:13
30th 5:6 23:17 59:2		additional 15:1	29:25
35 17:11	A ability 14:2 60:9	21:23 30:1 56:16	Alaska 47:19
33 17.11	ability 14:3 60:8	Additionally	allow 24:22
4	able 14:19 26:12 43:8 47:2 57:19	35:24 46:19	allows 30:5
4,600 47:20	58:4,18	address 8:9 11:4	already 45:12

	1 αξ	,	
alternative	AOR 45:17	Article 60:11	barge 20:21 27:8
28:13,14,15,17	apologize 26:13	artificially 53:14	32:10 39:15 40:2
29:15,23 30:3	1 0	, and the second	48:4,14
31:20 38:17	appear 54:17	ASA's 30:6	barges 34:24 36:9
41:21 42:7 44:8	appears 35:4	Asian 8:20 28:24	40:8 45:3
53:5 54:16	54:22	49:1,4,5	47:16,22 48:9
alternatives	applied 22:3	associated 32:11	49:20
12:13,22 16:4,24	apply 14:21 18:8	association 27:9	barrier 8:5 30:23
30:4,8	11 1	32:6,7,8	31:1,11 35:16,25
41:14,15,20	appreciate 27:15 31:18,22 39:21	associations	barriers 12:3
42:2,5 43:25	42:14,22 45:15	51:4,12	
44:5 54:2,5,8,15	50:7,9 52:9	,	base 17:13
am 9:9 36:19	55:17 58:11	assumption 9:19	based 7:20 12:20
47:11 60:12	appreciation 6:17	attack 11:9	16:9,10 36:7
American 27:7	36:23	attempt 57:7	51:2
34:25 37:6,22		attendance 6:16	basic 29:13 36:8
among 10:9,10	approach 17:22,24 34:9 53:21,22	24:24 57:21	basically 59:3
55:8 57:14	ŕ	attention 13:2	basin 13:22 17:19
amount 18:18 21:6	approved 44:8	35:2	18:23 19:4 33:17
analyses 21:16	approximately	authorities 27:19	35:15 53:12
23:7	28:1 47:20,21		57:15
	aquatic 3:24 4:8	authority	basins 8:13 10:20
analysis 7:11	8:4,11,17	41:7,9,12,25	12:17 13:8,9
and/or 30:15	10:7,13,18,20	42:4,9 49:13,14 58:4	14:4 17:3 18:19
angling 11:6,19	12:11 13:7 14:4		19:3,17,21
announcement	16:5,13 18:11	authorization 30:1	20:9,17 29:17
33:8	19:18 20:8 21:19 24:6 29:16 48:25	37:14 55:4	basis 22:17 40:22
annually 33:2		authorized 54:25	Baton 27:20 52:12
ANS 10:7 33:12	area 4:19,21 7:2,7,12 13:4	available 3:8 4:3	begin 5:24
53:2,9 54:13	14:9 18:9 19:25	32:2 34:17 55:20	0
58:17	20:6,10 22:4	avoid 55:1	beginning 2:20 4:6
answer 5:22 9:23	23:13 35:21	AVP 34:19	behalf 6:10 47:11
54:9	36:1,14 37:5	away 47:6 50:24	51:10
	38:2,3 39:3		behind 42:13
anybody 25:11 46:1,5 58:24	40:21 48:11	AWO 35:2 36:10	beings 30:25
ĺ	51:17 52:5		belief 16:9
anybody's 44:2	57:9,10	B	
56:8	areas 14:17,21	background 24:4 40:24	believe 17:4 34:2 42:9 49:9 53:19
anymore 49:2	aren't 13:19 51:11		
anyone 5:20 49:2	Army 2:14 4:14	backlog 30:14	benefits 15:14,23
50:16 56:11	5:5 56:21,22,23	55:8	18:7,14 43:20
anything 39:1	arrived 3:7	bait 11:18	best 5:22 43:8,19
53:17 55:2	uiiivou J./	bar 46:22	48:20 54:21 60:8
	<u> </u>		

	1 ag	1	
better 31:20	budget 43:23	change 50:2 54:6	47:6,12 50:23
beyond 21:24	bulk 48:20	channel 17:22,24	51:1 60:11
billion 33:4 44:4	busiest 33:1	36:4,21 37:3	codes 27:11 34:21
biocides 21:1	business 33:19	44:19,23	39:8
bit 2:3 6:22 9:20	button 46:14,21	charge 15:21	Colin 1:24 25:14
15:10		Cherrie 36:18	46:5,10 50:16,18 55:23,25
blockade 17:17	С	Chicago 2:15 4:14	collecting 5:6
board 60:10,12	caller 50:19,20	6:11 8:6 13:4,16 14:17,21 18:9	Colonel 1:21 4:12
boat 11:16	Canada 8:20	19:9,25 20:6,10	6:1,5,6,11,18
body 11:17	Canal 8:7 32:6,7	22:4 29:7,12	45:19 56:18
booklet 4:3	39:15 40:2	31:8 37:5 38:1	58:23
boss 6:10	captured 39:5	40:19,21 41:2 48:7,11 52:14	color 18:21
bothered 31:5	care 20:3	Chicago-land 7:7	combinations 15:9
	carefully 33:25	23:13 40:17	combine 12:8
both-ways 53:12	34:8	51:17 52:4	comes 48:11
bounds 54:23	carelessness 12:7	Chicago's 48:8	coming 15:24,25
Brandon 2:10 4:23 7:2,12 9:14	cargo 27:24	chief 29:23	29:9 31:4 45:15
12:19,24 13:25	carp 8:20 28:24	chilly 39:25	56:15,20 59:20
14:7,8,20,23	31:2 49:1,4,5	choice 48:20	Commander 6:11
15:13	53:11	cholera 29:10	comment
16:11,17,19,22 18:15 19:6	carried 33:2	choose 23:19	3:10,12,15,16,17 5:8,14,15,16
20:12,24 24:2	carriers 51:6	Christopher 6:12	9:24 23:16,19,21
28:12 30:3,13	case 42:21 53:20	city 29:7 48:9	24:17,21,23
33:10,24 34:12	catches 31:6	Civil 60:11	25:18,24 26:13 43:1 45:25
35:6,11,13,21 36:1 37:2,11	caution 28:21		46:1,2,6,9 52:17
38:8 40:20 41:23	CCR 60:17	clarification 42:16 56:6,10	56:4,12 58:3
42:19 43:13	certainly 40:19	clean 11:16	59:1,6,8
44:12 50:1 52:5,10 55:10	46:10 58:17	clear 32:22 33:22	comments 4:21
57:9	certification 60:2	54:23	5:6,9,12 6:3,15 23:10,22
break 10:14	Certified 60:4	close 23:16 43:4	25:13,22 26:5
brief 6:14,23 41:2	certify 60:5	closed 5:8	28:11 35:1
briefing 9:18	Chairman 27:7	closing 56:17	37:1,16 41:1
briefly 38:11	challenge 58:8	9	50:8 52:18 54:10 56:17 57:11,22
49:17 57:11	challenges 13:20	coalition 51:5 53:4	58:1,21 59:4
bringing 39:24	18:17	coast 36:1 47:18,19 50:10	commerce 7:8 8:3
brought 29:6	chamber 17:22,25	code 26:1,11 27:10	35:18
bucket 11:18	chance 56:12	32:3,6 39:16	48:10,13,14 57:5
рискет 11:18		22.2,3 27.10	

		,	
commercial 11:6	55:5	contained 24:7	54:3,24 56:23
37:22	concerns 5:2	contemplated	correct 26:15
committed 32:21	9:1,25 36:25 38:4 52:21 55:15	34:11	42:17 60:8
Committee 8:21		context 48:4	cost 21:22 43:11
commodities	concluded 59:25	continuation 4:22	48:23 54:17,18
48:21 52:3	concludes 34:13	continue 8:21	costs 15:24
commonsense	59:17	22:20 30:17	cost-shared 55:12
41:12	concluding 22:24	contractual 60:10	Council 51:2,3
community 51:16	conditions 29:8	contributes 27:25	counsel 39:14
companies 32:15	conduct 21:15	control 4:8 8:16	60:12
36:21 51:4	conference 2:19	10:7,8 12:11	count 40:12
company 34:20,22 39:15	conflicting 43:5	14:14 16:4,13,16 17:2,6 18:2,12	country 33:1
40:3,7,14,17	confluence 14:5	19:6,7,10 20:23	couple 3:20 5:25
48:12	16:22	21:2,23 33:11	16:20 28:9 35:2
compare 28:3	Congress 7:18	49:7	course 32:14
compiled 5:9	16:8 29:14 36:9 41:15,16 42:18	controlled 10:14	Court 60:4
completed 23:7	44:9	controlling	cover 49:11
29:22 30:8	congressional 30:1	13:16,17	created 45:11
completely 31:15	37:14 49:13	controls 10:15,16 11:3 14:10 15:3	creating 50:1
57:20	Congress's 42:11	18:14 21:1	criteria 36:8
complex 7:5 9:1	conjunction 36:10	conversation	critical 8:24 9:3
13:12 27:21 31:17	consent 30:6	24:12	49:19
complexity 57:13	consequence 15:16	conversations	critically 28:8
compliance 23:9	29:2 31:13	36:11	curious 54:3
60:9,10	consequences 18:7	Coordinating 8:21	current 6:24 7:10
complying 22:6	21:21 28:22	copy 59:12,14,15	29:1 31:10 44:16
component 16:25	38:15	corner 14:2,25	49:14
28:13	consequences,so 21:18	46:15	currently 15:3,5 21:12 22:24
comprehensive	consider 34:8	Corporation 47:11	45:10
53:22	35:13	Corps 2:14 3:25	customers 30:20
concept 31:5	considered 33:25	4:15,17 5:1,5	35:23 37:3 48:24
conceptual 15:10	34:1 35:20,25	15:21 26:24 28:16 29:19,25	
conceptually	36:7 37:14	30:7,14,19 33:8	<u>D</u>
55:16	constantly 8:9	34:3 35:20 36:24	daily 40:22
concern 33:13	constitution 56:25	37:7 41:25	dam
53:15	consumers 51:16	42:11,22 43:1,4,8,19,24	17:10,11,13,14,1 5 44:11,13,22
concerned 33:7,21	contain 19:10	44:3,15 53:16	damages 13:19
43:13 51:24 53:4			uamages 13.19

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

57:12
):2
11
3:3,4
8:22
50:6
1 50:7
5 22:22
0:12
47:21
:22
29:20
45:8
1
21
:23
:13
g 23:6
2:14
,17 22
8 56:23
9
16
44:23
nt 7:8
1:20
21 50:1
ntal O
0 3:6
5
ntally
•
1 (2

(866) 448 - DEPO www.CapitalReportingCompany.com © 2014

	1 ag	, <u> </u>	
environmentally-	expense 43:15	22:5	flow 14:5
sound 32:24	explained 49:16	feel 5:19 10:1	flowing 29:4
epidemics 29:10	explanation 35:5	59:13	focused 17:24 20:9
episodic 19:19	explanations 41:9	feeling 31:16	focusing 20:11
error 49:20	exposes 45:4	feet 17:11,15	folks 22:13 41:8
especially 48:20	express 6:17 36:25	Felder 36:17,19	45:17 51:19
52:4 53:4,23 55:11	expressed 38:5	F-e-l-d-e-r 36:19	foregoing 60:6
establishing 18:10	extends 17:15	FELDER 36:18	foremost 6:18,21
33:11	extent 12:15	fellow 33:7	8:23
estimation 44:3	extra 59:15	fight 11:22	forgot 39:8
Europe 38:19		figure 17:5,9	form 3:10 14:5
evaluate 28:20	F	18:17 19:13	59:6,13
29:15 30:10,19	face 55:7	fill 3:13,14	formal 33:9
35:21 36:6	Facebook 24:9	final 42:25	formally 5:12 26:12
evaluated 35:25	faces 30:13 58:9	finally 8:16 36:5	format 60:9
evaluation 33:9	facilitates 35:14	55:6	formed 19:20
evening 2:16 6:16	facilities 8:8	finding 49:7	formula 50:2
9:4	fact 7:16 13:13	findings 12:21	formulating 35:12
event 3:3 19:23 56:7 58:13	22:12 44:21 50:9 57:14	finish 29:19	8
		first 2:25 3:9	forth 29:9 60:6
eventually 32:19	factual 53:17	6:18,21 8:23 14:9 16:11 25:24	forward 16:12 20:15 28:16 30:5
everybody 6:8 25:6 45:22 56:14	fair 29:11 41:24	36:23 39:17	36:11 37:9 42:1
everyone 9:8 25:3	fairly 17:7	fish 11:4,5 38:10	53:15,22
59:1	falling 55:3	56:6	54:4,6,13 55:15 57:25 58:5
everyone's 25:4	familiar 7:15,16 38:2	Fishery 29:1	founder 27:5
example 11:3 12:7	family-owned	fishing 11:6	Frank 26:20,23
20:23 54:15	40:7	five 13:7 27:19	27:4,11,14 33:24
examples 11:2	fan 49:4	38:15	frankly 44:1
exceeded 42:8	fantastic 7:20	fix 33:23 34:12	free 5:19 10:1
excellent 17:25	farmer's 20:2	45:12	59:14
executive 32:5	far-reaching 32:18	fleet 34:23 37:24	frequently 3:21
exemplified 22:12	favor 39:23 48:25	fleeting 40:16	front 2:24 3:9 5:21
existence 29:6	feasibility 15:22	float 20:19	16:6 25:23
existing 21:18	feasible 12:16	flood 13:15 31:8 43:7,16,21	front-line 8:11
exists 30:24 48:19			full 19:10 30:19
exit 3:4	federal 4:1 8:18 10:9 15:14,18	flooding 13:16,20 45:1	47:6
	10.5 10.1 1,10		

fully 35:21	glmris.anl.gov	23:23	herein 60:12
Fund 55:13	5:11 23:20 24:8	handset 46:13	hereinbefore 60:6
funding 55:6	GLMRIS-	hang 3:2,5	Hi 39:13
future 30:21	BRANDON 1:3	Hansen 6:18	high 20:5
	goal 18:11 53:9,13	happen 7:6 39:7	highest 20:7
<u>G</u>	gone 10:5	happens 15:15	high-tech 17:10
gain 16:8 22:1	goods 35:14,17 53:7	52:10	hitchhike 20:20
gathering 5:2		happy 9:23 47:8	hitchhikers 21:3
general 9:13 13:11	government 8:19	harbor 40:16	holistic 34:9 53:21
23:3 39:14 43:1	Governmental 36:20	hardcover 4:3	58:7
gentleman 2:25 58:15	grab 5:20 59:14	harmonize 43:19	hope 21:14,15
gentlemen 2:3	grayed 19:1	having 26:24	22:20 23:10 35:8
6:10 46:11 56:19	great 2:8 6:8,25	27:14 38:15	49:15
58:19	7:17 8:14 9:10	Hawaii 47:20	horrible 29:8
geographic 16:21	10:19 12:17	hazards 30:25	host 54:14
geographically	13:21 17:19	head 25:5 40:12	hosting 4:17 6:20
17:23	18:23 19:2 25:6 26:5 29:5 32:20	headquartered	39:18 52:15
GICA 32:8,21	35:15	39:15 40:3,15	Houston 36:22 47:14
33:7	greater 7:13 49:16	headquarters	hull 20:21,22
given 5:13 41:21 42:18 43:22	green/red 18:21	37:23 42:24 47:15	hull-following
56:23	groups 51:5,20	health 29:6	21:3
giving 36:24 50:9	growth 30:22		human 30:25
52:16	guarantees 54:12	hear 6:8 23:10 42:20 46:17 47:3	hundreds 11:25
GIWW	Guard 36:1	50:21 53:1	110110110000 111.20
32:13,17,21,25	guess 20:13 39:25	heard 41:18 43:12	I
33:5	guidelines 60:9	45:22 51:8	I'd 2:21 4:10
glad 4:24	Gulf 32:5,7,12	hearing 26:25	6:4,17 12:25 25:1,20 26:17
glance 13:11	33:15,19 37:21	27:15 42:23	48:1 53:20 54:13
GLMRIS 2:10 3:23 4:4,16,22	47:18 50:10	hearings 28:11	58:25
5:10 8:1 9:12,22	guppies 31:11	Hello 47:2	idea 11:15 23:5
10:1,4,17	guppy 31:3	help 8:2 12:14 57:20	identified 16:10
12:12,21,23 16:3,24 20:11,18	guys 5:21 31:18		19:24 29:23 41:20 42:2 44:16
22:12 24:3	57:12	helped 5:21	45:12 54:2
28:10,13 29:20	——	helpful 42:20	55:10,14 57:12
30:2 41:13	hand 26:8	herbicide 11:8	identify 11:7 17:25
42:2,5,10,13 43:25 54:2	hand-delivered	hereby 60:5	19:1 30:2,7 43:8
12.202	nuna ucnivoleu		identifying

r	1 48	, <u>+</u>	
12:12,24 58:12	51:14	36:13	invasive 8:12 11:7
I'll 6:2 9:3 25:3	important 10:4,12	initial 7:20,21	investigation 7:10
41:2,4 52:18	11:22 12:8	inland 33:6	21:16
56:3	13:14,24 15:12	47:18,21,22	involved 32:10
Illinois 7:3 8:6,13	16:25 23:1 27:16 28:8 41:5 45:18	51:8,14,18 55:12	Island 30:12 44:20
28:6 32:19	48:5 52:4 58:6	inner 40:5	55:10
37:4,25 40:12,14,15	inaction 21:22	input 5:2 6:24	isn't 31:16 53:16
44:24 52:6		7:20,22 8:23	issue 33:20 41:7
I'm 2:13 9:18	include 8:4	16:8 23:1,2	46:7 49:18
14:12 27:1,5,6	11:3,14 12:2 26:12 51:19	50:11	50:12,13 55:4
32:4 34:19		insight 16:9,10	58:5
36:14,18 37:5,21	included 5:12 16:23	instance 28:24	issues 5:2 9:3,25
38:2,14 39:14,19		instruction 42:11	35:24 44:17
41:7 42:17 43:13	includes 57:4,14	instructions	iteration 6:24
46:6 47:8 51:10 53:8 54:2 58:3	including 30:21,24	3:11,16 46:7	it's 2:3,25 7:5
	32:18	59:4.9	10:8,12 11:15
immediately 4:12	increased 45:5	insure 30:17	12:8 13:24
14:6 52:11	independent 40:16		16:20,25 17:4
impact 22:10	Indiana 37:24	insuring 32:21	22:25 24:18
30:21 35:18,22	indicated 45:22	interactions 16:18	26:7,8 27:20
36:3 37:13 51:15 52:22,24 53:6	50:17	Interbasin 2:9	31:17 33:22 35:6 41:24 45:15,18
54:7	industrial 51:7	7:1,17 9:11	52:1 53:1 55:22
impacted 44:14	industries 32:12	19:12	I've 7:24 16:20
·	52:22	interconnected	18:13 27:11
impacts 21:18,20 30:19 52:11 57:8		13:12	31:15 40:25
	industry 27:9 33:16 35:22	Intercostal	43:3,18 45:22
impediments 33:15	36:24 48:19	32:5,7,13	56:21
	inexpensive 48:17	interest 22:12	
impeding 49:8	-	interested 60:13	J
implement	infeasible 44:2	interests 15:14,18	January 1:4 4:6
14:10,13	info 34:3	51:23	5:6 23:17
implementation	information 4:2	interim 22:14	29:21,22 59:2 60:14
8:22 16:16	12:22 14:20 18:5	intermingle 13:9	
19:5,8 21:7	20:14 22:1,16	_	Jeff 37:19,20 56:6
implemented	24:1,2,4,7 35:9 45:6	international 40:6	Jeffersonville
15:3,4,5 17:2 18:2 21:24 35:17	informative 44:21	Interstate 57:4	37:23
		intimately 38:2	Jim 32:1,4
implementing 15:19 18:14	informed 16:17	introduce 4:11	joined 2:18
21:23	infrastructure	introduced 28:24	Joliet 7:3
importance 44:22	58:9	intrusive 52:2	judging 24:24
Importance TT.22	Ingram 34:20,22		-

	T 448		
justification 15:17	laws 11:20	located 4:23 47:14	ma'am 37:18
	lays 30:9 36:5	location 7:24	magnitude 20:1
Kendall 1:23 2:13	learn 16:15 22:2	30:16	mail 3:16 59:5,9
6:7 22:8 24:11	learned 12:23	lock 17:22,25	mailed 23:22
Kevin 1:21 4:13	14:20 18:6 20:14 22:16	30:15,16 33:14 34:2,5,9,10	main 3:5 9:4 17:17
6:6 45:19 56:18		35:11,13,21 36:1	maintain 44:18
kill 38:25 39:6	least 52:2	37:11	maintained 32:22
56:7	leave 3:14	38:20,21,24 39:7 40:19	maintenance
killed 38:9	leaves 58:24	44:10,13,18,22	30:14 44:17 58:9
Kindl 37:19,20	leaving 3:15	45:2	major 34:10
56:6 58:11	left-hand 14:1,24 46:15	lockage 45:4	majority 17:16
K-i-n-d-l 37:21		Lockport 38:10	19:19
KINDL 37:20 56:5	Legislative 36:20	56:7	manage 49:23
Kirby 47:11,20	Lemont 37:25 40:15	locks 34:5 38:19 44:25 52:5	management
Kirby's 47:12	lessons 12:23	logistical 2:22	10:10 11:10 13:15
	let's 44:12 45:11	long 16:13 19:14	manager 4:16 9:10
labor 51:13,22	level 15:23 30:15	32:25 55:7	manifested 7:23
ladies 2:3 3:1 6:9	Lieutenant 1:21	long-term 18:11	manufacturers
46:10 56:18	4:12 6:1,5,6	loosely 17:8	51:21
58:19	45:19 56:18	lot 9:17 12:5,21	map 13:2,3,10
Lake 8:14 13:5,8	58:23	22:11 24:1	14:15
29:9 31:2,4,6	limit 25:1	31:6,17 48:10	MAP-21 29:19
lakefront 31:8	limitations 15:7	52:20	30:5 41:11
Lakes 2:8 6:25 7:17 8:14 9:10	line 19:12 46:17,25 47:1	Louis 51:2 52:13	margin 49:20
10:19 12:17	58:15	Louisiana 1:5 28:7 40:9 60:4,11	Marine 34:20,22 40:14
13:8,21 17:19	Lines 37:22	Lovell 1:21 4:13	MARYBETH
18:24 19:3 29:5 32:20 35:15	list 55:7	6:1,5,6 45:19	60:4,17
larger 4:7 52:6	listed 28:14,15	56:18 58:23	materials 51:7,21
54:7	29:8	lower 14:1,24 39:2	59:13
largest 27:21	listen 45:17	lowering 38:22	Matt 47:5
40:16,18 47:16	little 2:3 6:22 9:20	lower-left-hand	matter 60:13
48:9	15:10 31:19	18:20	Matthew 47:2,8,9
last 4:2 7:18 26:3 54:25 55:21	58:22 59:21	Lynn 26:6	Matt's 46:25
56:12	LLC 27:6	Lynne 26:21	maximum 12:15
later 49:16	local 8:18 33:20 36:14 50:12		may 7:15 13:23
	JU.17 JU.12		14:16,19 15:10
]		

20:23 21:4,5	29:9 31:2,4,6	58:20,22	57:16
24:25 43:17	Michigan,Great	modern 45:1	multi-state 57:15
maybe 11:24 12:3	13:8	modes 48:15	multi-water 57:15
means 19:20 53:15	Michigan's 8:14	moment 4:10	Murphy 39:12,14
measure 11:11	microphone	12:25	M-u-r-p-h-y 39:14
27:22	26:7,21	money 12:5	MURPHY 39:13
measures 11:14,21	mid-point 45:3	monitoring 8:10	muted 46:18
12:6,10 15:8 34:1	miles 19:14 32:25	11:14	
	million 11:25	month 23:17 59:3	myself 52:20
mechanism 20:7	30:13 33:2 34:3	months 29:20	N
media 22:19	44:16	Morton 26:20 27:4	Nashville 36:13
medias 22:22	millions 11:24	31:24 34:16,19	Natchez 52:12
meeting 1:3	mind 26:2	M-o-r-t-o-n 27:5	
2:6,12,20 4:24	Minneapolis 52:13	MORTON 26:23	nation 15:15,24 18:8 48:6
5:15,19,24 24:17 39:18,21 45:25	minutes 25:1	27:4,11,14 34:18	national 2:10 8:18
52:15 59:18,25	mispronounce	move 11:16 17:21	22:7 27:6,8
60:5,6	26:14	18:19,22	28:25 50:13
meetings 4:18	mission 43:11,14	20:15,16,21	51:18 52:7 55:9
23:11 41:1,19	44:19	24:16 28:16	57:3
member 21:10	missions	29:25 30:5,18	nation's 8:3 48:9
34:24	43:2,6,10,20	37:3,9 41:25 48:7 54:3 58:5	57:1 58:8
members 8:20	44:14 45:9	moved 53:14	navigable 36:4
9:19 10:11	Mississippi 2:8		navigation 13:15
16:7,8 23:1 32:9	6:25 7:17 8:13	movement 13:17 35:17	33:13,15,16,18
33:7 51:11 52:16	9:11 10:20 12:18		43:6,16,21
Memphis 52:13	13:9,21 17:18	moving 14:10	44:19,23 49:6,9
mention 25:20	18:23 19:3 27:18,20,25 29:5	16:12 17:17 18:3 19:2 20:8 27:24	navigational 37:12
26:10	31:10 32:18	31:17 36:11	nearly 19:14
mentioned 21:21	33:17 35:15	48:13,14,20	necessarily 45:16
25:7 41:4 49:18	mix 14:3	53:22 54:5 55:15	necessary 33:23
51:22 52:1,23	mode 52:2	MUIR 60:4,17	negative 50:3
53:20 54:11,16 57:11 58:16	moderating 2:15	multi 57:16,17	negatively 35:18
message 10:5	· ·	multiplicity 13:13	e •
	moderator 2:2 24:14 25:15	multi-profession	negligence 56:8 58:13
method 60:7	27:1,10,13 31:23	57:16	
methodology	34:15 36:16	multi-region 57:15	NEPA 1:3 2:11 5:4 22:8
17:20	37:18 39:11		
methods 29:15	45:21 47:4	multi-regional 33:20	nobody 31:5
58:10	50:14,22 55:19,23 56:2,9	multi-stakeholder	nods 25:5
Michigan 13:6	33.17,43 30.4,7	muni-stakenoider	noncontiguous

	1 48	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28:4	one-way-control	originally 38:1	49:4 54:5
none 41:20,22	41:23	originates 41:10	particularly 43:15
non-structural	onto 38:22	Orleans 1:5 2:4	51:12
10:16,25	open 6:3 23:14	6:19 7:9 27:17	parties 60:12
11:2,10,21 12:10,14 15:8	operate 32:16 40:4	39:16,19 40:1,4 43:3 52:10,12	partners 8:9,19
54:14,16	47:23	others 41:4 43:15	passing 45:2
non-treated 39:3	operates 43:24	48:12 49:12 50:5	path 28:23
nor 28:14 29:23,24	operating 8:4	51:24 57:14	pathway 20:8
60:12	operations 32:10	otherwise 60:12	21:19
Normally 17:11	34:19 37:22,25 45:2 47:17	ought 33:25 34:8	pathways 10:21
Northwest 44:23	operator 47:16	ourselves 58:19	13:7 14:2,4 19:16,21
note 35:3	49:19	outcome 21:9 44:7	, and the second
noted 13:25	Operators 27:7	60:13	patience 31:21
notes 44:24 58:2	34:25 37:7	outdated 34:2	Patrick 34:16,18,19
nothing 15:16,17	opinions 60:12	outlined	Paul 50:21,25
notice 13:4,23	opportunities 4:20	16:3,20,24 17:10	PDD 30:6
14:16	10:23 18:16	18:13,21	pending 9:3
notification 46:17	opportunity 7:7	outreach 11:15 22:21	people 11:11 24:22
novel 12:2	14:9 24:19 25:18 34:14 36:12,25	outside 3:6 19:24	25:8,10 39:19
nuisance 3:24 4:8	50:8,10 52:17	30:11 54:23	40:9,23 49:3
8:17 10:7,19	55:18	overall 33:5	Peoria 52:13
12:11 16:5 18:11	opted 54:3	overview 4:7	perceive 35:7
24:6 29:16 48:25 49:7	option 29:17 52:24	OVERVIEW 1.7	perennial 19:23
٦٧.١	53:14,23,24	Р	20:1
0	54:12,21	p.m 59:19	perhaps 11:17
O'Brien 40:19	options 15:1 17:1 54:17,20	package 54:7	15:1 28:20 53:16
occur 29:3	,	page 60:3	period 3:12 5:8
o'clock 2:4	oral 5:14 24:16,21 45:24	pages 24:5 60:6	9:24 23:16 59:2
offer 48:4	orally 3:15	panel 4:11 46:3	periods 5:14
office 3:17	order 20:1 44:18	56:12,16	person 6:14 24:20 25:10 59:5
officer 56:21 60:5	organisms 39:1,6	paper 3:10,21	personal 43:4 60:7
offshore 40:6	organization	57:23	phone 46:25
okay 6:8 24:14	25:25 27:3 32:2	participating 22:6 25:17 59:24	physical 12:3
25:3,6 47:8 57:2	organized	participation	picture 28:10
ones 18:20 45:12	51:13,22	23:15	piece 4:2 15:12
one-way 14:11	original 60:2,3	particular 7:24	42:7 45:6
17:6 19:7 33:12		28:23 43:14 44:4	

	rage		
piecemeal 42:4	possible 10:23	37:13 54:24	23:24 24:21 30:7
pier 38:8	12:16 15:1 16:15	prioritize 30:10	32:22 35:9 50:11
Pittsburgh 52:12	17:1 18:1,7,13 19:8 21:1 22:14	36:6	57:1,24
placed 52:5		pro-Asian 53:10	provided 28:11 42:9 58:2
plan 35:12 37:8	possibly 21:22	probably 40:18	
54:10	posted 5:9	problem 30:24	provides 17:16
planned 35:10	potential 7:11 15:7 19:16 20:5,7,8	45:11 57:13,18	providing 57:22
56:7 58:12	21:17,19 30:21	procedure 45:3	public 2:12 3:12,18 4:18
planning 3:22	35:10 37:2	60:11	5:4,14,24 6:3
plant 11:7	potentially	proceed 33:9	7:19 9:20 10:11
please 23:19 24:9	18:8,19,22 19:2	proceeding 3:19	16:7 21:10 23:11
26:14,16	precipitation	process 5:5 15:23	41:1 52:15 59:1,17,25
27:10,13 32:3 35:13 42:17 44:9	19:22	16:2 22:2,15,21 28:19 30:11	60:5,6
47:5,7 55:21	precise 30:9 36:5	54:24	public's 23:2
57:24 59:15	preferred 28:15 29:23 41:21	producer 51:20	published 4:6 9:21
point 2:21 12:4 14:1,6,24 21:25	prepared 60:7,9	producing 30:25	pump 38:23
24:18 33:11,25	preregistered 25:8	products 22:14	pumped 38:21
42:13,14,25	31:25	48:7	putting 22:9
45:21 54:21	present 41:15	Professional 60:5	
55:25 56:15	51:11	prohibition 60:10	Q
points 14:18 16:20 18:9 22:3 40:25	presentation 38:12	project 3:18	quality 13:17
50:6 52:14	presentations 5:25	4:16,18 5:10,17 9:10,15 15:20	que 46:16
policy 2:11 22:8	presenters 1:20	25:9 29:13 30:11	quell 53:15
23:9	46:23	35:5,8 36:7 37:2	question 46:12.16.24
pool 38:8,23	president 36:20	44:23 55:12 59:7	46:12,16,24
popular 40:11	37:21	projects 30:10	questioned 49:13
populations 11:4	pressing 46:13	35:20 36:6 44:6	questions 3:22 5:18,22 9:24
port 27:19,21	pressure 55:1	project's 45:23	24:23 28:9 42:16
portion 8:1 24:17	pretty 17:8	proposal 53:25 54:22	46:20 49:15 56:1
54:1,4,6 57:2,3	prevent 10:18		58:1 59:22
portrays 18:18	12:15 18:3	proposed 35:5	quick 9:17 27:2
ports 51:23	previous 38:5	prosperity 51:25	quite 20:3
posed 20:4	previously 46:2	protect 56:24	
position 37:6	primarily 6:23	protection 43:7,17,21 57:6	<u>R</u> rail 48:15
positioning 16:21	primary 44:19	proven 15:11	raise 38:23
positive 53:18	prior 41:18	provide 4:19,21	raise-hand 46:14
	prioritization	provide 7.17,21	10,11

	rag	- 19	
range 10:22 14:25	Regional 8:21	60:3,9	52:6
16:3	region's 51:25	requirements 22:7	Road 1:3 2:10
rather 43:10	registered	23:8	4:23 7:3,12 9:14
reading 59:13	24:19,20 25:11	requires 11:11	12:20,24 13:25
ready 26:21 32:1	26:18 60:4	45:3	14:7,8,20,23 15:13
34:17 46:5	registration 3:10	Reserve 36:15	16:11,17,19,22
real 27:1 31:18	59:6,12	resident 43:3	18:15 19:6
realistic 54:18	regulations 11:20	residual 21:7	20:12,24 24:3
really 10:12,14	rehab 30:15 34:10	respectful 25:4	28:12 30:3,13
11:8,10 12:8	reiterating 41:6	respond 42:15	33:10,24 34:12 35:6 37:2,11
14:10 41:5 42:25	related 5:3 60:12	responsibility 10:8	38:8 40:20 41:23
43:23	relationships	53:2,3 56:24	42:19 43:14
realm 22:25	60:11	58:17	44:12,13 50:2
reason 48:18	released 7:16	responsible 48:10	52:5,10 57:10
59:12	reliable 52:25 53:6	rest 2:12 6:19	Rock 30:12 44:20 55:10
reasonable 44:2	remain 25:4	40:10	
reasons 29:7	remind 59:1	restricted 33:14	Rohde 50:25 55:20,22
receive 6:14 59:11		restricting 33:18	R-o-h-e-e 55:22
received 7:19	reminder 46:11	restriction 25:2	ROHEE 50:21
recent 33:8	repeat 41:3 50:4 52:19	restroom 2:23	role 36:10 43:17
recognize 20:17	repeating 48:2	restrooms 3:2	52:6
22:11 48:8	replacement 34:10	restructuring 34:9	roll-out 7:19
recommend 29:15	-	9	Romeoville 8:5
recommendation	report 4:4,5 8:1 9:22 10:1,4,17	result 21:11	room 2:21 24:25
15:25 16:1 21:15	12:12,21,23	results 16:15	46:5 56:4,11
58:7	16:24 20:18	reuse 38:20	Rouge 27:20 52:12
record 26:4 29:24	24:4,5 28:11	revisit 49:17	round 25:12
37:16	29:24 41:19	right-hand 13:3	
recreational 20:22	reported 44:15	14:16 17:5,9	row 29:11
red 19:13	60:6	risk 13:15 20:5,7	RPR 60:17
refer 2:9	Reporter 60:4,5	21:7 49:22	rules 60:10,11
referred 7:24	reporting 25:22 60:7	risks 45:5	run 55:7
refinement 57:9		River 2:8 6:25	runs 59:2
regarding 33:9	represent 25:25	7:17 8:13 9:11 10:20 12:18	
37:1,12	representing 32:9 51:1	13:9,21 17:19	<u>S</u>
regardless 10:5		18:23 19:3	safe 32:22 35:16 48:16 52:24 53:6
region 13:16,18	requested 39:20	27:18,20,25 29:5	
40:17	required 23:8	31:10 32:19,20	safety 35:24 48:23 49:18 58:5
		35:15 37:4 44:24	77.10 30.3

	1 ag		
sake 55:2	shares 52:20	sky 55:3	spend 11:24
Sanitary 8:6	sheet 3:9 34:4	slide 14:13	12:4,25
saw 28:18	44:21	slides 28:18	spilling 17:14
scope 4:21 35:7	sheets 3:21	slowly 26:3	spoke 48:1 49:3
scoping 1:3 2:12	She'll 26:8	smart 31:18	spot 17:25
3:19 5:4 21:13	Sherry 36:17	sneaky 31:16	spray 11:8
22:25	shippers 51:6	social 22:22	St 51:2 52:13
screen 46:15	shipping 8:7 32:11	solid 35:9	stakeholder 21:11
seal 60:3	51:16 52:22,25	solution 7:11 21:8	22:21 23:2
second 3:20 25:12	Shipyard 36:21	58:7	stakeholders 5:3
40:11	37:3	somewhere 27:23	9:1 16:7 22:18,23
Secondly 42:25	shipyards 32:11	31:8	stand 37:8
security 57:3	shore 13:5 38:22,24 39:5	sorry 6:12 27:1	stand 37.8 stand-alone 28:14
Seeing 46:4	ŕ	sort 42:18	
seek 22:13	short 5:25	South 27:20	standpoint 54:19
seeking 5:1 16:2	shoving 39:3	speak 36:13 46:3	star 46:13
seems 55:6	sign 58:25	50:17 51:10	Stark 32:1,4
seen 29:2 43:3,18	signature 60:2	speaker 9:4	start 6:21 25:7 26:20 28:22 44:6
selected 13:24	significant 19:22	speakers 38:5 48:1	47:24 50:22
send 31:9 46:20	similar 49:15	speaking 9:18 26:3	started 2:5 26:18
send-note 46:21	simply 17:7 42:5	47:12	Starting 4:11
sense 50:3	single 3:9 14:6	species 3:24 4:8	state 4:1 8:18
series 41:14 54:20	33:11 40:18	8:12,17 10:7,13,19 11:23	10:10 28:6,7
seriously 53:3	sir 9:7 27:1 36:16 39:11 45:20	12:11 14:10	40:11 49:19 60:4
serve 43:9,14	55:19 56:10	16:5,14 17:3,17	statement 22:10
served 45:10	58:11	18:3,12,18,22 19:1,16	34:13 53:8
Service 29:1	sit 6:13	20:5,8,16 24:6	states 27:22 28:3,4,5,25
services 27:6	site 12:20,25 13:25	29:17 49:1,7	30:20 40:10
40:17 43:7,22	14:7,20 15:13	specific 9:25 57:9	47:17
session 27:15	16:11,17,19,22 17:4 18:15 19:6	specifically 4:23	56:21,22,25
sets 7:11 58:8	20:12,13,14	7:1 12:20 16:19	statute 60:9
settled 37:15	33:10	41:22 42:19 58:16	stay 24:9
shaded 18:25 19:4	sites 19:8 22:22	spell 26:16 55:21	stenographer
shape 23:3	situation 29:2	spelled 47:10	25:21 57:25
shared 10:8,9 53:2	six 16:23	•	stenotype 60:7
58:16	six-mile 38:16	spelling 26:2	step 9:17 16:12
		Spencer 39:12,13	44:9

	rag		
stop 20:19 29:16	55:22	48:21,22,23	today 2:6 3:15
45:13 54:13	sustain 8:2	Texas 36:22 47:14	4:25 6:13 8:24
stopping 53:9	swim 20:19	thank 2:17 6:6,15	9:13,18,24 10:2 22:13
storm 13:17	system 7:6	9:6,7 24:13,14	23:10,18,19 24:2
strategic 12:10	14:14,18,22	26:23 27:14	25:10 32:1 36:13
16:13	18:10 19:9	31:21,23 34:14,15	37:5 45:25 46:12
strategies 8:22	20:6,10 22:4 29:5 32:19 33:6	36:12,16	47:12 51:9 53:8 54:9 56:13
15:4	40:5,22 47:18	37:17,18	
strategy 8:2	51:14,18 52:7	39:10,11,17	today's 2:8 4:11 45:1
strict 25:2	53:12 55:9	45:14 50:13,14,25	token 42:3
strong 55:15	system's 44:25	52:15 55:18,19	
structural 10:15		56:9,14,19 57:20	tonight 25:21 56:15,20 57:21
11:1,13	T	58:14,23	59:24
12:1,6,9,14	tail 17:12	59:20,23	tons 33:2
16:23 33:14,23 34:1,12 52:23	taking 20:14	thanks 45:18	tool 46:22
53:5,23	talk 9:13 53:8	that's 3:21 4:5 7:4	top 17:14 46:14,22
structurally 17:23	talking 45:13	11:9,10 13:2	• •
structure 28:12	talks 44:21	15:6 17:10,13 22:16 25:3,5	total 19:24
37:10 41:23	tank 47:16,21	26:15 33:6 40:24	totally 31:17
structures 14:17	49:20	42:21 45:6	touch 24:10
30:2 40:21	tasked 43:2,4	47:9,14 52:3	touched 33:24
study's 30:8	taxpayers 15:18	53:17 55:8,17	38:11
submit 3:11,17	team 6:19	therefore 35:8	tough 57:18
59:4,7	technical 22:17	there's 17:11	tow 39:2
submitted 5:15,16	technologically	31:16 48:18	toward 18:10,23
35:1	12:16	they'll 3:5	20:5
submitting 37:15	technologically-	they're 19:20 31:9 41:5	towards 17:19
54:10	engineered		18:14 19:3
subsidiary 40:13	37:10	they've 31:7	towboat 27:8 32:10
sufficient 15:6	technologies 10:24	third 4:24 23:11 33:1 48:9	
suggestion 58:6	12:2 15:9 16:16		towboats 47:22
summary 4:4,5	18:1,6 21:4,17	thousand 19:14	towing 35:22 36:24 40:14
24:5	technology 36:3	thousands 24:5	51:15 52:22
supervision 60:8	telephone 46:13	throughout 2:11	tows 45:1
support 8:3 21:20	Tennessee 36:14	3:18 4:18 5:4 18:9 22:15 33:17	trace 8:11
35:1 37:5 49:5,6	term 17:7	40:4,9 47:17	
54:14	terminal 37:25	51:17	trade 27:9 28:3,5 32:8
sure 26:4,8 31:14	terms 9:13	timeframe 54:18	traditional 15:22
38:14 41:7 45:11			15.22

	1 ag		
traffic 30:17	typhoid 29:10	43:1	waterways 12:4
transcribed 60:7		versus 11:1 21:22	27:7 32:12 34:25 51:1,2,8,18 52:7
transcript 60:2,8,9	<u>U</u> .S 2:14 4:14	vessel 20:22 34:19	55:12
transfer 10:18	35:23	via 22:18 25:17	ways 10:13,18
12:15 17:6	un 46:18	45:23	12:14 20:18 43:9
18:2,3 19:17 20:5,7 29:16	unconditionally	viability 33:10	58:10
33:12 35:14	35:3	vice 36:19 37:21	WCI 51:3 52:20
transfers 17:2	understand 7:4,5	vicinity 14:8	weaknesses 8:10
transits 40:20	10:12 51:13	virtual 23:14	weather 39:24
transportation	53:25 54:11	visit 23:19	web 2:19 5:16
32:24 34:20,22	understanding 8:25 9:21 11:15	visiting 23:24	22:22 23:15 25:15 46:5
48:5 53:7 57:4	12:9 41:13 55:13	vitality 52:11	25.13 40.5 webinar 2:18
58:10	60:8	vitally 27:16	24:22 25:17 46:8
transporting 52:3	undertaken 3:25		58:25 59:23
treated 38:25	undertaking 3:23	W	website 3:18
treatment 21:2	underway 37:13	wait 42:11	5:10,17 22:18
tributaries	undone 12:6	waive 25:2	23:20,25 24:8,20
32:15,16,17	unintended 28:21	walk 3:1	25:9 26:19 30:13 45:24 59:7
triples 45:4	31:13 38:14	walked 45:23	we'd 35:3
trucks 48:15	unions 51:4,13	wash 31:10	weed 11:8
true 35:7 60:8	United 27:21	wasn't 56:8	weekend 11:19
Trust 55:13	28:4,25 30:20	waste 13:18	welcome 2:7 39:25
try 5:22 21:2 41:2	47:17	water 11:17	45:20
52:19	56:21,22,25	13:8,17,18	we'll 5:21 15:7
Tugboat 27:8	unless 29:25	17:12,13 21:2 29:4 31:7 32:24	24:22
tugboats 34:23	unlikely 44:7	38:20,21,23,25	25:9,13,14,17
turn 6:4 24:11,12	upon 3:15	39:5	26:9,20,22 46:19 59:20
25:14 26:7 27:6 46:6	upstream 14:6	waters 14:3 17:12	
turned 26:9	17:18,21 18:4 33:12,18	40:6	we're 2:5,9 4:24 8:24 9:12,23
Twitter 24:9	user 40:18	watershed 8:15	12:19 20:11
two-way 14:13	users 21:19	waterway 13:12	24:16 25:7 34:7
16:4,14 18:11	utilities 51:22	14:18,19,22 17:16 18:10	38:1 42:6 44:11 47:14 49:4,6
19:10 29:16	ummues 31.22	19:9,25 20:6,10	50:1 57:7,19
30:17	V	22:4 32:13	West 47:19
type 21:8,23 37:9	valid 60:2	33:1,3,6 37:7	Wethington 1:22
types 10:15 18:6	value 15:24 33:3	40:5,22 47:18 51:14	4:16 6:2 7:12
20:25 22:19 23:5	various 8:22 15:8	J1.1⊤	9:5,7,9 38:11

	Pag	C 17	
we've 18:15 19:4 21:3 22:16 23:12 43:12 55:14 56:3 59:18	you'll 13:4 14:16 46:15,17 you've 10:5 41:13 42:8 53:11		
whatever 31:3			
Whereupon 59:25	Z		
whether 15:5 41:10	Zaborowski 1:23 2:2,13 24:14 27:1,10,13 31:23		
white 19:13	34:15 36:16		
whole 42:12	37:18 39:11		
whom 42:24 51:6 60:5	45:21 47:4 50:14,22 55:19,23 56:2,9		
whose 47:11	58:22		
WILCOX 1:24	zero 49:21		
46:10 50:18 55:25	zip 26:1,11 27:10,11 32:3,6		
Wildlife 29:1	34:20 39:8,16		
Woodruff 47:2,8 50:15 53:10	47:6,12 50:23 51:1		
Woodruss 47:9	zone 38:8,11,16		
W-o-o-d-r-u-s-s 47:10	58:12		
work 7:20,21 8:17 30:5 32:15 34:6 40:2 43:9 47:10 55:8,9			
working 36:9 54:20			
world 27:24 29:11			
worth 41:6 44:16			
WRDA 29:14 36:4 41:11			
written 5:15 37:16 46:20 54:10			
wrong 42:17			
WRRDA 30:9 37:13 54:25			
Y			
yet 55:14			